

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
CORPUS CHRISTI DIVISION

MARC VEASEY, ET AL.,	)	CASE NO: 2:13-CV-00193
	)	
Plaintiffs,	)	CIVIL
	)	
vs.	)	Corpus Christi, Texas
	)	
RICK PERRY, ET AL.,	)	Thursday, September 11, 2014
	)	(8:00 a.m. to 11:59 a.m.)
Defendants.	)	(1:30 p.m. to 1:47 p.m.)

BENCH TRIAL - DAY 8

BEFORE THE HONORABLE NELVA GONZALES RAMOS,  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Appearances:	See Next Page
Court Recorder:	Genay Rogan
Clerk:	Brandy Cortez
Court Security Officer:	Adrian Perez
Transcriber:	Exceptional Reporting Services, Inc. P.O. Box 18668 Corpus Christi, TX 78480-8668 361 949-2988

Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording;  
transcript produced by transcription service.

EXCEPTIONAL REPORTING SERVICES, INC

APPEARANCES FOR:

Plaintiffs:

CHAD W. DUNN, ESQ.  
KEMBEL SCOTT BRAZIL, ESQ.  
Brazil and Dunn  
4201 Cypress Creek Parkway, Suite 530  
Houston, TX 77068

ARMAND DERFNER, ESQ.  
P.O. Box 600  
Charleston, SC 29402

J. GERALD HEBERT, ESQ.  
Attorney at Law  
191 Somerville Street #405  
Alexandria, VA 22304

NEIL G. BARON, ESQ.  
914 FM 517 Rd. W, Suite 242  
Dickinson, TX 77539

EMMA P. SIMSON, ESQ.  
Campaign Legal Center  
215 E. Street NE  
Washington, DC 20002

Mexican American  
Legislative Caucus,  
et al.:

EZRA D. ROSENBERG, ESQ.  
Dechert, LLP  
902 Carnegie Center, Suite 500  
Princeton, NJ 08540-6531

MARK A. POSNER, ESQ.  
AMY L. RUDD, ESQ.  
GARY BLEDSOE, ESQ.  
SONIA K. GILL, ESQ.  
ERANDI ZAMORA, ESQ.  
Lawyers' Committee for Civil Rights  
1401 New York Ave. NW, Suite 400  
Washington, DC 20005

DANIEL G. COVICH, ESQ.  
802 N. Carancahua, Suite 2100  
Corpus Christi, TX 78401

APPEARANCES FOR:

(CONTINUED)

Mexican American  
Legislative Caucus,  
et al.:

MYRNA PEREZ, ESQ.  
VISHAL AGRAHARKAR, ESQ.  
JENNIFER CLARK, ESQ.  
Brennan Center for Justice  
161 Avenue of the Americas  
12th Floor  
New York, NY 10013

United States  
of America:

RICHARD DELLHEIM, ESQ.  
ELIZABETH S. WESTFALL, ESQ.  
DANIEL FREEMAN, ESQ.  
ANNA BALDWIN, ESQ.  
AVNER SHAPIRO, ESQ.  
MEREDITH BELL-PLATTS, ESQ.  
JOHN SMITH, ESQ.  
PAXTON WARNER, ESQ.  
BRADLEY HEARD, ESQ.  
ROBERT BERMAN, ESQ.  
U.S. Department of Justice  
950 Pennsylvania Ave. NW  
Washington, DC 20530

BRUCE I. GEAR, ESQ.  
Department of Justice  
1800 G Street NW  
Washington, DC 20006

Ortiz Plaintiffs,  
et al.:

JOSE GARZA, ESQ.  
7414 Robin Rest Dr.  
San Antonio, TX 78209

ROBERT W. DOGGETT, ESQ.  
Texas Rio Grande Legal Aid, Inc.  
4920 North IH 35  
Austin, TX 78751

MARINDA VAN DALEN, ESQ.  
Texas RioGrande Legal Aid, Inc.  
531 E. St. Francis  
Brownsville, TX 78520

APPEARANCES FOR:

(CONTINUED)

Texas League of Young  
Voters Education Fund:

RYAN HAYGOOD, ESQ.  
NATASHA KORGAONKAR, ESQ.  
DEUEL ROSS, ESQ.  
LEAH ADEN, ESQ.  
NAACP Legal Def. and Educational Fund  
40 Rector St., 5th Floor  
New York, NY 10006

DANIELLE CONLEY, ESQ.  
KELLY DUNBAR, ESQ.  
M. HASAN ALI, ESQ.  
LYNN EISENBERG, ESQ.  
JONATHAN E. PAIKIN, ESQ.  
RICHARD F. SHORDT, ESQ.  
SONYA LEBSACK, ESQ.  
TANIA C. FARANSSO, ESQ.  
Wilmer Cutler Pickering, et al.  
1875 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW  
Washington, DC 20006

Texas Association of  
Hispanic County Judges  
and County  
Commissioners:

ROLANDO L. RIOS, ESQ.  
115 E. Travis  
Suite 1654  
San Antonio, TX 78205

PRESTON HENRICHSON, ESQ.  
222 W. Cano  
Edinburg, TX 78539

State of Texas:

JOHN BARRET SCOTT, ESQ.  
Deputy Attorney General  
for Civil Litigation  
Office of the Attorney General  
P.O. Box 12548  
Austin, TX 78711

JOHN REED CLAY, JR., ESQ.  
LINDSEY E. WOLF, ESQ.  
JENNIFER ROSCETTI, ESQ.  
G. DAVID WHITLEY, ESQ.  
STEPHEN L. TATUM, JR., ESQ.  
STEPHEN R. KEISTER, ESQ.  
Office of the Attorney General  
P.O. Box 12548  
MC001  
Austin, TX 78711

APPEARANCES FOR:

(CONTINUED)

State of Texas:

BEN A. DONNELL, ESQ.  
Donnell Abernethy Kieschnick  
555 N. Carancahua, Suite 400  
Corpus Christi, TX 78401

WHITNEY DEASON, ESQ.  
JOHN CRAWFORD, ESQ.

Non-Party Senators  
& Non-Party  
Representatives:

ARTHUR D'ANDREA, ESQ.  
Office of the Attorney General  
209 W. 14th Street, 7th Floor  
Austin, TX 78701

DAVID TALBOT, ESQ.

JAMES B. ECCLES, ESQ.  
Office of the AG of Texas  
300 W. 15th Street, 11th Floor  
Austin, TX 78701

ALICE LONDON, ESQ.  
Bishop London Brophy & Dodds  
3701 Bee Cave Rd., Suite 200  
Austin, TX 78746

1		<u>INDEX</u>			
2	<u>DEFENDANTS' WITNESSES</u>	<u>DIRECT</u>	<u>CROSS</u>	<u>REDIRECT</u>	<u>RECROSS</u>
3	JOHN CRAWFORD	7/16	25		
4	VOIR DIRE	10			
5	FORREST MITCHELL	63			
6	(VIA DEPO)				
7	TOMMY WILLIAMS	85	104		
8	(VIA DEPO)				
9	CAROLYN GUIDRY	138	163		
10	(VIA DEPO)				
11	BRYAN HEBERT	178	188		
12	(VIA DEPO)				
13	KENNETH SMITH	226			
14	(VIA DEPO)				
15	MICHELLE RUDOLPH	231			
16	(VIA DEPO)				
17					
18	<u>DEFENDANTS' EXHIBITS</u>				<u>RECEIVED</u>
19	2746				25
20	2747, 2748 under seal				137
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					

Corpus Christi, Texas; Thursday, September 11, 2014; 8:00 a.m.

(Call to Order)

THE COURT: Good morning.

(Counsel respond, "Good morning.")

THE COURT: You can have a seat.

MR. SCOTT: Good morning.

THE COURT: Good morning.

MR. SCOTT: Your Honor, Plaintiffs would call  
Mr. John Crawford from the Department of Public Safety.

THE COURT: Good morning. Would you raise your right  
hand?

JOHN WILLIAM CRAWFORD, PLAINTIFFS' WITNESS, SWORN

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. SCOTT:

Q Mr. Crawford, would you introduce yourself to the Court,  
please.

A Yes. I'm John William Crawford.

Q Mr. Crawford, what do you -- who do you currently work  
for?

A I work for the Information Technology Division of the  
Texas Department of Public Safety.

Q And what do you do in your capacity -- what do you do --  
what's your capacity over there at the DPS?

A I am the manager of licensing services applications, so I  
have a team of software developers/programmers that support the

1 applications used by the Driver License and Regulatory Services  
2 Divisions at DPS.

3 Q And how many folks work for you?

4 A Nineteen.

5 Q And with regard to your operations over there, are you the  
6 manager over the records that -- the driver's license records,  
7 personal ID., and EIC records that are stored with the  
8 Department of Public Safety?

9 A Yes.

10 Q And how long have you been over there?

11 A I've been with the department for six years.

12 Q And how long have you been in your current capacity?

13 A Since December of 2012.

14 **MR. SCOTT:** May I approach, your Honor?

15 **THE COURT:** Yes.

16 Q I'm going to hand you --

17 **MR. SCOTT:** Brian, will you put up 2746?

18 Q I'm going to hand you what's been marked for --

19 **MR. DUNN:** Your Honor, I'd like to be heard on this  
20 matter, if I could?

21 **THE COURT:** Okay.

22 **MR. DUNN:** I would like to take this witness on voir  
23 dire and demonstrate that what he is about to provide is  
24 undisclosed and, in fact, concealed, purposely concealed,  
25 expert testimony, without a proper designation, without a



1 proper foundation.

2           **MR. SCOTT:** Okay. We're having a cross -- I mean,  
3 we've got cross. It's a bench trial.

4           **THE COURT:** Is he offered as an expert?

5           **MR. SCOTT:** He's not offered as an expert. He's --  
6 he's proving up a summary information of just a DPS comparison  
7 with DOJ comparison. They do a database match of the two  
8 materials that have been provided to both sides, and this is  
9 the results. He's just doing it in summary form. He's just  
10 proving the document up.

11           **MR. DUNN:** In other words, the witness has done an  
12 undisclosed database match. And had we known that this wasn't  
13 expert testimony, the Department of Justice or the private  
14 clients could have saved ourselves thousands of dollars and  
15 months of effort producing reports --

16           **THE COURT:** So did he do a matching?

17           **MR. SCOTT:** There was a computer comparison of --

18           **THE COURT:** That --

19           **MR. SCOTT:** -- their chart.

20           **THE COURT:** And it was provided to the Defendants?

21           **MR. DUNN:** Yesterday.

22           **MR. SCOTT:** Well, this chart was because they just  
23 did this yesterday. This is in response to Dr. Ansolahehere's  
24 deposition -- I mean, testimony that he said in this case.

25           **THE COURT:** You-all have had that a long time.

1           **MR. SCOTT:** Well, his information concerning the, the  
2 issue of not using race, and then identifying and making  
3 results saying that it's all based upon the race, I think I  
4 asked Dr. Ansolahehere specifically, "Would you agree that I  
5 have not" -- "that you have not" -- or, "You'd agree that you  
6 have over 2 million errors in race classification?" And he  
7 said, "I can't" -- "I don't know. I don't know." So it's a  
8 clarification of, which is the purpose of rebuttal evidence.

9           **MR DUNN:** This witness was presented for deposition  
10 as a 30(b)(6) witness only on the database snafu, and, in fact,  
11 was asked by Mr. Baldwin in the deposition, "Have you compared  
12 the databases?" Mr. Scott objected and he was instructed not  
13 to answer any questions about that.

14           **THE COURT:** Okay. I'm going to allow the cross at  
15 this point -- the voir dire.

16                           **VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION**

17           **BY MR. DUNN:**

18           Q     Hello, Mr. Crawford, my name is Chad Dunn. We've never  
19 met before, have we?

20           A     No, sir.

21           Q     All right. Well, I'm sorry you're here in the middle of  
22 this, but there are a few things I'd like to establish for our  
23 record. As I understand it, you manage a team in the  
24 Department of Licensing over at DPS; is that true?

25           A     It's the Department of Information Technology.

1 Q I beg your pardon, no disrespect meant. It's also my  
2 understanding that you personally are not a programmer; is that  
3 correct?

4 A That is correct.

5 Q And, in fact, you manager a team of programmers; is that  
6 true?

7 A That is correct.

8 Q And you told us at your deposition that reading code and  
9 reading programming is not something that you understand; is  
10 that right?

11 A It's not something that I do as a rule, no.

12 Q And, in fact, you have to rely upon others; is that true?

13 A That's correct.

14 Q Now, ultimately, if, if the Court permits it today, you  
15 intend to testify about a match of the DPS records to the no  
16 match list that was provided by the Department of Justice; is  
17 that right?

18 A I don't believe that it was the no match list; I believe  
19 that it was the list of -- my understanding is it was a list of  
20 voters.

21 Q I see. So, but, nevertheless, what you -- what your  
22 department has done is taken the DPS race ID. and compared it  
23 with the race ID.s that have been used in an expert report in  
24 this case; is that right?

25 A I'm not aware that that's an expert report, but, yes, it

1 was a comparison of a file provided from the Department of  
2 Justice and files that we provided from DPS.

3 Q Now I understand based upon what your testimony in your  
4 deposition is, but that's not something that you did, that's  
5 something people who work for you did; is that true?

6 A That is correct.

7 Q It's also my understanding, based solely on the fact that  
8 we just received this a little more than 24 hours ago, but it's  
9 my understanding that this analysis has been performed in,  
10 would you say, the last week and a half?

11 A No, sir. I believe it was performed on the 4th or 5th of  
12 September. So it would have been last -- like a week ago  
13 today, I believe.

14 Q Last week. So were you present for any discussions when  
15 it was decided when or how this would be produced to the  
16 Plaintiffs in this case?

17 A When? No, sir. I've been in discussions with the  
18 attorneys from, from the Attorney General's Office, but not  
19 specifically about when it was going to be provided.

20 Q So I suppose you couldn't enlighten us at all in why it  
21 was 24 hours or so ago that we first learned about your  
22 analysis?

23 A I don't know that.

24 Q I do want to show you a few things. Did you help the  
25 department -- the Office of the Attorney General prepare some

1 of the filings or descriptions of you as a witness in this  
2 case?

3 A I'm sorry, could you --

4 Q Sure.

5 A -- restate?

6 Q And maybe I ought to explain. When we have a lawsuit, the  
7 parties have to disclose their witnesses. And I just want to  
8 know if you helped review those and edit those.

9 **MR. SCOTT:** Your Honor, is this voir dire on the  
10 underlying issue? This seems like cross examination.

11 **THE COURT:** Argument, probably, for the Court.

12 **MR. DUNN:** All right. That's fine, Judge.

13 **BY MR. DUNN:**

14 Q So, lastly, I guess I want to, just to understand,  
15 Mr. Crawford, whatever it is that you can tell us about these  
16 race ID. matters pertains to activities that somebody else in  
17 your department took; is that right?

18 A That is correct.

19 Q And the code was constructed in order to do this  
20 comparison; is that true?

21 A That is correct.

22 Q And I assume you provided that to the Attorney General's  
23 Office, the actual code?

24 A I did not personally, no.

25 Q Well, I can tell you it hasn't been provided to the

1 Plaintiffs in this case. Was that your decision?

2 A No, sir.

3 Q And then, in terms of the data set or the, the output, so  
4 to speak, from this code that you -- that your office  
5 performed, have you provided that to the Attorney General's  
6 Office?

7 A One more time, please.

8 Q Sure. After the code is run, this code that your  
9 department developed, after it's run it comes up with an  
10 output; is that right?

11 A Yes.

12 Q Has that output actually been provided to the Attorney  
13 General's Office?

14 A Yes. It's, it's this exhibit.

15 Q Well, and let me -- let me be more precise. The exhibit,  
16 as I understand it, is a summary --

17 A That's correct.

18 Q -- of the output; is that true?

19 A That is correct.

20 Q So what I'm asking you is has the actual output been  
21 provided to the Attorney General's Office?

22 A Well, this is the output. The summary is what the code  
23 was constructed to do.

24 Q Well, the code ultimately went and flagged individual  
25 entries, would you agree, in its comparison?

1 A No, I would not. Flag individual entries? No, sir.

2 Q But your testimony to this Court, that when that formula  
3 was run, when that algorithm was run, you received nothing else  
4 from the computer system, other than what's on this table?

5 A I, personally, did not.

6 Q And that's because this was actually performed by somebody  
7 else in your office; is that right?

8 A That's correct.

9 **MR. DUNN:** Your Honor, at this point, I'm not going  
10 to move to exclude this testimony, but I hope I've demonstrated  
11 that its late disclosure, and the fact that this witness didn't  
12 actually perform it undermines its reliability.

13 **THE COURT:** All right.

14 **MR. SCOTT:** And, your Honor, a couple of points:  
15 one, the data that he's talking about that he -- that Mr. Dunn  
16 has said they didn't have is the Department of Justice  
17 Ansolahehere -- I'm sorry, the common interest Ansolahehere  
18 data output that was pursuant to an order of this Court and  
19 provided to the State of Texas, that is the data that he took  
20 on one of the comparisons, from Dr. Ansolahehere.

21 The second is the data that was provided by the  
22 Department of Public Safety to the common interest Plaintiffs  
23 that designated the race on every one of these individuals, the  
24 race field. So all the underlying data has, in fact, been  
25 provided and is there.

1           **THE COURT:** But, but you don't just get to take that  
2 data and have someone do an analysis on it and then spring it  
3 on the other side. Right?

4           **MR. SCOTT:** Well, I think that if it was sprung, I  
5 would agree. We're simply trying to rebut what the testimony  
6 that was -- Dr. Ansolahehere rendered at trial, from the  
7 standpoint of race comparison.

8           **THE COURT:** But that's something that could have  
9 been -- with what you had and the information that the state  
10 had, that could have been done already. It was not necessary  
11 to wait until now.

12           **MR. SCOTT:** I think that's right. You're right.

13           **THE COURT:** All right. So let's move on.

14           **MR. SCOTT:** So, Brian, will you bring that up?

15                           **DIRECT EXAMINATION (RESUMED)**

16           **BY MR. SCOTT:**

17           Q     Mr. Crawford, in your capacity as manager of the  
18 information -- what's the name of the section, the division?

19           A     Let me think for a minute, Licensing Services  
20 Applications. Sorry.

21           Q     It's okay. First time you ever testified?

22           A     Yes, sir.

23           Q     How many times have you been in Federal Court?

24           A     Only in this room, yesterday and then a couple of weeks  
25 ago, and today.



1 Q So, but the underlying foundation of the information  
2 that's here, where did the DOJ race column information come  
3 from on, for purposes of identification, Defendants' 2746?

4 A The, the race information was provided in a file of  
5 approximately 13 1/2 million records that the Attorney  
6 General's Office sent us. And my understanding is that it came  
7 from the Department of Justice.

8 Q Over here, the next column it says, "DPS race"; is that  
9 correct?

10 A Yes, sir.

11 Q Where did that come from?

12 A That is a listing of the -- of the races that can be  
13 entered into the driver license database.

14 Q And who -- and so it's entered by someone, either the  
15 customer service representative whom they receive it from a  
16 customer or just a citizen trying to get an ID. card, a  
17 driver's license, or an EIC; is that correct?

18 A Yes, that's correct.

19 Q That's self-identified information; is that correct?

20 A Yes, sir. The customer provides that information when he  
21 or she fills out the -- an application form.

22 Q The VUID count over here, what is that?

23 A That is -- so we -- when we match the Department of  
24 Justice data against the DPS driver license data, we matched  
25 approximately 12.6 million records. Of those, 309,487 were

1 designated Asian in the Department of Justice data set. We  
2 compared that race information to the race information for the  
3 matching record, and the DPS data set. So, for example, of the  
4 309,000 records that had Asian as a designation, we found  
5 216,782 that had that designation in the DPS data.

6 Q So a comparison was made from the material that was  
7 provided by DOJ as, as to the race. The data that was in the  
8 data field was provided by DOJ, which is the self-identified in  
9 this column as Asian, and over here is how many out of that  
10 data field matched up between those two documents; is that  
11 correct?

12 A That's correct. Out of that 309,487, 216,782 were  
13 designated Asian.

14 Q So, for instance, here is a person who has been identified  
15 as Asian. They have self-identified with the DPS public  
16 records as being Hispanic, and there were 1,750 of those out of  
17 this 309,487; is that correct?

18 A That is correct.

19 Q Okay.

20 **MR. SCOTT:** So Brian, if you'll switch up -- if  
21 you'll move the chart up, please? Stop for a second.

22 Q So, again, DOJ race Black, self-identified White, how many  
23 VUID would have been incorrectly -- well, not incorrectly, but  
24 not matched?

25 A Well, that -- of the -- for those designated Black in the

1 Department of Justice file, there were 1.5 million, 1.57  
2 million, 1,189,000 matched. Those were also designated Black,  
3 345,564 were designated White.

4 Q Okay. So, and then let's go to the Caucasian. DOJ race  
5 designation, White, correct?

6 A Yes.

7 Q DPS self-identified records, public records, Black, and  
8 how many of those were like that?

9 A 433,787.

10 Q So that means that there were misclassified as Anglos in  
11 the DOJ material, 433,000 people who, in fact, are African  
12 American, correct -- or self-identified themselves as Black?

13 A They identified themselves as, as African American, yes,  
14 as Black.

15 Q Okay.

16 **MR. SCOTT:** Brian, if you'll move this -- reduce  
17 that, please?

18 Q So, over here to the right-hand side we have an additional  
19 breakout.

20 **MR. SCOTT:** I guess, one more thing. Brian, go to  
21 Page 2. Bring up the Hispanic, or just enlarge that whole  
22 area.

23 Q So, DOJ race designation, Hispanic, correct?

24 A Yes.

25 Q What's the DPS race for Hispanic over here in this column?

1 A That's the H.

2 Q Okay. And how many of the ones out of the 2.7 million who  
3 were identified by DOJ as Hispanic, and DPS self-identified  
4 public records had as Hispanic, how many was the total count?

5 A 717,857.

6 Q So, go down, drop down to the bottom one. Hispanic in  
7 DOJ's document, DPS self-identified race, White, how many were  
8 those?

9 A 1,784,838.

10 Q American Indian, what is the designation -- so, walk  
11 through -- walk the Court through, if you would, the DPS self-  
12 identified race identifier that's in our -- the public record.

13 A The A designation is Asian and Pacific Islander; B is  
14 Black; H is Hispanic; I is American Indian and Alaskan Native;  
15 O is other; and W is White.

16 Q And those are all self-identified by the customer,  
17 correct?

18 A That is correct.

19 Q Is there any kind of -- I mean, you-all don't attempt to  
20 try and classify people, do you?

21 A We do not. We take whatever -- the customer service  
22 representative takes whatever is entered on the form,  
23 whatever's disclosed by the, the applicant and enters that into  
24 the driver license database.

25 Q And that is the material that's contained in your records,

1 the Department of Public Safety records that you're in charge  
2 of?

3 A That's correct.

4 Q Okay.

5 **MR. SCOTT:** Okay. If you'll reduce that, Brian, and  
6 go back up to Page 1, please, sir. If you'd enlarge this  
7 section over here.

8 Q Tell us what we're looking at.

9 A That is the summary of the, the number of records that  
10 matched in the first column. For example, 216,782 matched on  
11 Asian; 92,656 were different, between DOJ and DPS data.

12 Q With regard to the DOJ -- so, next, next door to that  
13 you've got DPS and DOJ same, correct?

14 A That's correct. That was a match.

15 Q So, and by "match," it means simply that they are the  
16 same? I mean, the designation by DOJ and the report that they  
17 produced on race classification, and the self-identified  
18 Department of Public Safety records are the same, correct?

19 A That's correct.

20 Q Now, the next column is DPS and DOJ different. What's,  
21 what's contained within that column?

22 A That's a summary of the number of records that had a  
23 different race designation between DPS and DOJ data.

24 Q And so, when we look down at -- is -- what the total down  
25 here, what is that 3.1 -- 3,151,228 related to?

1 A Of the records that we match, the 12.6 million, I believe,  
2 is the number at the bottom of the page, those are the  
3 number -- that's the number that were different, which the race  
4 designation was different between the Department of Justice and  
5 DPS data.

6 Q The last column, it is another set of numbers that's to  
7 the right, it's in yellow highlighted, but why are those  
8 numbers -- what -- explain that column.

9 A In -- until 2010, Hispanic was not a choice that could be  
10 made in the DPS database. In other words, you couldn't self-  
11 identify as Hispanic. That capability became possible in 2010.  
12 So that is the number of people who either applied for an  
13 original driver license or ID. card, or who renewed their  
14 license in a driver license office since 210 -- I'm sorry,  
15 since 2010.

16 Q So --

17 A And --

18 Q So let me stop you there. Prior to 2010 -- and I think  
19 it's November 2009 was the first time we started collecting  
20 that data as a state?

21 A The very end of 2009 was in three offices, that's when it  
22 began.

23 Q And that was the first time that the designation  
24 "Hispanic" was set out by itself; is that correct?

25 A That is correct.

1 Q Okay. With regard to that, are the numbers in the right-  
2 hand side, those have all been developed as a result of self-  
3 identification subsequent to this 2010 date; is that correct?

4 A That's correct.

5 Q Okay. And what is the total of misclassifications since  
6 2010 and the Hispanic information has been being captured?

7 A The total of all of them is 1,813,217.

8 Q So does that mean then that the Hispanic -- I guess I want  
9 to make sure we can clarify something. How is it possible then  
10 that we have Hispanic over here in the middle column that is  
11 different than this number over on the far hand -- right-hand  
12 side?

13 A Because since 2010 -- and let me make sure I got this  
14 clear -- since 2010 the option of, of entering Hispanic as a  
15 race in the driver license database became possible, so that  
16 1,047,000 people who were designated Hispanic in the Department  
17 of Justice data had the opportunity to enter Hispanic as a race  
18 in the driver license database.

19 Q So how often does the field turn over? So we've got how  
20 many records, approximately, in the system?

21 A In the -- in the total driver license database --

22 Q Yes.

23 A -- I believe it's about 28 million.

24 Q But you compared this only to the data extracted from  
25 January and -- that were provided to the common interest

1 Plaintiffs, and in July after the DPS snafu, those documents  
2 were providing -- another 3.1 million approximate records were  
3 provided, correct?

4 A That's, that's correct.

5 Q And out of that grand universe, and only out of that grand  
6 universe, was this match done as evidenced in Defendants'  
7 Exhibit 2746 for identification purposes, correct?

8 A That is correct.

9 Q Okay.

10 MR. SCOTT: Your Honor, at this time we would offer  
11 Defendants' Exhibit 2746.

12 MR. DUNN: Your Honor, we have the reliability  
13 objections that we made earlier and others that we're going to  
14 tease out in cross examination, so -- but we don't object to  
15 the admission of the exhibit, just that, that it's hearsay and  
16 that it shouldn't be relied upon for any matter.

17 MR. SCOTT: The underlying records are from public  
18 records to the --

19 THE COURT: Okay. Anyone --

20 MR. SCOTT: -- Department of Justice.

21 THE COURT: Anyone else? So then --

22 MR. SCOTT: I'm sorry.

23 THE COURT: Go ahead.

24 MS. BALDWIN: Again, we're not going to try and  
25 preclude the testimony, but it's likely that at the end we're



1 going to ask your Honor to not give the testimony any weight as  
2 improper expert testimony.

3 **THE COURT:** It's going to the weight, but no  
4 objection to its admissibility, is what I'm hearing.

5 **MR. DUNN:** Yes.

6 **THE COURT:** Okay. So, it will be admitted.

7 **(Defendants' Exhibit Number 2746 was received in evidence)**

8 **MR. SCOTT:** Pass the witness.

9 **MS. BALDWIN:** Good morning, Mr. Crawford.

10 **THE WITNESS:** Good morning.

11 **MS. BALDWIN:** Just let me get situated. One moment.

12 **(Pause)**

13 **MS. BALDWIN:** And if I could approach the witness,  
14 your Honor, just to him a copy --

15 **THE COURT:** Yes.

16 **THE WITNESS:** Thanks.

17 **CROSS EXAMINATION**

18 **BY MS. BALDWIN:**

19 Q Good morning. And I'm Anna Baldwin representing the  
20 United States. We've met before at your deposition.

21 A Yes. Good morning.

22 Q Good morning. So, I just wanted to -- I don't want to  
23 belabor some of the points that you've discussed with Mr. Scott  
24 and also with Mr. Dunn, but in practical terms at the  
25 Department of Public Safety, you supervise a team of people who

1 actually do programming and coding, correct?

2 A That is correct.

3 Q And you don't do that work yourself?

4 A That is correct, I do not.

5 Q And you didn't do any of the underlying work that went  
6 into this chart that we've seen this morning yourself?

7 A I did not.

8 Q So, just in order to understand the steps that had to be  
9 taken to make up this chart, the DPS database is a relational  
10 database made up of a lot of interlocking tables; is that  
11 right?

12 A The database is, yes, that's correct.

13 Q And so, just to get the information out of that database,  
14 you have to write programming code to extract relevant  
15 information, right?

16 A That is correct.

17 Q And you didn't do that?

18 A I did not.

19 Q And, in fact, because you don't code, you don't know -- if  
20 you saw the code, could you even be sure that it was accurate  
21 and correctly done?

22 A I could not, no.

23 Q Okay. So that's the first task that has to be done, is  
24 the actual extract of the relevant records from DPS to be  
25 compared. The second task, you said there was a match done and

1 this is sort of in the conversation you were having with  
2 Mr. Scott almost just assumed, it -- you can't just  
3 automatically take these records from the voter registration  
4 database and say, "Oh, it matches to this record from the  
5 driver's license database," right? You have to develop  
6 matching criteria, right?

7 A That's correct. That is correct.

8 Q And you're not at all expert in developing matching  
9 criteria, are you?

10 A I am not.

11 Q Do you even know what the matching criteria were that were  
12 used in that?

13 A Yes, I -- I believe that I do.

14 Q And what were those?

15 A The first match was on driver license number and last  
16 name. And, so, if you get a match there, on those two  
17 elements, then that's considered a good match. For records  
18 that didn't match there, another criteria was, I believe,  
19 social security number and last name. I don't have the -- all  
20 of the criteria here, but --

21 Q So -- so, sitting here today, you're not actually sure  
22 what matching criteria were used.

23 A I can't repeat that to you.

24 **MR. SCOTT:** Objection. Mischaracterizes the  
25 evidence. He's identified two (indiscernible).

1           **MS. BALDWIN:** Two. But there were more than two,  
2 right?

3           **THE WITNESS:** I --

4           **THE COURT:** Overruled.

5           **THE WITNESS:** There were -- I believe there were  
6 four.

7 **BY MS. BALDWIN:**

8 Q And do you know what the other two were?

9 A I can't recall off the top of my head, no.

10 Q And would you understand that what the specific matching  
11 criteria are could be important to how records are connected?

12 A Yes.

13 Q And using better or worse criteria could result in a  
14 correct identifying two people who are actually the same or  
15 using poor criteria could result in connecting people who  
16 aren't actually the same?

17 A Yes.

18 Q But, again, sitting here today, you don't actually know  
19 what was done?

20 A I know what was done; I can't recall the specific details  
21 without referring to some notes or some information from the  
22 developer.

23 Q Okay. Because you weren't the developer.

24 A I was not the developer. That's correct.

25 Q And you don't have any expertise to evaluate the

1 appropriateness of those steps that were taken, in any event,  
2 do you?

3 A Expertise? Well, I'd say that in years of I.T.  
4 experience, I have experience in understanding what it takes to  
5 match two records together.

6 Q Okay. Have you done any peer-reviewed studies of database  
7 matching?

8 A I have not.

9 Q Do you keep up with the scholarship on the best techniques  
10 in database matching?

11 A I personally do not.

12 Q So -- and, then, so we've talked about there is the  
13 extraction stage, there's the matching stage, and then there's  
14 the comparing the actual -- once the records have been matched,  
15 comparing what the race is in one dataset with what the race is  
16 in another dataset, correct?

17 A Yes.

18 Q And that also takes a separate set of code to make that  
19 comparison, correct?

20 A Yes.

21 Q And you didn't write that code.

22 A I did not.

23 Q And if you reviewed that code, you would have no basis to  
24 know whether there were errors in it or not.

25 A I personally would not.

1 Q So, essentially, if I understand your testimony, you  
2 didn't do the extraction; you didn't do the match; and you  
3 didn't do the comparison of the race; and, in fact, on some of  
4 those steps you don't know what was done, you don't know how it  
5 would do it, but you're just asking the Court to trust you and  
6 vouch that the information that you've put up is accurate. Is  
7 that correct?

8 A I -- I trust the people who work for me to know how to do  
9 those jobs. So, yes, when they tell me that -- that they've  
10 done the work correctly, then -- then, yes, I believe them,  
11 based on my experience in working with them.

12 Q So, your testimony today is based solely on what you were  
13 told by someone else.

14 A That is correct.

15 Q Somebody who's not here in the courtroom and who, in fact,  
16 hasn't even been identified to the plaintiffs.

17 A For this particular extract, yes.

18 Q Now, I saw that you were in court some yesterday. Were  
19 you here during the testimony of Dr. Hood?

20 A I'm sorry; which -- who was Dr. Hood?

21 Q Sure. Dr. Hood is an expert who was retained by the  
22 State. He was talking about some of the matching --

23 A Yes, I was here for part of his testimony.

24 Q Okay. And, so, did you know that -- again, Dr. Hood was  
25 retained by the State of Texas?

1 A I did not know that before, no, before being in the  
2 courtroom.

3 Q Do you know that Dr. Hood has done his own database  
4 matching in prior cases?

5 A I was not aware of that, no.

6 Q Do you know why the State asked the Department of Public  
7 Safety to do this matching rather than its own expert who it  
8 hired for this litigation and has done matching in previous  
9 cases?

10 A I do not.

11 Q You understand that -- this work that you did here, I just  
12 want to get clear on the timeline of it as well. When was the  
13 first time that DPS conducted any comparison of the race  
14 information from information produced by the plaintiffs to DPS  
15 self-identified race?

16 A I believe that we received the file on -- last week, on  
17 September 3rd, and the matching exercise began on the 4th of  
18 September.

19 Q Okay. So, DPS, your testimony is, had done nothing prior  
20 to look at any mismatches between race I.D.'s prior to  
21 September 3rd.

22 A Not to my recollection, no.

23 Q But that involvement, of course, wasn't DPS's first  
24 involvement in data used in this case, right?

25 A That's correct.

1 Q Okay. And you understand that DPS was required to produce  
2 to the United States an extract of the DPS database showing  
3 people who have driver's licenses or personal I.D.'s, right?

4 A Yes.

5 Q And for that case, that production occurred way back in  
6 February, right?

7 A Yes.

8 Q And the February production was supposed to be a snapshot  
9 of certain information from the driver's license database as it  
10 existed on January 15th, 2014, right?

11 A That is correct.

12 Q And there were serious errors in the production of that  
13 January 15th extract; isn't that true?

14 A There were errors. There were -- there was missing data,  
15 yes.

16 Q In fact, there were millions of driver's license and  
17 personal I.D. records that should have been extracted and  
18 produced back in February that weren't, right?

19 A That is correct.

20 Q And that happened because of a programming error by DPS  
21 staff.

22 A That is correct.

23 Q Somebody at DPS wrote incorrect computer code, and it  
24 resulted in millions of records being omitted from a very  
25 straightforward process of extracting data from the database,



1 right?

2 A I'm not sure I would agree that it was straightforward.

3 Q Okay.

4 A It's a very complex database.

5 Q Okay. So, it's a complex database, and the extraction was  
6 done incorrectly, and millions of records were omitted.

7 A That's correct.

8 Q And the process you've just put up here, extraction is  
9 only the first step. You have to do several other programming  
10 steps after that, right?

11 A Well, the data that was used here had already been  
12 extracted, so extraction was not part of this exercise.

13 Q Okay. Going back to January, though, so there was an  
14 error that resulted in millions of records being omitted,  
15 correct?

16 A That's correct.

17 Q And at your deposition we talked about how in the normal  
18 course of business at DPS code that was used for the January  
19 extract would have been subject to review by a quality  
20 assurance team, correct?

21 A I'm sorry; would you repeat that, please.

22 Q At your deposition in January -- at your deposition we  
23 talked about how in the normal course of business at DPS code  
24 like the code that was used for the January extract would have  
25 been subject to a quality assurance team review.

1 A In the normal course of business, yes, that's true.

2 Q But for that data production in this case, there was no  
3 quality assurance team, right?

4 A That's correct.

5 Q And that happened because you weren't given adequate time,  
6 your staff wasn't given adequate time to do the extract, right?

7 A We were not given adequate time to include a formal  
8 quality assurance activity.

9 Q And in your deposition you testified that you were  
10 concerned about having to run that extract quickly, right?

11 A Yes.

12 Q And you were concerned about the accuracy, potentially,  
13 given the quickness, that that could have an impact.

14 A I was concerned about how quickly we needed to provide the  
15 data, yes.

16 Q And you also testified that it would have been your usual  
17 practice, when you have a concern about the accuracy of your  
18 work that you were being asked to do, that you would express  
19 that concern to others.

20 A Yes.

21 Q And you also testified that -- excuse me. Strike that.

22 Notwithstanding the concern that you couldn't follow  
23 the normal quality assurance process and you didn't -- you  
24 know, you were concerned about the amount of time, you didn't  
25 realize that this mistake had been made until July. Is that

1 correct?

2 A That's correct.

3 Q So, months passed before anybody had any idea that the  
4 data that all the parties in this case were relying on was  
5 incomplete.

6 A That's correct.

7 Q So, if we could pull up the Crawford declaration; and next  
8 page; and -- so, zoom into paragraph three.

9 So, this paragraph reviews what we have just been  
10 talking about, that for data that was produced in February, it  
11 took until July 22nd to realize, you know, more than six months  
12 later, that there had been a problem with the programming,  
13 right?

14 A Yes.

15 Q And, so, again, just as a comparison, this process  
16 happened last week, and nobody, outside of DPS, has had a  
17 chance to review the code that was used for the information you  
18 presented to the Court today.

19 A That's correct.

20 Q So, in your declaration you told the Court that --

21 If we could zoom out of that paragraph; can we go to  
22 the next page? Can we zoom in on paragraph five?

23 -- said that the misapplication of the data qualifier  
24 resulted in the inadvertent exclusion of approximately 2.8  
25 million records. And that 2.8 number that you provided there

1 turned out to be inaccurate, correct?

2 A It was -- it was low, yes.

3 Q What DPS ultimately produced to the Department of Justice  
4 was not 2.8 million records, but 3.1 million records, right?

5 A That's correct.

6 Q So, the number of records that you told the Court in a  
7 sworn declaration would be affected was off by about 300,000,  
8 correct?

9 A That is correct.

10 Q And didn't you testify in your deposition that the  
11 2.8 million figure that you had put in that sworn statement had  
12 just been based on a guess?

13 A It was based on an estimate by the programmer that was --  
14 that was addressing this issue.

15 Q If you could turn in your deposition to page 67.

16 Shirley, let's pull this up on the screen if we  
17 could; 67 line four -- 24.

18 **MR. SPEAKER:** I'm sorry; which line?

19 **MS. BALDWIN:** So, we'll start at line 24. How did --  
20 and then we'll go to the next page.

21 **BY MS. BALDWIN:**

22 Q "QUESTION: How did someone arrive at the estimate of  
23 2.8 million?

24 And your answer:

25 "ANSWER: I believe that Bob Brandel examined some of

1 the database parameters" --

2 We're on the next page.

3 -- "to get a rough idea of what would be -- what the  
4 number would -- would likely be.

5 "QUESTION: In more concrete terms, can you explain  
6 what you mean by 'examine database parameters'? If  
7 you look at a count of the number of status records  
8 that appeared on the database, which I believe was  
9 over four million, with the assumption that some of  
10 those records would have appeared in the January  
11 extract, it's just a rough estimate based on that  
12 number. In other words, taking the number of four  
13 million, it's just a guess based on a proportion."

14 And your answer was: "Yes."

15 A A guess based on a proportion, yes.

16 Q Okay. And did you tell the Court in your sworn statement  
17 that 2.8 was -- million records being affected was just a  
18 guess?

19 A A guess based on a proportion.

20 Q You put that in your sworn statement to the Court, that  
21 the 2.8 million number of records affected was a guess based on  
22 a proportion? We can pull up your declaration, but I don't --

23 A Yes.

24 Q -- believe that language appears in it.

25 Could we go back to the declaration? If we could go

1 to the next page.

2 So, I see in paragraph five:

3 "The misapplication of the data qualifier resulted in  
4 the inadvertent exclusion of approximately  
5 2.8 million records."

6 There is no qualification that that's a guess based  
7 on a proportion, is there?

8 A No. It says approximately.

9 Q Okay. So, I want to move on and talk about what you've  
10 been asked to do today. So, you talked with Mr. Scott about  
11 the fact that there was a long period of time during which DPS  
12 did not give anyone the option to self-identify as Hispanic,  
13 correct?

14 A That is correct. Prior to 2010 that was not an option.

15 Q So, if we could bring up Plaintiffs' 942; and the second  
16 page. If we could go to the prior -- in the first paragraph,  
17 prior to May, 2010, and zoom in on that first paragraph.

18 "Prior to May, 2010" --

19 And this is a letter that we've seen a number of  
20 times before from the director of elections to the Department  
21 of Justice sent in 2012.

22 "Prior to May, 2010, the DPS followed Federal Office  
23 of Management and Budget guidelines, which does not  
24 categorize Hispanic as a race. Thus, in following  
25 DOJ's directive to match voter registration lists

1 with the list of driver's license and I.D. holders  
2 produces anomalous and highly misleading results.  
3 Due to the recent addition of Hispanic to the  
4 driver's license and personal I.D. application, the  
5 number of Hispanic I.D. holders in Texas is  
6 exponentially higher than DPS's raw data indicates."  
7 You don't have any basis to disagree with that  
8 statement, do you?

9 A I've never seen it before --

10 **MR. SCOTT:** Your Honor, under the rule of optional  
11 completeness, may the witness be able to read the rest of the  
12 document?

13 **THE COURT:** Yes.

14 **MR. SCOTT:** Do you have a copy for the witness?

15 **MS. BALDWIN:** Sure. I'm happy to hand up a copy.

16 **MR. SCOTT:** Specifically, that portion that applies  
17 to November -- that they started capturing that information,  
18 November 29, 2009.

19 **MS. BALDWIN:** And, Mr. Scott, I don't have the --

20 **MR. SCOTT:** What is this?

21 **MS. BALDWIN:** This is Plaintiffs' 942. I don't have  
22 the Excel spreadsheets that were attached, but I do have the  
23 complete letter.

24 And the portion I was reading from is on this  
25 paragraph.

1           **THE WITNESS:** And you want me to read --

2           **MS. BALDWIN:** I think Mr. Scott wanted --

3           **MR. SCOTT:** You were about to review the document.

4           **THE WITNESS:** Okay.

5           **MR. SCOTT:** Your Honor, may I approach the witness?

6           **THE COURT:** Yes.

7           **MR. SCOTT:** Just to look at it; I don't have a copy  
8 either.

9           **(Pause)**

10          **BY MS. BALDWIN:**

11          Q       Okay. So, my question is, you don't contest that there  
12 are errors that still today remain in DPS's race data because  
13 until fairly recently a major demographic group in the state of  
14 Texas, Hispanics, had no option of correctly self-reporting  
15 their race.

16          A       I don't think I am in a position to say whether those are  
17 errors or not.

18          Q       So, if I got a -- if I identified as Hispanic and I got a  
19 driver's license in January, 2009, and I had no option to  
20 choose Hispanic, you don't think that anything that the person  
21 selected would be an error because they don't actually identify  
22 as any of those races?

23          A       I don't personally have the kind of background to  
24 understand what that means.

25          Q       Okay.



1 A At the time that someone applies for a license and is  
2 required to provide information, it's whatever information is  
3 available to provide.

4 Q Right. And, so, but there certainly could be a scenario  
5 where, until very recently, the option that a person wanted to  
6 select, who is Hispanic, wouldn't have been available to them,  
7 correct?

8 A Hispanic was not an available choice --

9 Q Okay.

10 A -- in the January, 2010, that you -- example that you  
11 cited.

12 Q So, as a result of not having Hispanic as an option, there  
13 are a number of entries -- I mean, well -- strike that. Let me  
14 step back.

15 So, your testimony is you don't know whether there  
16 are any errors or not as relates to Hispanic self-I.D.'s in the  
17 DPS driver license database?

18 A Uh, what would you -- I don't know what your definition of  
19 "error" is.

20 Q Someone who, in fact, identifies themselves as Hispanic  
21 that's not identified as Hispanic in the driver's license  
22 database.

23 A If the option wasn't there, I don't see how that could be  
24 classified as an error.

25 Q But it wouldn't correctly represent their self-

1 identification, right?

2 A If someone wanted to identify as Hispanic and couldn't,  
3 then they couldn't.

4 **MS. BALDWIN:** Right. Okay.

5 Well, I'd just like to -- I'm going to hand up an  
6 exhibit just because it's an excerpt from the driver license  
7 database and which has been, you know, marked as highly  
8 confidential, so I, you know, wouldn't want to publish that to  
9 the Court without consent. I can hand around a copy.

10 **MR. SCOTT:** Yeah, I -- I mean, I think that's the  
11 goal; we're trying to keep confidential information of the  
12 citizens out of the record, so --

13 **MS. BALDWIN:** And it's been redacted to have social  
14 security and address information taken out.

15 **(Pause)**

16 **MS. BALDWIN:** And I apologize for the small type on  
17 this.

18 **BY MS. BALDWIN:**

19 Q Mr. Crawford, do you know who Rafael Edward Cruz is?

20 A I do not.

21 Q Can we pull up the Texas Tribune website that I sent  
22 earlier? And could we click on the link that says "Ted Cruz,"  
23 and I'll represent to you that he's much more commonly known  
24 under the U.S. Congress Senators? All the way on the other  
25 side. Get my pointer.

1                   **MR. SPEAKER:** Here?

2                   **BY MS. BALDWIN:**

3           Q       Now, can -- now, in this -- this is an excerpt from the  
4           driver license database. Do you see under "race" where it  
5           identifies Rafael Edward Cruz as white?

6           A       Yes.

7           Q       Do you have any knowledge of whether Senator Ted Cruz is,  
8           in fact, Hispanic?

9           A       I personally do not, unless -- well, just based on the  
10          information that's on the screen there.

11          Q       Okay. Let's look at the next entry on this sheet, which  
12          is for Filemon Bartolome Vela. If we could hit the "back" and  
13          look under the Congressional delegation; scrolling down, under  
14          the B's. All the way at the bottom; can we keep -- can we --  
15          whoo. Can we click right there?

16                   **MR. SPEAKER:** No.

17                   **MS. BALDWIN:** Vela, not Veasey. Vela.

18                   **MR. SPEAKER:** Okay.

19                   **BY MS. BALDWIN:**

20          Q       And, Mr. Crawford, what race does the DPS driver license  
21          database identify Mr. Vela as?

22          A       White.

23          Q       And in this public profile what race is he identified as?

24          A       Hispanic.

25          Q       Okay. Let's hit "back" again. And if we could take a

1 look at Ruben Eloy Hinojosa. And in the driver license  
2 database what race is U.S. Congressman Hinojosa identified as?

3 A White.

4 Q And what race is he identified as here in this public  
5 profile?

6 A Hispanic.

7 Q Okay. If we could go back, and if we could take a look at  
8 U.S. Representative Cuellar, Henry Cuellar; what race is  
9 Enrique Roberto Cuellar identified as by DPS?

10 A Hispanic.

11 Q Hispanic. And here is a case -- the entire Congressional  
12 delegation doesn't appear to be misidentified. If we could hit  
13 "back."

14 Now, the next two entries on your sheet are Joaquin  
15 and Julian Castro. Do you know who those men are?

16 A Yes, I believe that they're brothers, and one -- I believe  
17 one is a Congressman and the other is a former mayor of San  
18 Antonio.

19 Q Right, who is currently a cabinet member?

20 A Yes.

21 Q And they're twins, right?

22 A Yes. That's my understanding.

23 Q And do you see that DPS has identified one of them as  
24 white and the other as Hispanic?

25 MR. SCOTT: Objection --

1           **THE WITNESS:** DPS did not --

2           **MR. SCOTT:** -- to form, your Honor. I think the  
3 representation by this witness -- it mischaracterizes his  
4 testimony. The information in the public database that is the  
5 Department of Public Safety records is self-identified  
6 information from the customer who is registered. And I think  
7 that's the only testimony that this witness has said, so I  
8 object to the mischaracterization of the evidence.

9           **MS. BALDWIN:** The DPS database identifies one of --

10          **THE COURT:** I don't remember --

11          **MS. BALDWIN:** Oh, I'm sorry.

12          **THE COURT:** -- the question now, as it was phrased,  
13 so start over.

14          **MS. BALDWIN:** Okay. I'll withdraw whatever that  
15 question was, your Honor, and ask it better.

16          **BY MS. BALDWIN:**

17          Q       You would agree that in the DPS database one of these men,  
18 who are brothers, is identified as White and the other as  
19 Hispanic.

20          A       That's what's contained in the DPS database according to  
21 this sheet, yes.

22          Q       Okay. Let's take a look at Pete Gallego, Representative  
23 Pete Gallego. And in the DPS database what race is  
24 Representative Gallego listed as?

25          A       White.

1 Q And here we see in this public profile that he's  
2 identified as Hispanic, correct?

3 A Hispanic. Yes.

4 Q And there are a few more, but just last, do you know who  
5 Alberto Gonzalez is? The --

6 A It --

7 Q -- the former Attorney General of the United States?

8 A The former attorney -- yes. I -- I recognize that name.

9 Q Yes, sir.

10 A There is no identification here that says that's who that  
11 is, but --

12 Q I'll represent to you based on the data brick that that  
13 is, in fact, the former attorney general; and what race is he  
14 listed as in the DPS database?

15 A White.

16 Q Okay. So, do these -- these are anecdotal examples, but I  
17 want to look at the demonstrative that you've actually created  
18 for this case.

19 If we could bring up what I called the "new proffer";  
20 and go to the next page. Zoom in big on that, please.

21 So, if I understand, every individual represented in  
22 the charts that appear on the left-hand side, that's you know,  
23 representing a voter who you're saying has matched to the DPS  
24 database. So, it -- each of those numbers represents a  
25 registered voter who, based on the match, would have a DPS form

1 of I.D. Is that correct?

2 A That is correct.

3 Q Okay. And, so, this chart doesn't, by itself, list the  
4 number of registered voters who are Hispanic, in total,  
5 according to DPS race classifications, right? It just breaks  
6 out -- in other words, it goes -- the Catalist, or what's  
7 referred to here as Anso race, and then the concordance with  
8 the DPS race, but it -- this -- the demonstrative never gives a  
9 total for in total of all the people who matched, how many  
10 people DPS says are Hispanic, right?

11 A I'm sorry; could you do that one more time, please?

12 Q Sure. If I wanted to figure out, based on the match, the  
13 total number of registered voters who DPS has identified to be  
14 Hispanic and have a driver's license database, that number  
15 isn't already given in this chart.

16 A Well, DPS doesn't identify anyone as Hispanic. The -- the  
17 individuals do.

18 Q Sure. And I don't mean to be tripping up over that. But  
19 the self-identification, if I wanted to know the number of  
20 people who've self-identified as Hispanic who are registered  
21 voters, there's -- who you matched -- there's not one number  
22 that represents that in this chart.

23 (Pause)

24 A Would you ask it one more time, please?

25 Q Sure.

1 A It's --

2 Q If I wanted to know how many people DPS has in its  
3 database listed as Hispanic, there's not one number who are  
4 registered voters; you never give a summary note total,  
5 Hispanic registered -- Hispanic, according to DPS self-I.D.'s,  
6 Hispanic registered voters, right? That's not a number that's  
7 already added up.

8 A It's not, because we don't know who registered voters are.

9 Q But based on your match, you don't provide a summary of  
10 the match total. Everybody on this chart is registered voters,  
11 as you just testified.

12 A Okay.

13 Q Okay. So, if we wanted to figure out the total number of  
14 people who matched and who are identified in the DPS database  
15 as Hispanic, would you agree with me that we would take from  
16 each of those boxes the number of "H" for Hispanic here, the  
17 number for "H" for Hispanic here, the number for "H" for  
18 Hispanic here, and so on, and just add those up, and that would  
19 be all the people who are matched who have a I.D. in the DPS  
20 database as Hispanic?

21 A Yes, I believe that's correct.

22 Q Okay. Well, let's do that math. If I could approach with  
23 a calculator?

24 (Pause)

25 So, starting in this chart, let's enter 1,750 and add



1 11,814, and then add 68,737. If we could go to the next page,  
2 so, the number Hispanics listed here is 717,857, and add to  
3 that 869, and add to that 2,639. And what total do you get?

4 A I get 803,666.

5 Q Me, too. So, that number seems awfully low to me.  
6 Doesn't it to you? That out of 12 million voters who matched  
7 to an I.D., only a little over 800,000 Hispanic registered  
8 vote -- people who are Hispanic have a DPS I.D., if this is the  
9 metric you're using?

10 A And what's your question?

11 Q Doesn't it seem awfully low to think that there are only  
12 800,000 Hispanic registered voters with an I.D. in the state of  
13 Texas out of 12 million?

14 A I -- I don't have the -- the knowledge to -- to speculate  
15 on -- on how many there should be.

16 Q So, there is a figure called "Spanish Surname Voter  
17 Registration." Have you ever heard of that?

18 A I have not.

19 Q Okay. Just so we can define it, let's pull up PL-694 and  
20 go to page 16 of this document. This is a document from the  
21 Texas Legislative Council. And just as a basis for my  
22 question -- I'm sorry; it's page 10. It was 16 of the PDF.

23 "Spanish Surname Voter Registration, also reported in  
24 the Secretary of State's statewide voter registration  
25 database, is generated using a comparison to the 2000

1           Census Bureau list of Spanish surnames. While most  
2           sources agree that the match between people who have  
3           Spanish surnames and those who consider themselves  
4           Hispanic is relatively good in Texas, the Census  
5           Bureau estimates a 90-percent correlation for this  
6           state. The number of reported registered voters with  
7           Spanish surname is not a precise measure of Hispanic  
8           voter registration."

9           And it continues.

10          So, basically, the idea of this is the Secretary of  
11       State keeps a list of voters who have Spanish surnames. So  
12       that we can compare how that 800,000 number of people listed as  
13       Hispanic in the DPS database compares to the Spanish surname  
14       voter registration population, I'd like to just briefly show  
15       you --

16                Could you bring up the C-235 redistricting report?

17                And I'm just going to show you this so you can see  
18       that it's the basis for the next demonstrative and where the  
19       figures are taken from. This is a document that was created --

20                Can you zoom in, in the top corner over there?

21                By the Texas Legislative Council.

22                You can hide that now.

23                And this list -- this is for all the congressional  
24       districts in the state, and it lists the total voter  
25       registration and the percentage of Spanish surname voter

1 registration. And, so, I'm just showing you this chart just as  
2 the basis for everything in the next demonstrative is coming  
3 from.

4 If you could pull up the C-235 redistricting  
5 demonstrative.

6 So, this lists for each of the congressional  
7 districts the total voter registration, the same percent of  
8 Spanish surname voter registration, and then the raw total of  
9 registered voters who have Spanish surnames. And when you sum  
10 all of those numbers, you get -- if you can hide that pull-  
11 out -- you get this total number of 2.9 million.

12 Two point nine million is an awfully lot larger than  
13 the 803,666 figure on your demonstrative, isn't it?

14 A They're two different numbers, yes.

15 Q Okay. So, you're not taking the self-reported race  
16 information in DPS's database as the actual truth, are you?  
17 That's just what, based on the available options at any one  
18 time, what was entered, as far as you understand?

19 A That is the information that was entered into the  
20 database, yes.

21 Q And if -- it's likely that if one were to try and take  
22 that as the truth and to try and say, oh, this represents the  
23 number of Hispanics, it would likely significantly undercount  
24 Hispanics based on the simple fact that there was no option to  
25 self-identify until very recently, right?

1 A I -- I don't think that I am in a position to express an  
2 opinion on that.

3 Q Okay. Well, the 2.9 and 800,000 kind of speak for  
4 themselves.

5 We talked a little bit about this, but I just want to  
6 make sure we're clear that every time, prior to the option  
7 being available, that a Hispanic person was forced to self-  
8 identify and not given the option of Hispanic and they chose  
9 another race, that could be something that makes those other  
10 categories, like White or Black, not represent the actual truth  
11 of how that person self-identifies as well. Wouldn't you  
12 agree?

13 A If the option wasn't there that a person wanted to choose,  
14 that person would have to choose one of the available options.

15 Q Right. And you wouldn't have any way to know, sitting  
16 here today, which option somebody would have chosen if they  
17 weren't presented with Hispanic. There is no way to know what  
18 calculus every individual had to go through to make those  
19 choices.

20 A That's correct.

21 Q And, so, there is no way to know how many people actually  
22 identified as Hispanic and chose White.

23 A That's correct.

24 Q And there is no way to know how many people actually  
25 identified as Hispanic and chose Black.

1 A That's correct.

2 Q So, you don't have any basis to disagree with something  
3 that Mr. Ingram wrote in the letter, which you have up there,  
4 that:

5 "It's impossible to identify which racial  
6 classifications Texans of Hispanic descent selected  
7 on I.D. applications completed prior to May, 2010.  
8 For this reason, DPS's race data for racial  
9 classifications other than Hispanic are no doubt  
10 significantly distorted."

11 It's on the second page of that letter. If you'd  
12 pull up PL-942.

13 A Yeah, I can't -- oh, I see what you're --

14 Q You don't have any basis to disagree with -- with that  
15 statement?

16 A I don't have any basis to -- to disagree or make any kind  
17 of judgment on it.

18 Q Okay. If we could go back to the Crawford demonstrative,  
19 please; and the first page of the chart. Could we zoom in on  
20 this part of the page?

21 Do you know that prior to circulating this version of  
22 the chart your counsel circulated another version that had  
23 different labels of these? For the DPS and Anso same and DPS  
24 and Anso different?

25 A Yes.

1 Q And do you recall what the -- this column originally was  
2 called?

3 A I'm sorry; could you point it out? That one -- I believe  
4 that was initially labeled "correct."

5 Q And this one was originally labeled --

6 A That was originally labeled "incorrect."

7 Q And why were those changed?

8 A Because --

9 MR. SCOTT: Your Honor --

10 THE WITNESS: -- I believe --

11 MR. SCOTT: -- to the extent it involves  
12 conversations with counsel, I would assert the attorney-client  
13 privilege. Department of Public Safety is one of our clients.  
14 They have been sued in this lawsuit --

15 THE COURT: Sustained.

16 MR. SCOTT: -- representing them.

17 BY MS. BALDWIN:

18 Q You would agree that it would be misleading to have called  
19 this "correct" and "incorrect" based on the fact that Hispanics  
20 didn't have an option to self-report race.

21 MR. SCOTT: Objection to form. Argumentative.

22 THE COURT: Overruled.

23 THE WITNESS: Would you -- one more time, please?

24 Q This chart would have been significantly misleading had  
25 this label been "correct" and this label been "incorrect" given

1 that Hispanics didn't have the option to self-report race until  
2 very recently.

3 A I'm not sure that I would agree with the word  
4 "misleading."

5 Q It wouldn't necessarily -- that data wouldn't necessarily  
6 represent those people's own correct self-identifications,  
7 because they didn't have the option to do so.

8 A Yes. I agree.

9 Q And, so, it would have been misleading to have called this  
10 "correct" and this second column "incorrect."

11 A I -- I can't say that I would agree with the statement of  
12 "misleading."

13 Q So, this column here, DPS and Anso different, who applied  
14 for original card or renewed their card in a field office since  
15 2010, what's the purpose of separating out the 2010 data here?

16 A Because Hispanic was an available choice in the driver  
17 license database starting in 2010; so that those people who  
18 either got an original driver license or I.D. card or who  
19 renewed their license in a -- in a DPS office, in a driver  
20 license office, had the option of selecting Hispanic as race in  
21 the race category.

22 Q But it's not something that's automatically updated, is  
23 it?

24 A No.

25 Q Okay. Could you pull up, please, the Germane (phonetic)

1 Martinez deposition at page 35? 9:05:24

2 Do you know Germane Martinez?

3 A I do.

4 Q Okay. This is from a deposition that she gave as a Rule  
5 30(b)(6) witness in 2012. And let's see; let's look at -- if  
6 we could zoom in on line three.

7 And she was asked:

8 "QUESTION: Once information as to certain licensee's  
9 ethnicity in terms of whether they're Hispanic or not  
10 is in the system, how is that information treated in  
11 the system? By that I'll be much more specific. Do  
12 you go back and revise the prior information?

13 "ANSWER: No, sir. It's only" --

14 And I believe that's supposed to be "updated" --

15 "-- when the applicant reapplies for some reason and  
16 if they choose to change it on their application at  
17 that time."

18 This testimony from a 30(b)(6) witness represents  
19 that it would only be updated at the time of making a totally  
20 new application. Are you saying that this testimony is -- do  
21 you have a basis to believe that this is incorrect?

22 A My understanding, and -- and remember I'm an I.T. person  
23 not a driver license division employee. My understanding is  
24 that when -- if you go into a driver license office to renew  
25 your license, you do have the option of updating information



1 about your -- that's associated with your particular license.

2 Q But, as you just said, you're an I.T. person, not a  
3 business person, right?

4 A That's correct.

5 Q And you don't work in the field offices.

6 A I do not.

7 OQ And you're not familiar with all of the procedures that  
8 occur in the field offices.

9 A I am not.

10 Q And this is someone who is a 30(b)(6) designee for the  
11 Department of Public Safety, and she testified that it's only  
12 at reapplication that there would be a option to change it.  
13 So, do you have any personal knowledge that allows you to say  
14 that this statement is incorrect?

15 A I don't think it --

16 MR. SCOTT: Your Honor, that's why we've done the  
17 chart the way we did, and so I don't know that this is  
18 proper -- well, sorry.

19 THE COURT: Go ahead.

20 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry?

21 THE COURT: Go ahead. You can answer.

22 THE WITNESS: Okay.

23 THE COURT: You can respond.

24 (Voices and whispers off the record)

25 //

1 **BY MS. BALDWIN:**

2 Q Does this language here -- just to be clear, does this say  
3 "renewal" or "reapply"?

4 A Renewal. I don't know what "reapplies for some reason"  
5 means in that context.

6 Q But it doesn't say that it happens at renewal, does it?

7 A That does not say that. That's correct.

8 Q If we could go back to the Crawford demonstrative. And  
9 go -- keep going. Can you zoom in on that chart, I think?

10 So, this chart shows for each racial group in here  
11 that Anso race, I understand, is information that was taken  
12 from the file from the Department of Justice? This is  
13 information from the Department of Justice file here in this  
14 Anso race column?

15 A I believe that's correct, yes.

16 Q And the same with this race confidence, highly likely,  
17 likely, possibly? Is that --

18 A That's my understanding, yes.

19 Q Okay. And, then, the DPS and Anso same; what does this  
20 column represent?

21 A That represents records in which the race field matched  
22 between the DPS and the Department of Justice data.

23 Q And the DPS and Anso different is where they didn't match?

24 A That's correct.

25 Q And, then, the sum is just the total of both of these?

1 A That's correct, yes.

2 Q Okay. So, if I wanted to find out the percentage that was  
3 correct -- let me not say correct -- the percentage that was  
4 the same between the DPS database and the DOJ file for, for  
5 example, Blacks, who had this highly likely race confidence,  
6 would you agree that I would divide four thousand four hundred  
7 fourteen six fifty-four over the sum?

8 A Yes. To -- that would produce the percentage, yes.

9 Q Could you do that for me?

10 A And which -- which number? Four fourteen --

11 Q The four fourteen six --

12 A -- six fifty-four?

13 Q Yes, sir; over the sum.

14 A That says 95.6 percent.

15 Q Okay. And let's do the likely. What percentage are the  
16 same for African Americans who are likely?

17 A That's 79.2 percent.

18 Q Okay. And Black possibly?

19 A I got 65.5 percent.

20 Q Okay. So, those are the percentages overlap between the  
21 DOJ Catalist race estimates and the DPS reports. Do you know  
22 that your -- a version of this chart was initially circulated  
23 to all parties in this case that had those percentages on the  
24 chart?

25 A I don't recall seeing that. I might have, but I don't

1 recall seeing it.

2 Q Okay. Well, they're not here now, at any rate.

3 **THE COURT:** Do you have an objection?

4 **MR. SCOTT:** Well, your Honor, to the extent that  
5 she's referring to demonstratives which by agreement of the  
6 parties we were going to provide and we did, in fact, provide  
7 to the other side all potential demonstratives we'd be using,  
8 we've decided to just use this one so far, so that's the only  
9 one.

10 **THE COURT:** Okay.

11 **MS. BALDWIN:** And my question is just whether he knew  
12 that there was another demonstrative that had this math already  
13 stated on it.

14 **THE COURT:** Okay.

15 **THE WITNESS:** I -- I don't recall seeing it. I may  
16 have, but I don't specifically remember seeing it.

17 **BY MS. BALDWIN:**

18 Q Okay. Let's do the same for the Caucasian highly likely,  
19 if we could. So, the percentage overlap, when you divide the  
20 same over the sum.

21 A That's 96.35 percent.

22 Q Okay. And the likely?

23 **(Pause)**

24 A I'm sorry; I typed it in wrong.

25 Q No problem.

1 A I've got to start over. Just a second. Four four five  
2 three seven nine two divided by four six -- oh, no, I'm sorry.  
3 I did it wrong again. We're talking about Caucasian likely.

4 Q Caucasian likely; so that --

5 A Eighteen -- eighteen ninety-three --

6 Q Seven twenty-two.

7 A -- seven two two --

8 Q Over two --

9 A -- divided by two zero --

10 Q Five two.

11 A -- five two --

12 Q Six one seven.

13 A -- six one seven. I did something wrong again. I'm  
14 sorry.

15 Q That's okay.

16 A I --

17 Q The percentages are what the percentages are. We can all  
18 clearly understand that you can calculate the percentage  
19 overlap from this.

20 The outlier in terms of the percentage overlap is  
21 with the Hispanic numbers; wouldn't you agree? These have a  
22 significantly lower percentage being the same than the  
23 Caucasian and the Black.

24 A It -- it would appear to be the case, yes.

25 Q And that could certainly be because of the lack of the

1 ability to self-identify as Hispanic.

2 A That would be a logical assumption, yes.

3 Q Okay. The last thing I just wanted to ask, you had  
4 previously testified that throughout this process you relied on  
5 your staff to make accurate data comparisons, correct?

6 A Yes.

7 Q Just the same as you relied on them to do the January data  
8 extract correctly.

9 A Yes.

10 MS. BALDWIN: That's all.

11 MR. DUNN: Your Honor, we have no further questions.

12 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Scott, then?

13 MR. SCOTT: No redirect, your Honor. We ask that  
14 this witness be excused.

15 THE COURT: All right. Thank you, sir. You can step  
16 down.

17 THE WITNESS: Thank you, your Honor. Do I leave  
18 everything here?

19 THE COURT: Yes. We'll get it.

20 THE WITNESS: Okay.

21 MR. SCOTT: (indiscernible) hand this to the Court.

22 THE WITNESS: Thank you.

23 (Witness stepped down; pause)

24 MR. SCOTT: Your Honor, we're -- going to be some  
25 readings, I think, of some excerpts of depositions at this

1 point in time, your Honor.

2 **THE COURT:** Okay.

3 **(Pause; voices and whispers off the record)**

4 **MS. WOLF:** Your Honor, Lindsey Wolf for the  
5 defendants. We're going to present the trial testimony of  
6 Major Forrest Mitchell both from the bench trial in the  
7 Section 5 case as well as Major Mitchell's deposition in this  
8 case, and I would just note for the record the bench trial  
9 transcript that we handed your Honor is a little bit broader  
10 than what we'll be reading into the record today. And I'll be  
11 starting from the trial testimony from the Section 5 case.

12 **THE COURT:** Okay.

13 **EXAMINATION OF FORREST MITCHELL**

14 **BY EXCERPTS OF DEPOSITION TESTIMONY**

15 **(QUESTIONS READ BY MS. WOLF; ANSWERS READ BY COUNSEL)**

16 "QUESTION: What is it that you do for the Texas  
17 Attorney General's Office?

18 "ANSWER: I'm a state criminal investigator with  
19 supervisory responsibility.

20 "QUESTION: And where do you currently live?

21 "ANSWER: I live in Austin, Texas, with my wife and  
22 children.

23 "QUESTION: How long have you worked for the Office  
24 of Attorney General in Austin?

25 "ANSWER: Since -- in September it will be 15 years.

1 "QUESTION: And what did you do when you first joined  
2 the office?

3 "ANSWER: When I first joined the office, I was  
4 assigned to the prosecution assistance division, and  
5 our job was to travel around the state and help  
6 smaller, rural jurisdictions in the capital murder  
7 prosecutions.

8 "QUESTION: And what was your next position with the  
9 Office of Attorney General after that?

10 "ANSWER: In June of 2005 I was promoted to the rank  
11 of lieutenant with special -- with the Special  
12 Investigations Unit.

13 "QUESTION: Can you tell the Court what it is that  
14 the Special Investigations Unit does?

15 "ANSWER: Yes. The Special Investigations Unit is a  
16 team of -- or has a team of investigators that  
17 conduct criminal investigations in a wide variety of  
18 areas. Some of these areas include money laundering,  
19 human trafficking, public integrity, white-collar  
20 crime, and election violations. I also have a team  
21 of investigators who assist local D.A.'s with  
22 criminal cases as well.

23 "QUESTION: When was this unit formed, sir?

24 "ANSWER: It was formed in the summer of 2005.

25 "QUESTION: And how many law enforcement officers do



1           you supervise total within the SIU?

2           "ANSWER: There are 40 investigators in the Special  
3           Investigations Unit.

4           "QUESTION: How -- do all of those work on elections  
5           investigations?

6           "ANSWER: No, sir, only a portion.

7           "QUESTION: And, in fact, of the 64 officers under  
8           your charge, how many work on election violations?

9           "ANSWER: We currently have three investigators  
10          assigned to work election cases in the State of  
11          Texas.

12          "QUESTION: And how do you refer to that subgroup of  
13          the SIU?

14          "ANSWER: We refer to them as the elections team.

15          "QUESTION: Now, what are the types of election code  
16          violations that the election team investigates?

17          "ANSWER: It would be illegal voting and other  
18          violations of the Texas Election Code or Penal Code  
19          offenses surrounding elections.

20          "QUESTION: And let's focus on the illegal voting  
21          cases for a moment. Are there different types of  
22          illegal voting cases that you investigate?

23          "ANSWER: Yes, sir. Under Chapter 64 of the Texas  
24          Election Code, the offense of illegal voting is kind  
25          of broken into four categories: The first category

1 would be an ineligible voter casting a ballot in an  
2 election; another would be voter impersonation;  
3 another example is a voter who votes more than once  
4 in an election; and then the final type of illegal  
5 voting is a person who marks a ballot contrary to the  
6 instructions of a voter.

7 "QUESTION: Okay. Now, one of the things you said is  
8 'voter impersonation.' What is that?

9 "ANSWER: Voter impersonation is when someone uses  
10 another's identity to cast a ballot in an election,  
11 either to vote again or to vote in an election  
12 they're not eligible to vote in.

13 "QUESTION: Now, I want to talk first before we go  
14 into that in more detail about the referral  
15 investigation process. How does the SIU learn of  
16 potential cases of election violations?

17 "ANSWER: The Office of the Attorney General receives  
18 investigative referral requests from a wide variety  
19 of sources. The first would be the Texas Secretary  
20 of State's Office; another source would be the local  
21 district and county attorneys, and then also from  
22 elections administrators and then from local law  
23 enforcement. And then when the Attorney General's  
24 Office receives these referrals, they're routed to  
25 the Special Investigations Unit.

1 "QUESTION: So we're clear, does the Office of  
2 Attorney General ever initiate investigations on its  
3 own without a referral?

4 "ANSWER: By our policy, we're referral driven.

5 "QUESTION: Are all election violation referrals to  
6 the Office of Attorney General typically routed to  
7 the SIU office?

8 "ANSWER: Yes, sir.

9 "QUESTION: Does the SIU or the Office of Attorney  
10 General's office have sole jurisdiction over election  
11 violation cases within the State of Texas?

12 "ANSWER: No, sir. Local district and county  
13 attorneys have concurrent jurisdiction on elections,  
14 and also the federal government has jurisdiction in,  
15 I would presume, national elections.

16 "QUESTION: Now, are those county D.A.'s or county  
17 attorneys, are they required to refer to the Office  
18 of Attorney General cases to you, or can they  
19 prosecute those on their own?

20 "ANSWER: There's no legal requirement for the local  
21 county and district attorneys to refer a case to us.  
22 They have concurrent jurisdiction and they're capable  
23 of investigating and prosecuting those on their own.

24 "QUESTION: In addition to your supervisory  
25 responsibilities, have you personally been assigned

1 to investigate election violations?

2 "ANSWER: Yes, sir. I have been assigned cases and I  
3 have assisted in investigation of election code  
4 offenses.

5 "QUESTION: And do you keep track of all election  
6 violation cases that are referred to your office?

7 "ANSWER: Yes, sir, I do."

8 **MS. WOLF:** And we're going to skip to page 42,  
9 line 22.

10 "QUESTION: Now, after you receive the referral and  
11 enter it on your spreadsheet, what do you do after  
12 that?

13 "ANSWER: Well, we evaluate the case and make sure  
14 that the criminal investigation is warranted, and  
15 then it would be approved -- or it would be assigned  
16 to a member of the elections team to investigate."

17 **MS. WOLF:** And we're going to skip to page 44, line  
18 three.

19 "QUESTION: Does your election spreadsheet contain  
20 federal investigations of election violations?

21 "ANSWER: No, sir. We don't have information on  
22 federal prosecutions or investigations.

23 "QUESTION: All right. Now, in terms of referrals of  
24 election violations to SIU for investigation, how  
25 many have been referred?

1 "ANSWER: Since approximately 2002, there have been  
2 320 referrals made to the Office of the Attorney  
3 General.

4 "QUESTION: And of those 320 referrals, how many  
5 different Texas counties does that represent?

6 "ANSWER: I believe it represents 97 of the 254  
7 counties.

8 "QUESTION: Now, you talked about the referral  
9 process and sometimes you don't proceed with it. So  
10 let me ask you, of the 320 referrals, how many has  
11 the SIU actually investigated?

12 "ANSWER: Since 2004, we've investigated a total of  
13 186 cases.

14 "QUESTION: So, of the 186 investigated cases that  
15 SIU has investigated, in general terms, the types of  
16 election code violations, what types of election code  
17 violations did those involve?

18 "ANSWER: These could be illegal voting or other  
19 violations of the Texas Election Code or Penal Code  
20 offenses.

21 "QUESTION: Of the 186 cases, how many of those have  
22 been referred for prosecution?

23 "ANSWER: Sixty-two.

24 "QUESTION: Of the 62 cases that have been referred  
25 to prosecution, how many have resulted in positive

1 outcomes or convictions?

2 "ANSWER: I believe that number is 50.

3 "QUESTION: One of the areas you described earlier is  
4 illegal voting, and I think one of those types is in-  
5 person voter fraud. So I want to ask you, of the 62  
6 cases referred for prosecution, how many of those  
7 involved voter impersonation?

8 "ANSWER: There are a total of six cases that  
9 involved voter impersonation that were prosecuted.

10 "QUESTION: And of those 62 cases referred for  
11 prosecution, how many involve in-person voter  
12 impersonation?

13 "ANSWER: There have been five cases that have been  
14 sent for prosecution involving in-person voter  
15 impersonation.

16 "QUESTION: Does this tally include any referrals  
17 from the May primaries?

18 "ANSWER: No, sir.

19 "QUESTION: Is there any case that you've  
20 investigated that comes to mind that involves in-  
21 person voter impersonation?

22 "ANSWER: Yes, sir, there is a case.

23 "QUESTION: Can you tell the Court the general facts  
24 of that case?

25 "ANSWER: This case involved the 2009 Progreso

1 Independent School District election down in Hidalgo  
2 County, and this case involved two brothers and their  
3 mother who went to the polling place. One brother --  
4 I'm sorry, if I may clarify. One brother was  
5 actually incarcerated in the state penitentiary in  
6 San Antonio. The other brother went to the polling  
7 place with the incarcerated brother's voter  
8 registration certificate and he presented himself as  
9 if he were his brother.

10 "This was discovered by a poll worker  
11 inside the location, and she alerted the election  
12 judge. However, since the voter had a lawful voter  
13 registration certificate, the elections department  
14 let him proceed to vote.

15 "QUESTION: Now, were there -- other than the two --  
16 other than the brother who attempted to vote on  
17 behalf of the other brother, was there another  
18 defendant involved in that case?

19 "ANSWER: Yes, there was. The other defendant, Reyna  
20 Almanza, is the mother of two sons, and she was  
21 actually present with Lorenzo Antonio Almanza, and  
22 she interjected with the election judge and vouched  
23 for the identity of her son who was using the  
24 impersonation -- the impersonated voter registration  
25 certificate.

1 "QUESTION: How is it that the case -- how was it  
2 that case was discovered and brought to the attention  
3 of the authorities?

4 "ANSWER: The case was brought to light through the  
5 poll worker who was present at the polling place.

6 "QUESTION: And the poll worker, did she know both  
7 brothers?

8 "ANSWER: Yes, she did. The poll worker actually  
9 went to high school with the incarcerated brother.

10 "QUESTION: As a supervisor or an investigator with  
11 SIU, you've worked on cases involving voter  
12 impersonation at the polling place?

13 "ANSWER: That's correct.

14 "QUESTION: From your experience, can you tell us how  
15 difficult it is to detect in-person voter  
16 impersonation at the polling place?

17 "ANSWER: I would say it's very difficult to detect.

18 "QUESTION: Why is that?

19 "ANSWER: Because it would require somebody at the  
20 polling place actually having personal knowledge of  
21 the voter who is presenting themselves as the  
22 impersonated voter, and then they would also  
23 additionally have to be able to observe how that  
24 person is checked in during the accepting a voter  
25 process.



1 "QUESTION: As compared to mail-in voting fraud, how  
2 difficult is in-person voter impersonation to detect  
3 or investigate?

4 "ANSWER: I believe in-person voter impersonation is  
5 more difficult to detect than mail-in ballot, for  
6 instance, voter impersonation. And it really deals  
7 with the amount of time that the suspect spends with  
8 the voters. In mail-in ballot cases, they spend a  
9 great deal of time with the voters and use their  
10 mail-in ballots to cast the election. In voter  
11 impersonation cases, there's very little interaction  
12 with the witnesses, so frequently they're unable to  
13 identify the suspects through a conventional photo  
14 array.

15 "QUESTION: In addition to this case, have you -- are  
16 you aware of any other additional pending voter  
17 impersonation cases within the State of Texas?

18 "ANSWER: Pending criminal cases?

19 "QUESTION: Yeah, currently.

20 "ANSWER: We currently have two pending criminal  
21 cases in the state of Texas right now with the  
22 Attorney General's office that involve voter  
23 impersonation. One is the Mara Comparion case out of  
24 Bexar County and then the second case is Lorenzo  
25 Antonio Almanza, the one in Hidalgo County.

1 "QUESTION: Are you aware of any others that your  
2 office is not pursuing or investigating?

3 "ANSWER: No, I'm not aware.

4 "QUESTION: Are you familiar --

5 "ANSWER: I apologize.

6 "QUESTION: Okay.

7 "ANSWER: I am aware -- sorry. I am aware of another  
8 case that is being investigated by a local District  
9 Attorney up in Tarrant County where a mother had her  
10 son vote in an election.

11 "QUESTION: Are you familiar with the voter ID bill  
12 at issue in this case, Senate Bill 14, just generally  
13 the terms?

14 "ANSWER: Yes, I am.

15 "QUESTION: Does Senate Bill 14 increase the  
16 penalties for voter impersonation?

17 "ANSWER: Yes, sir, it does. It affects all types of  
18 illegal voting, not just voter impersonation. It  
19 enhances the penalty for a criminal attempt from a  
20 Class A misdemeanor to a state jail felony and then  
21 it also enhances the actual offense of illegal voting  
22 from a third-degree felony to a second-degree felony  
23 and that would apply to all four types of illegal  
24 voting.

25 "QUESTION: And then you identified a second -- or a

1 sixth case and I believe you identified that as  
2 Tarrant County?

3 "ANSWER: Well, sir, I identified a case that we were  
4 also involved in which was the Melvin K. Ponce  
5 investigation which was a mail-in ballot voter  
6 impersonation.

7 "QUESTION: In the Melvin K. Ponce case, that would  
8 not have been covered by SB 14 had it been in place;  
9 isn't that correct?

10 "ANSWER: Into what regard?

11 "QUESTION: In regards SB 14 would not have stopped  
12 the Melvin K. Ponce case; isn't that correct?

13 "ANSWER: It could have had a deterrent effect.

14 "QUESTION: And just so I'm clear, SB 14 as it's  
15 drafted deals specifically with voter impersonation  
16 at the polling place. Isn't that your understanding?

17 "ANSWER: No, sir. It is drafted in that one of the  
18 portions of SB 14 deals with the offense of illegal  
19 voting and there are four categories of that and it  
20 enhances the penalty range for all four types of the  
21 offense.

22 "QUESTION: SB 14 would not have presented the case  
23 of Dolores McMillan -- SB 14 would not have prevented  
24 the case of Dolores McMillan because it occurred  
25 before the polls open; isn't that correct?

1 "ANSWER: Again, I believe there could have been a  
2 deterrent effect based upon the statute but it  
3 wouldn't -- but it would not have prevented her from  
4 doing so.

5 "QUESTION: And then finally the last case that you  
6 mentioned was -- and actually didn't mention it by  
7 name but Jack Crowder, III. Would that be one of the  
8 voter impersonation cases that you're identifying  
9 here today for the judges?

10 "ANSWER: Yes, sir. That is Jack Carol Crowder and  
11 that was the 2008 general elections in Harris County,  
12 Houston, Texas.

13 "QUESTION: Now, the allegation for the Jack Carol  
14 Crowder case is that he voted on behalf of his  
15 deceased father; is that accurate?

16 "ANSWER: Yes, sir. He presented his deceased  
17 father's voter registration certificate and cast a  
18 ballot in that election.

19 "QUESTION: And how do you know he presented his  
20 father's voter registration card?

21 "ANSWER: I believe he told me that and he provided  
22 the voter registration certificate to us during an  
23 interview.

24 "QUESTION: Of all the types of voter fraud that you  
25 investigate as an investigator, which is the most

Mitchell / by excerpt of Deposition

77

1           difficult to detect?

2           "ANSWER: I would think in-person voter  
3           impersonation.

4           "QUESTION: With respect to the Almanza case, can you  
5           tell us who has been charged in that fact pattern  
6           that you described early in your testimony?

7           "ANSWER: Both Lorenzo Almanza and Reyna Almanza were  
8           charged with illegal voter impersonation and then  
9           Reyna Almanza has been convicted by Brooks County  
10          Court for illegal voting -- voter -- voting  
11          impersonation.

12          "QUESTION: And the other case is pending; is that  
13          correct?

14          "ANSWER: Yes, sir.

15          **MS. WOLF:** And now we'll switch to Major Mitchell's  
16          deposition from August 12th, 2014.

17          "QUESTION: All right, Major Mitchell. We're still  
18          talking about the Prosecutions Resolved portion of  
19          your spreadsheet and we've identified the different  
20          cases since the -- since your last deposition --  
21          sorry. And we've identified the 17 different cases  
22          since the -- since your last deposition. Of those  
23          17, how many involved charges of in-person voter  
24          impersonation?

25          "ANSWER: There's only one case that's specifically

1 illegal voting -- voter impersonation was charged and  
2 convicted.

3 "QUESTION: And that's Mr. Almanza; is that correct?

4 "ANSWER: Yes, sir, Lorenzo Antonio Almanza, Jr.

5 "QUESTION: And what can you tell me about that case?

6 "ANSWER: I believe I testified in my trial and in  
7 the deposition that the facts and the circumstances  
8 of that case were Lorenzo Antonio Almanza presented  
9 himself at the Progreso School District election and  
10 utilized his brother's voter registration  
11 certificate. His brother at the time -- I believe  
12 his name was Orlando Almanza -- was in custody in  
13 San Antonio in the state penitentiary when that  
14 election occurred and that Lorenzo used his voter  
15 registration certificate to vote a second time in  
16 that election.

17 During the course of the -- when he presented himself  
18 to the elections officials there, a poll watcher  
19 observed the name that was being put down on the  
20 combination form and recognized that this was not  
21 Orlando Almanza. This was his brother, Lorenzo  
22 Antonio, Jr., and brought that attention of  
23 -- brought that to the attention of the election  
24 judge. It was at that point in time, I believe, that  
25 the mother, who had previously been convicted in our

1 -- at the time of my deposition and at the time of  
2 the trial, that she interjected herself and began to  
3 argue with the elections judge and election workers,  
4 vouching that that was, indeed, her son Orlando and  
5 not Lorenzo. And the elections administrator for  
6 that school district election allowed him to vote,  
7 which became a second vote in that election,  
8 utilizing his brother's voter registration  
9 certificate.

10 "QUESTION: Put differently, you were able to  
11 investigate and prosecute Mr. Almanza for what he did  
12 without an SB 14 photo identification requirement in  
13 effect, correct?

14 "ANSWER: Yes, sir, because we had a witness who was  
15 able to positively identify that suspect.

16 "QUESTION: Right. Was Mr. Almanza a part -- were  
17 there allegations in Mr. Almanza's case that this was  
18 part of a kind of coordinated effort to affect the  
19 outcome of the election at issue?

20 **MS. WOLF:** There's an objection.

21 "ANSWER: This case involved many allegations  
22 involving many people.

23 "QUESTION: And, Mr. Almanza, I believe we said, pled  
24 guilty to illegal voting/voter impersonation and he  
25 was sentenced to two years in TDCJ; is that right?

1 "ANSWER: Yes, sir. That refers to Texas Department  
2 of Criminal Justice and that would be our state  
3 penitentiary.

4 "QUESTION: Sure. This is Page 5 of your own  
5 spreadsheet which may be easier for you to use. And  
6 you're -- so you're referring to 000237? Oh, I'm  
7 sorry.

8 "ANSWER: So you're referring to 000237?

9 "QUESTION: Yes, sir.

10 "ANSWER: In Gillespie County?

11 "QUESTION: Yes, sir. I think it's eight up from the  
12 bottom.

13 "ANSWER: Yes, sir, okay.

14 "QUESTION: Starting with that and then going four  
15 pages of the spreadsheet to Page -- well, it actually  
16 carries over to Page 13, Texas I.R. 000245, the very  
17 top entry. You have what appears to be hundreds of  
18 referrals that were made on the same day that all  
19 have the same allegation listed, which is illegal  
20 voting, voter impersonation, deceased voter that were  
21 referred from the Secretary of State's office. Am I  
22 -- am I understanding that spreadsheet correctly?

23 "ANSWER: Yes, sir.

24 **MS. WOLF:** And there's an objection.

25 "QUESTION: And those are from counties across Texas,



1 it appears; is that correct?

2 "ANSWER: Yes, sir. There are multiple counties that  
3 are listed in this -- in that -- in these referrals.

4 "QUESTION: Can you provide me any background on how  
5 these referrals came from the Secretary of State's  
6 office?

7 **MS. WOLF:** And there's an objection.

8 "ANSWER: These come to the Secretary -- these come  
9 from the Secretary of State's office like all of our  
10 referrals come from the Secretary of State's office.

11 A packet was prepared that was referred to the  
12 director of law enforcement and then given to our  
13 criminal justice intake division --

14 "QUESTION: Uh-huh.

15 "ANSWER: And containing allegations of deceased  
16 voters --

17 "QUESTION: Uh-huh.

18 "ANSWER: -- who were voting in elections throughout  
19 all the different counties mentioned in the  
20 spreadsheet and the various elections mentioned in  
21 the spreadsheet.

22 "QUESTION: But you don't recall anything  
23 specifically about this bucket of a couple hundred  
24 referrals?

25 "ANSWER: I think it's 254 referrals.

1 "QUESTION: Two hundred and fifty-four.

2 "ANSWER: And I don't know specifically exactly how  
3 they were identified in each one.

4 "QUESTION: But it is unusual -- and I'm just looking  
5 at your spreadsheet. At least there's no other  
6 occasion that I can tell where the Secretary of  
7 State's office has sent over this volume of referrals  
8 at once, is there?

9 **MS. WOLF:** And there's an objection.

10 "ANSWER: There's one case that we received  
11 allegations of illegal voting/voter impersonation  
12 with deceased voters out of Harris County --

13 "QUESTION: Uh-huh.

14 "ANSWER: -- which also -- which is also contained in  
15 the spreadsheet.

16 "QUESTION: Uh-huh.

17 "ANSWER: When we received that referral, it said  
18 that there were 10,000 individuals.

19 "QUESTION: Uh-huh.

20 "ANSWER: So we do receive allegations of deceased  
21 voters in elections. It is atypical to get that many  
22 from different jurisdictions.

23 "QUESTION: Right. And there's a lot of -- there's a  
24 lot of ways that someone might attempt to  
25 impersonate a deceased voter and vote, correct?

1           **MS. WOLF:** And there's an objection.

2           "ANSWER: Yes. We have cases where voters have  
3 impersonated someone else and that's been done in  
4 different ways.

5           "QUESTION: Within SIU, which of your investigative  
6 teams is currently the most resource intensive?

7           "ANSWER: I would say it's the money laundering team.

8           "QUESTION: Money laundering. And where would the  
9 election team rank?

10          **MS. WOLF:** Objection.

11          "ANSWER: As I previously testified, it's on par with  
12 the public integrity team. Each of those teams have  
13 about three or four investigators. And if I could  
14 even further clarify.

15          "QUESTION: Please.

16          "ANSWER: The resources depend on the actual year.  
17 We receive more referrals in a year which contain a  
18 primary and a general election than we do in the off  
19 years where we only have, perhaps, school district  
20 and municipal elections. So on even numbers of  
21 years, we tend to see more cases than we do on the  
22 odd number of years.

23          "QUESTION: Thank you. Mr. Mitchell, do you believe  
24 that there is undetected in-person voter  
25 impersonation fraud occurring in Texas?

1 "ANSWER: It is my opinion, yes.

2 "QUESTION: And what is your source for that opinion?

3 "ANSWER: Having conducted criminal investigations  
4 and in reviewing criminal investigations of my staff  
5 over my tenure here at this office.

6 "QUESTION: So could you be more specific or it's  
7 just your general instinct?

8 "ANSWER: We -- we have conducted investigations that  
9 did not result in criminal charges where we suspected  
10 voter impersonation occurred and that is both through  
11 my experience as an investigator investigating cases  
12 and also as a supervisor reviewing investigative  
13 reports.

14 **MS. WOLF:** And that concludes Defendants' readings  
15 from Mr. Mitchell.

16 **THE COURT:** Okay.

17 **MR. DUNN:** Your Honor, we did our initial readings  
18 earlier.

19 **THE COURT:** Okay. So nothing from the Plaintiffs on  
20 that?

21 **MR. ROSENBERG:** Nothing further. We're relying what  
22 has already been read into the record.

23 **THE COURT:** Okay.

24 **(Counsel conferred)**

25 //

1           **MR. KEISTER:** Good morning, your Honor, Ronnie  
2 Keister and Ben Donnell for the Defense. And we'll be reading  
3 selected portions of the Senator Williams deposition.

4                           **EXAMINATION OF TOMMY WILLIAMS**

5                           **BY EXCERPTS OF DEPOSITION TESTIMONY**

6           **(QUESTIONS READ BY MR. KEISTER; ANSWERS READ BY MR. DONNELL)**

7                   "QUESTION: Good morning, Senator Williams. How are  
8 you this morning?

9                   "ANSWER: I'm well.

10                  "QUESTION: Okay. Let's talk about your tenure in  
11 the Texas legislature. You were initially elected to  
12 the Texas House in 1996; is that right?

13                  "ANSWER: That's correct.

14                  "QUESTION: And you served there until you were  
15 elected to the Senate in 2002?

16                  "ANSWER: That's correct.

17                  "QUESTION: House Bill 218 in the 2000 session was a  
18 bill designed to combat in-person voter fraud; is  
19 that correct?

20                  "ANSWER: Yes.

21                  "QUESTION: Do you recall House Bill 218 generally?

22                  "ANSWER: Only that it was a voter ID bill.

23                  "QUESTION: Do you recall who sponsored it on the  
24 Senate side?

25                  "ANSWER: I don't know.

1 "QUESTION: If I told you that Troy Fraser sponsored  
2 that bill, would that sound right to you?

3 "ANSWER: He was very involved in the issue, yes.

4 "QUESTION: When did you become very involved in the  
5 issue?

6 "ANSWER: You know, I don't have a specific  
7 recollection about when I became involved in it.  
8 It's a -- it was an issue that was important to a lot  
9 of people and a lot of my constituents during my  
10 legislative career.

11 "QUESTION: Okay. But to be fair, voter ID  
12 legislation was fairly controversial legislation for  
13 several sessions, correct?

14 "ANSWER: I don't think it was controversial. There  
15 was a lot of opposition to it on one side but I  
16 wouldn't say it was controversial. It was widely  
17 perceived by the public as something that was a good  
18 thing.

19 "QUESTION: Okay. Do you recognize Exhibit 1?

20 "ANSWER: This looks like it is the filed version of  
21 House Bill 218 as it was filed in the House.

22 "QUESTION: Okay. And that was the voter ID  
23 legislation filed during the 2007 legislative  
24 session, correct?

25 "ANSWER: Yes. It looks like it was pre-filed in

1 November of 2006. So it would have been for the 80th  
2 regular session, it says.

3 "QUESTION: And the purpose of this legislation was  
4 to combat in-person ID -- in-person voter ID fraud,  
5 correct?

6 "ANSWER: I think that was the intent of the author,  
7 yes.

8 "QUESTION: Sure. At the time that House Bill 218  
9 was being considered by you in committee, did you  
10 consider a student identification card to be an  
11 acceptable form of photo ID?

12 "ANSWER: I don't recall that I cared for this  
13 provision. It was a part of the bill but I'm not  
14 sure that I -- I don't necessarily agree with every  
15 provision of every bill. So --

16 "QUESTION: Fair enough. Can you tell me why you  
17 might not have agreed with this particular provision?

18 "ANSWER: I think, you know, it makes it a lot more  
19 difficult for the people who are working at the polls  
20 to identify -- to know whether it's a valid ID or not  
21 because we have 38 general academic institutions in  
22 this state and we have a bunch of health science  
23 centers and a lot of people that are issuing student  
24 ID cards, including all of our community colleges and  
25 so I think it becomes very difficult for someone at

1 the poll to know whether that's actually a valid ID  
2 or not.

3 "QUESTION: And is it your opinion that the only form  
4 of identification that can truly identify or verify  
5 who a person is is a photo identification?

6 "ANSWER: I think that -- that the way it -- that's  
7 the way it was listed in Senate Bill -- I was --  
8 excuse me -- Senate Bill 14 was. There were primary  
9 forms of identification and secondary forms of  
10 identification and I think that a match -- a much  
11 more reasonable way to determine whether the person  
12 before you is really the person they said they were.  
13 So --

14 "QUESTION: Was one of the purposes of Senate Bill --  
15 excuse me. Was one of the purposes of Senate Bill 14  
16 to ensure that people who show up to the polls to  
17 vote are United States citizens?

18 "ANSWER: I think that would have been -- I don't  
19 remember that as a primary objective but I think the  
20 objective was that they were registered and legal to  
21 vote and that that would be one of the things. I  
22 don't remember that being -- I think the purpose of  
23 the bill was to prevent in-person voter fraud. That  
24 would include people who weren't citizens of the  
25 United States who tried to vote but I don't think



1           that was the only thing.

2           "QUESTION: Are you aware that the DPS in 2011 had  
3 antiquated technology in its driver's license  
4 division?

5           "ANSWER: I know that I spent a lot of time and  
6 effort during the 2011 session working with the  
7 department to figure out how we could modernize and  
8 streamline the issuance of driver's licenses and  
9 election identification certificates. My interest in  
10 that was primarily because I think I got -- I believe  
11 it's correct to say that we received more complaints  
12 in our office about the waiting lines at driver's  
13 license offices than any other single function of  
14 state government. So there was a problem there.

15          "QUESTION: Okay. So were your efforts to try and  
16 modernize and streamline the process for issuing  
17 driver's license -- driver's licenses more a function  
18 of general public complaints about that process or  
19 was it more something done in conjunction with SB 14  
20 and this whole new notion of obtaining an election  
21 identification certificate?

22          "ANSWER: I think it's a matter of public record that  
23 I wanted the -- for -- just as a general policy of  
24 making state government work for the citizens, that  
25 this was something that needed to be done but that it

1 was also important that we address the issue if we  
2 were going to require people to have to go to the DPS  
3 office and obtain these Election Identification  
4 Certificates. And I felt like it was important that  
5 they be able to get that in a timely and reasonable  
6 way.

7 "QUESTION: And were you satisfied prior to the  
8 passage of SB 14 that people were going to be able to  
9 obtain Election Identification Certificates in a  
10 timely and reasonable way?

11 "ANSWER: Yes.

12 "QUESTION: And why is that?

13 "ANSWER: It would have been based on what my efforts  
14 were with the DPS, the discussions that I'd had, what  
15 we were doing, planning to do in the budget and all  
16 those sorts of things.

17 "QUESTION: Okay. Let's talk about that. What was  
18 your plan, prior to the passage of SB 14, for  
19 budgeting for the kinds of things that would be  
20 required to streamline and modernize the issuance of  
21 driver's licenses Electronic Identification  
22 Certificates?

23 "ANSWER: I think it would be a mischaracterization  
24 to say it was my plan. I think that it might be more  
25 accurate to say that I had asked the DPS to tell me,

1           what is it going to take to solve this problem and  
2           then work with them to mold that into something that  
3           we could put into the budget to address this issue.  
4           "QUESTION: Okay. And when you got to that end point  
5           in the 2011 legislative session, were you satisfied  
6           that the DPS was going to get enough budgetary  
7           dollars to do what it needed to do to, as you said,  
8           modernize and streamline the issuance of driver's  
9           licenses and electronic" --

10          **MR. KEISTER:** Which is spelling incorrect. It should  
11          be "election."

12          "QUESTION: -- identification certificates?

13          "ANSWER: I think, based on what they knew at that  
14          time and what I knew at that time, I believe that was  
15          true.

16          "QUESTION: Do you know whether there were specific  
17          dollars set aside that year for the processing of --

18          **MR. KEISTER:** Once again, it says "electronic." It  
19          should be "election."

20          "QUESTION: -- identification certificates?

21          "ANSWER: That would be a question that you'd be  
22          better to ask the Department of Public Safety. In  
23          general, what I recall is that their message to me  
24          was that they should be able to -- they would be able  
25          to deal with the cost and I don't think there was a

1           -- I recall there wasn't a fiscal note related to the  
2           cost of this, that they felt like they could handle  
3           it in the ordinary course of business and so -- that  
4           it wouldn't be an overwhelming problem for them. And  
5           I think, in particular, since we were giving them the  
6           money for these mega centers and mobile driver's  
7           license centers and the things that we had talked to  
8           them about, you know, I think they felt like they  
9           could take care of it.

10          "QUESTION: And is it fair to say that those mega  
11          centers were in heavily populated areas where the  
12          demand was higher?

13          "ANSWER: I think that they were put in place to  
14          relieve the inadequate facilities that we had in  
15          heavily populated areas. So we're probably saying  
16          close to the same thing. So --

17          "QUESTION: Okay. And then the other thing that you  
18          mentioned was mobile units. Explain to me what  
19          mobile units were -- are.

20          "ANSWER: Well, there were driver's license offices  
21          that weren't open every day in some rural areas of  
22          the state. And as I recall, we were encouraging them  
23          to develop mobile units that they could have a  
24          regular circuit that they went around to address this  
25          renewal and issuance of driver's licenses and I guess

1 as an ancillary to the voter ID thing. So --

2 "QUESTION: Did you know on January 25th, 2011 when  
3 SB 14 was passed out of the Senate whether there  
4 would be enough budgetary dollars to go to the things  
5 that would be required by SB 14?

6 "ANSWER: Yes.

7 "QUESTION: How did you know that?

8 "ANSWER: I am a member of the Senate Finance  
9 Committee and I discussed it with the chairman of the  
10 Senate Finance Committee and the Lieutenant Governor,  
11 the other members of the Senate Finance Committee and  
12 we were committed to making sure there was enough  
13 money in the budget to address whatever issues that  
14 were related to this.

15 "QUESTION: Did you ever perform an analysis or ask  
16 the DPS to perform an analysis of how many people in  
17 Texas who are registered -- who are able to vote  
18 lacked one of the other forms of photo identification  
19 listed in SB 14?

20 "ANSWER: You know, I don't think it would have been  
21 just the Department of Public Safety. I know that  
22 there were discussions with the Secretary of State  
23 and the Department of Public Safety and there were  
24 some estimates. I have no recollection of what that  
25 is. My recollection is that the department was

1 comfortable that they would not require an additional  
2 appropriation to be able to issue the Election  
3 Identification Certificates.

4 "QUESTION: But what did the --

5 "ANSWER: They felt like that it would have had an  
6 insignificant impact on their workload if we were  
7 able to address these other issues they had, which I  
8 was committed to doing.

9 "QUESTION: Do you have any knowledge of whether the  
10 Secretary of State's office, now in hindsight, has  
11 had sufficient money to educate Texas voters about  
12 the new ID requirements?

13 "ANSWER: To my knowledge, it hasn't been a problem.  
14 So I would say, yes, they had sufficient resources to  
15 do it. And I don't recall ever having the Secretary  
16 of State come to me when I was chair of finance or  
17 chair of transportation and Homeland Security saying  
18 we needed more money for voter education.

19 "QUESTION: Do you know why in 2011 the legislation  
20 that was put forward eliminated a voter's ability to  
21 present secondary forms of identification?

22 "ANSWER: I think that you're mischaracterizing what  
23 the legislation did. I think what it did was, it  
24 provided for a form of photo identification that was  
25 free of charge and many of these things that were

1 secondary items of identification in the previous  
2 bills could be used to obtain an Election  
3 Identification Certificate. So I think you phrased  
4 and mischaracterized what the bill did. What the  
5 bill did was, it said, you know, we're going to have  
6 really basically one of these two forms of photo  
7 identification and a lot of the alternative forms  
8 were incorporated into what it took to get the  
9 Election Identification Certificate, not completely  
10 but in general.

11 "QUESTION: Was it your opinion when you were  
12 considering SB 14 that a more restrictive bill that  
13 only allowed voters to present photo identification  
14 at the polls was a better form of voter ID  
15 legislation?

16 "ANSWER: I wouldn't characterize it that way.

17 "QUESTION: How would you characterize it?

18 "ANSWER: I think SB 14 was a better bill than the  
19 bills that had been considered in the previous two  
20 sessions because it required a more secure form of  
21 identification and it would be easier for the people  
22 who were working at the polls to determine if they  
23 were being presented with a valid form of ID or not.

24 "QUESTION: Okay. What did you do prior to the  
25 passage of SB 14 to research in-person voter fraud in

1 Texas?

2 "ANSWER: I think the primary information that we  
3 received about this was from the testimony that we  
4 received either in the State Affairs Committee or in  
5 the Committee as a Whole.

6 "QUESTION: What did you do prior to voting for SB 14  
7 to satisfy yourself that there would not be a  
8 disproportionate impact on the minority voting  
9 community in Texas?

10 "ANSWER: Well, we took extensive testimony in the  
11 State Affairs Committee over a couple of different  
12 sessions. And while I don't have a specific  
13 recollection, I'm sure that this issue was brought up  
14 because Senator Ellis and Senator Van de Putte had  
15 both voted against the bills and were members of the  
16 committee and they would have raised those issues.  
17 So I know it was discussed and I'm certain it was  
18 discussed in -- in committee. And that's the purpose  
19 of having a bill heard before it comes to the floor,  
20 is to get these kind of things vetted.

21 "QUESTION: You do recall testimony from various  
22 interest groups that African-Americans and Hispanics  
23 would have disproportionate burdens as a result of  
24 SB 14, didn't you?

25 "ANSWER: And that was asserted in some groups.



1 "QUESTION: Did you do anything to look into those  
2 assertions or satisfy yourself about whether those  
3 assertions were accurate?

4 "ANSWER: Yes. That was a part of the debate. That  
5 would have been when I considered it.

6 "QUESTION: Prior to voting on Senate Bill 14, did  
7 you look into this issue of whether African-Americans  
8 and Latino citizens in Texas disproportionately  
9 lacked access to motor vehicles?

10 "ANSWER: First of all, I would say that with respect  
11 to this entire document that I have before me, I have  
12 no idea whether these assertions are true. This is a  
13 viewpoint of an advocacy group. The second thing I  
14 would say is that with respect to your specific  
15 question, is that that would have been something that  
16 we considered both in committee, in the State Affairs  
17 Committee, in the Committee as a Whole and it would  
18 have been the subject of floor debate. So if you're  
19 asking me if I considered these things, I listened  
20 carefully to everybody's viewpoint. I may not always  
21 agree with it but, yes, this would have been  
22 something that we would have considered during the  
23 process.

24 "QUESTION: Did it concern you in voting on SB 14  
25 that African-Americans and Latinos might

1 disproportionately lack access to a motor vehicle?

2 "ANSWER: I voted for the bill and I don't believe  
3 that there was anything in the bill that has  
4 prevented any African-American from being able to  
5 obtain an Election Identification Certificate if they  
6 needed one to vote, if they didn't already have a  
7 driver's license.

8 "QUESTION: Did you do anything -- was there anything  
9 in SB 14 that ameliorates the cost to obtaining an  
10 EIC for indigents, people who are indigent in Texas?

11 "ANSWER: It was free. If you -- if you didn't have  
12 one of the other forms of ID, the document -- the  
13 Election Identification Certificate was free.

14 "QUESTION: In any event, there is no exception in  
15 SB 14 for people who are indigent in Texas, correct?

16 "ANSWER: I think the Election Identification  
17 Certificate is free of charge. That is the  
18 exception.

19 "QUESTION: Sorry, I misspoke. There is no exception  
20 to the photo ID requirement for people who are  
21 indigent; is that right?

22 "ANSWER: No, the certificate is free.

23 "QUESTION: One of the things that the minor -- or  
24 the Democratic opposition, I should say, was pretty  
25 vocal about in the debates about Senate Bill 14 is

1           that there was really no significant in-person voter  
2           fraud in Texas, right?

3           "ANSWER: I'm not sure. They may have asserted that  
4           but I'm not sure that I agree that that's true.

5           "QUESTION: I understand that. I just -- my only  
6           point is that that was part of what opposition was  
7           lodged against this legislation is that it didn't --  
8           there was no real in-person voter fraud to combat.  
9           Do you recall that?

10          "ANSWER: Well, you know, once again, what I would  
11          say is that voter fraud is very difficult to detect  
12          if you don't require an ID when someone votes. How  
13          are you going to know if they're committing fraud if  
14          you don't ask them for an ID? So it's very difficult  
15          to detect unless you require some form of  
16          identification. Now, it's -- I have a lot of  
17          anecdotal evidence that it happens. My grandfather  
18          voted for 62 years in the Democratic primary after he  
19          died.

20          "QUESTION: Okay. Was there any budgetary request or  
21          any budgetary issues with regard to SB 14 with regard  
22          to DPS?

23          "ANSWER: I think what I asked -- what I testified to  
24          was the Department of Public Safety -- that I  
25          specifically asked them what did they need to be able

1 to streamline the whole process of issuing driver's  
2 license and thereby also the EINS but to get their  
3 whole operation where we didn't have this backlog of  
4 people who were standing in line for hours to get  
5 their license or Election Identification Certificate  
6 after the law passed. So we gave them the money that  
7 they needed.

8 "QUESTION: Has there been any report of people  
9 faking their photo identification in order to vote?

10 "ANSWER: You know, I'll answer it this way. I  
11 haven't had a single complaint about Senate Bill 14  
12 since the bill was passed. I don't know whether  
13 there have been any reports of people who were trying  
14 to vote or if they were just kept away by the  
15 knowledge that they would have to produce an ID but I  
16 am unaware of a single person in the entire state of  
17 Texas that was denied the right to vote because of  
18 Senate Bill 14. I'm not saying it doesn't exist but  
19 if it does, I am completely unaware of it.

20 "QUESTION: Did you believe there was a lot of  
21 support for SB 14, a lot of public support?

22 "ANSWER: There was a lot of bipartisan support for  
23 it in the public, not in the legislature.

24 "QUESTION: And can you look at JA000844? And do you  
25 see there's a statement by you and at the very bottom

1           going up to the next page, it says, 'And then finally  
2           I wanted to ask you. We had talked earlier about the  
3           project that I asked you to do, to cross-reference  
4           the driver's licenses and the voter registration.  
5           How is that coming along? I know I only asked today'  
6           and Ms. McGeehan says, 'Yes.' Do you see that?  
7           "ANSWER: I see it.  
8           "QUESTION: Does this refresh your recollection that  
9           you asked for an analysis from DPS and SOS about  
10          registered voters who didn't have a driver's license  
11          or a Texas ID?  
12          "ANSWER: Yes, what I did -- what I don't know by  
13          looking at this is whether it would appear -- but I'm  
14          not sure and I'd like to clarify for the record that  
15          this was the -- it was -- if this was the Committee  
16          of the Whole. It looks like it was.  
17          "QUESTION: This was -- this was the Committee of the  
18          Whole, yes.  
19          "ANSWER: Okay, so this was a Committee hearing and  
20          this would have been the appropriate place for me to  
21          ask such a thing.  
22          "QUESTION: And do you recall asking Ms. McGeehan?  
23          "ANSWER: Now that you showed me this I do.  
24          "QUESTION: Why did you want this analysis?  
25          "ANSWER: Let me see what the -- I don't know. It

1           would appear from the transcript that I wanted to  
2           know if we could focus our education efforts on the  
3           people that were registered voters who didn't have a  
4           driver's license or a state-issued ID already.

5           "QUESTION: Didn't you say that you wanted to -- you  
6           wanted this analysis for voter education, is that  
7           right?

8           "ANSWER: It would appear from the transcript. I'd  
9           like to take another look at it and see. So it looks  
10          like what we were trying to do is -- Ms. McGeehan  
11          says I approached her earlier today to do some  
12          comparisons to try to further focus in on those who  
13          are registered voters that don't have or have not  
14          been issued a driver's license or personal ID, so  
15          we're trying to run some of these numbers.

16          So I think that was I trying to do was just see who  
17          these people were, how many there were, and if we  
18          could focus the education efforts on making sure that  
19          they knew what they needed to do to be able to vote  
20          the next time.

21          "QUESTION: Okay. What -- when you say that you  
22          could "focus voter education on these voters," can  
23          you tell me what you mean by that?

24          "ANSWER: I wanted to be sure that we understood how  
25          big the problem was. I think, you know, we needed,

1           if there was a problem and I think it was up to the  
2           Secretary of State's office, but I wanted to know --  
3           I wanted them, if that analysis had been done, that  
4           we would have known whether or not they could address  
5           the problem, and so I felt like it was important that  
6           we ask that question.

7           "QUESTION: And when you say you wanted to make sure  
8           "we" understood how big the problem was, "we" means  
9           the legislature?

10          "ANSWER: Yes.

11          "QUESTION: And you wanted to know -- to make sure  
12          that you could address that issue?

13          "ANSWER: Make sure that it was being addressed.

14          "QUESTION: I see. So you didn't necessarily intend  
15          the legislature to take an action to address the  
16          problem?

17          "ANSWER: I don't think it would be -- I think it  
18          would not have been necessary for anything to happen  
19          in this bill. But it would have given us, in the  
20          Senate Finance Committee, an idea whether they had  
21          adequate resources or not. So it was a question to  
22          make sure the agency was considering what they needed  
23          to do."

24          **(End of reading of excerpts)**

25          //

1           **MR. KEISTER:** Okay, thank you. That completes our  
2 reading, your Honor.

3           **THE COURT:** Okay.

4           **(Pause)**

5           **MS. RUDD:** Amy Rudd for Texas NAACP and MALC, and for  
6 the record my colleague, Vishal Agraharkar, is sitting in the  
7 witness box. And, your Honor, we'll be reading from three  
8 different transcripts, the June 1st, 2012 deposition transcript  
9 for Senator Williams; his transcript from the Section 5 trial;  
10 and also the transcript from his June 29th, 2014 deposition.

11           If I could approach I have a copy for you-all.

12           **THE COURT:** Yes.

13                           **EXAMINATION OF TOMMY WILLIAMS**

14                           **BY EXCERPTS OF DEPOSITION AND TRIAL TESTIMONY**

15           **(QUESTIONS READ BY MS. RUDD; ANSWERS READ BY MR. AGRAHARKAR)**

16           "QUESTION: Do you recognize Exhibit 1?

17           **MS. RUDD:** And for the record, excuse me, for the  
18 record that's PL-859.

19           "ANSWER: This looks like it is the filed version of  
20 House Vote 218, as it was filed in the House.

21           "QUESTION: And that was the voter ID legislation  
22 filed during the 2007 legislative session, correct?

23           "ANSWER: Yeah. It looks like it was pre-filed in  
24 November of 2006, so it would have been for the 80th  
25 regular session it says.



1 "QUESTION: Do you recall that HB 218 passed out of  
2 the State Affairs Committee?

3 "ANSWER: Yes, it did.

4 "QUESTION: And you voted to pass that out of  
5 Committee, correct?

6 "ANSWER: I did.

7 "QUESTION: Do you recall that that vote was strictly  
8 along party lines?

9 "ANSWER: It wouldn't surprise me if it was. I don't  
10 recall the vote.

11 "QUESTION: At the time that you voted to pass HB 218  
12 out of Committee, did you think it was a good bill?

13 "ANSWER: I did.

14 "QUESTION: There are seven different forms of  
15 photographic identification that were acceptable  
16 under HB 218 in this version, correct?

17 "ANSWER: Correct.

18 "QUESTION: And then if you look at Subsection (b),  
19 there are 11 different forms of nonphoto ID that were  
20 acceptable under this version of the legislation,  
21 correct?

22 "ANSWER: I have to read it.

23 "QUESTION: Sure.

24 "ANSWER: Actually I -- let's see, yeah, there are  
25 11, that's correct.

1 "QUESTION: Senator Fraser made a motion to consider  
2 HB 218 outside the regular course of business,  
3 correct?

4 "ANSWER: I believe he made a motion to suspend the  
5 regular order of business to take up and consider  
6 House Bill 218.

7 "QUESTION: And when you say the "regular order of  
8 business," that's the sort of default calendar in the  
9 Senate, correct?

10 "ANSWER: The regular order of business is the order  
11 of the bill -- the order that bills come out of  
12 Committee numerically and by time, when they were  
13 voted out of Committee. That's the regular order of  
14 business out of the Substantive Committee.

15 "QUESTION: And in the regular order of business,  
16 bills are considered in the order that they come out  
17 of Committee, correct?

18 "ANSWER: Under the regular order of business.

19 "QUESTION: And if you want a bill to be considered  
20 outside the regular order you have to suspend the  
21 regular order of business, is that right?

22 "ANSWER: That's correct.

23 "QUESTION: And, typically, to suspend the regular  
24 order of business you need a two-thirds vote of  
25 Senate members present at the time?

1 "ANSWER: That's true of the Senate and the House.

2 "QUESTION: Exhibit 4," which is PL-860, "is a copy  
3 of the Senate Journal from May 15th, 2007, is that  
4 right?

5 "ANSWER: It would appear to be.

6 "QUESTION: And if you look at the second page of  
7 that document, at the bottom of the page, there's a  
8 portion titled 'COMMITTEE SUBSTITUTE HOUSE BILL 218  
9 ON SECOND READING,' do you see that?

10 "ANSWER: I do.

11 "QUESTION: And there it says that 'Senator Fraser  
12 moved to suspend the regular order of business to  
13 consider CSHB 218,' correct?

14 "ANSWER: Would you repeat the question?

15 "QUESTION: Sure. I'm just verifying that that says  
16 that Senator Fraser moved to suspend the regular  
17 order of business to take up consideration of CSHB  
18 218.

19 "ANSWER: Correct.

20 "QUESTION: And then at the very bottom it says that  
21 Senator Shapleigh called for a verification of the  
22 vote?

23 "ANSWER: I see that.

24 "QUESTION: So -- and you have no reason to question  
25 whether that recording in the Senate Journal is

1 accurate, correct?

2 "ANSWER: I believe that it's accurate.

3 "QUESTION: And then there was a roll call performed,  
4 correct?

5 "ANSWER: Yes.

6 "QUESTION: And this time Senators Whitmire and  
7 Uresti voted against considering CSHB 218 outside the  
8 regular course of business, correct?

9 "ANSWER: Correct. The motion failed 20 to 11.

10 "QUESTION: And so no voter ID after that -- no voter  
11 ID legislation passed out of the Senate in the 2007  
12 session, is that right?

13 "ANSWER: I don't believe so.

14 "QUESTION: And you mentioned that there were  
15 actually two votes taken in the Senate on whether to  
16 bring HB 218 to the Floor, is that right?

17 "ANSWER: That's correct.

18 "QUESTION: And the result of the first vote was that  
19 more than two-thirds of the senators present voted to  
20 suspend the regular order of business and take up HB  
21 218, is that right?

22 "ANSWER: The first vote, yes.

23 "QUESTION: And Senator Carlos Uresti was not present  
24 on the Senate Floor for that first vote because he  
25 was ill, is that right?

1 "ANSWER: I believe he was excused as being ill.

2 "QUESTION: And Senator Uresti is Hispanic, correct?

3 "ANSWER: Yes, he is.

4 "QUESTION: He represents a large Hispanic population  
5 in Texas, is that correct?

6 "ANSWER: Yes.

7 "QUESTION: Senator Uresti had publicly opposed HB  
8 218, is that right?

9 "ANSWER: I believe he had. I don't know that he  
10 expressed that to me, but I would have presumed that  
11 he was against it.

12 "QUESTION: The result of the second vote on HB 218  
13 was that the Senate was not able to suspend the  
14 regular order of business and take up the bill, is  
15 that correct?

16 "ANSWER: That's correct.

17 "QUESTION: And Senator Uresti, you mentioned in your  
18 Direct testimony, voted in that second vote, is that  
19 correct?

20 "ANSWER: That's right. He came to the Floor.

21 "QUESTION: And is it the case that you were  
22 disappointed in how the Senate handled the two votes  
23 on HB 218 in 2007?

24 "ANSWER: Yes, that was my public statement in the  
25 following session, in '09.

1 "QUESTION: And in that same public statement did you  
2 characterize the vote on the Senate Floor as 'a very  
3 ugly scene?'

4 "ANSWER: I did.

5 "QUESTION: One of the things that happened in the  
6 2009 session is that you proposed a rule change, a  
7 change to Senate rules. Do you recall that?

8 "ANSWER: I recall that I proposed a rule change. It  
9 could have been in 2009, I don't recall the exact  
10 session.

11 "QUESTION: The rule change that I'm referencing is a  
12 change that would allow any bill relating to voter  
13 identification requirements to be set as a special  
14 order and considered by the Senate on a majority  
15 vote. Do you recall that rule change?

16 "ANSWER: Yes, I proposed a rule change. I don't  
17 recall which session it was off the top of my head.  
18 If you have something that could refresh my memory,  
19 I'll be glad to take a look at it.

20 "QUESTION: Exhibit 6," which is PL-423, "is a copy  
21 of the Senate Journal dated January 14th, 2009,  
22 correct?

23 "ANSWER: It would appear to be.

24 "QUESTION: And if you look at the third -- the  
25 second line down, the Secretary is recorded as

1 saying, 'Senate Resolution 14 adopting the rules of  
2 the Senate of the 80th Legislature as the permanent  
3 rules of the Senate of the 81st Legislature with the  
4 following modifications by Williams.' Do you see  
5 that?

6 "ANSWER: I see that.

7 "QUESTION: Does that refresh your recollection that  
8 you proposed a rule change to the rules of the Senate  
9 in the 2009 legislative session?

10 "ANSWER: Yes.

11 "QUESTION: And this was the very session after HB  
12 218 had failed to gain a two-thirds vote to come to  
13 the Floor of the Senate, correct?

14 "ANSWER: That's correct.

15 "QUESTION: In 2009 the Senate considered and passed  
16 voter ID legislation titled SB 362, correct?

17 "ANSWER: That's correct.

18 "QUESTION: And we've talked about it, and you  
19 mentioned in your Direct testimony, you were the  
20 sponsor of that rules resolution that allowed SB 362  
21 to be considered on the Floor of the Senate, correct?

22 "ANSWER: That's correct.

23 "QUESTION: The Senate adopts a rules resolution  
24 prior to each legislative session, is that right?

25 "ANSWER: That's correct.

1 "QUESTION: And the rules set forth how the Senate  
2 will operate during the session, is that right?

3 "ANSWER: That's correct.

4 "QUESTION: So one of the things that the rule change  
5 at the beginning of the 2009 session allowed you to  
6 do as -- as the Senate, is to consider voter  
7 identification bills as a special order of business,  
8 is that correct?

9 "ANSWER: This -- the rule amendment that I offered  
10 in the 2009 session allowed voter identification  
11 legislation to be considered under a special order.  
12 My recollection is that it had to be passed out of  
13 the Committee of the Whole by a majority vote to be  
14 able -- to be eligible to be considered under the  
15 special order provision.

16 "QUESTION: Okay.

17 "ANSWER: That's my recollection.

18 "QUESTION: So adopting your proposed rule change  
19 took a majority vote of senators, correct?

20 "ANSWER: That's true every session.

21 "QUESTION: Fair. And in considering voter ID  
22 legislation as a special order also took a majority  
23 vote of senators out of the Committee of the Whole,  
24 correct?

25 "ANSWER: I think that the voter ID legislation was



1 required to be considered by the Committee of the  
2 Whole. It had to pass out of Committee under the  
3 regular Committee rules, which would have been a  
4 majority vote, and then it could be brought to the  
5 Floor under a special order that would only require a  
6 majority vote.

7 "QUESTION: Right. Without your rule change,  
8 considering voter ID legislation as a special order  
9 after it passed out of Committee, would have required  
10 a two-thirds vote of senators present, correct?

11 "ANSWER: If you were going to consider it out of the  
12 regular order of business it would be true.

13 "QUESTION: Right. So what I'm -- without your rule  
14 change it would have required to consider it outside  
15 the regular order of business after it passed out of  
16 Committee, a two-thirds vote of senators present,  
17 correct?

18 "ANSWER: If it were being considered out of the  
19 regular order of business.

20 "QUESTION: Right, which is exactly what I just said,  
21 so I'm correct?

22 "ANSWER: Is that a question?

23 "QUESTION: Yes.

24 "ANSWER: I think you are.

25 "QUESTION: One of the things we were discussing

1 before was that at least in Senator Whitmire's tenure  
2 as Dean of the Senate, prior to 2009 he typically  
3 laid out the rules of the Senate. Is that consistent  
4 with your recollection?

5 "ANSWER: I can't speak to that, how it was done  
6 prior to 2009. From the '03, the '05 and the '07  
7 session he laid out the rules, but I can't speak to  
8 prior to that. I don't know before '03.

9 "QUESTION: But in your tenure as a senator, while up  
10 until 2009 Senator Whitmire laid out the rules of the  
11 Senate?

12 "ANSWER: He did in the '03 session, the '05 session  
13 and the '07 session.

14 "QUESTION: And the reason that he didn't do so in  
15 the 2009 session is because he was opposed to this  
16 proposed rule change, is that correct?

17 "ANSWER: He was opposed to this, that's correct.

18 "QUESTION: And it's a matter of public record, isn't  
19 it, that you carried SR 14, the Senate Resolution in  
20 2009, to the Floor because Senator Whitmire did not  
21 want to do it, correct?

22 "ANSWER: That's correct.

23 "QUESTION: Do you recall that the proposed rule  
24 change in 2009 was relatively controversial in the  
25 Senate?

1 "ANSWER: There was a lot of opposition and there was  
2 a lot of debate about the rule change.

3 "QUESTION: I think you previously testified that  
4 there were about six hours of debate on the proposed  
5 rule change?

6 "ANSWER: About six, six and a half hours, yeah.

7 "QUESTION: And all of the Democratic Senators were  
8 opposed to the rule change, is that correct?

9 "ANSWER: That's correct.

10 "QUESTION: And we talked about this earlier, but  
11 within Senate Resolution 14 there was a majority vote  
12 requirement rather than a two-thirds vote requirement  
13 to set bills addressing the issue of voter  
14 identification as special orders, correct?

15 "ANSWER: That's correct.

16 "QUESTION: And you mentioned Rule 5.11(d) as being a  
17 rule applicable to that, correct?

18 "ANSWER: Correct.

19 "QUESTION: And during the hearing on SR 14 you said  
20 that you modeled Rule 5.11(d) off of the rules of the  
21 67th legislative session in 1981 addressing the issue  
22 of redistricting, correct?

23 "ANSWER: I believe that's correct.

24 "QUESTION: And this is from the research that you  
25 talked about in the hearings on SR 14, is that

1 correct?

2 "ANSWER: Yes.

3 "QUESTION: And during that hearing other senators  
4 voiced disagreement with your research, is that  
5 right?

6 "ANSWER: No, I think they were -- they didn't  
7 necessarily agree with what I was saying, but I'm not  
8 sure. Yeah, there were people who disagreed with me,  
9 yeah.

10 "QUESTION: We have Senate Resolution 67 from the  
11 81st legislative session, and you can see the date at  
12 the bottom here, Senator, and this is Senate  
13 Resolution 98, and it's adopted on February 5th,  
14 1981. So would that be the 67th legislative session,  
15 is that correct?

16 "ANSWER: You know, I don't know, it could be.

17 "QUESTION: Well, Senator, a legislative session  
18 would adopt rules in January or February, is that  
19 correct?

20 "ANSWER: Correct.

21 "QUESTION: And we're talking about the legislative  
22 session, they occur on odd-numbers -- odd-numbered  
23 years, is that right?

24 "ANSWER: That's correct.

25 "QUESTION: So here we have February 5th, 1981, is

1           that correct?

2           "ANSWER:   Yeah.

3           "QUESTION:   And if we move onto Pages 12, 13 and 14  
4           of this exhibit and we'll see special orders on the  
5           bottom of Page 12, correct, Senator?

6           "ANSWER:   Yes.

7           "QUESTION:   And I'll let you take a look at the text  
8           after Number 14, and under the category of 'Special  
9           Orders,' and ask if you can point me to the area  
10          where redistricting bills are allowed to be set as  
11          special orders pursuant to a majority vote?

12          "ANSWER:   It wasn't in -- I don't believe it was in  
13          this rules resolution.   I believe it was a separate  
14          resolution.

15          "QUESTION:   So a separate resolution.   Your testimony  
16          is that a separate resolution set redistricting as a  
17          special order, is that correct?

18          "ANSWER:   To the best of my recollection, yes.

19          "QUESTION:   And voter identification, however, under  
20          Rule 5.11(d) and Rule 16.077 were contained in the  
21          Senate Resolution 14 in 2009, is that correct?

22          "ANSWER:   Yes.

23          "QUESTION:   And they were contained in the Senate  
24          Resolution the next year, in 2011, is that right?

25          "ANSWER:   That's correct.

1 "QUESTION: And during the hearing on SR 14, and you  
2 mention this in your Direct testimony, you defined  
3 voter ID as an intractable issue in the Texas Senate?

4 "ANSWER: That was a part of my public testimony,  
5 yes.

6 "QUESTION: And that's why you proposed a majority  
7 vote to address it, is that right?

8 "ANSWER: That's correct.

9 "QUESTION: Every single vote during the debate on  
10 your proposed rule change in 2009 split along party  
11 lines, is that right?

12 "ANSWER: I don't -- I don't recall, it was either  
13 party line or very close to party line vote.

14 "QUESTION: You would stand by whatever appears in  
15 the Senate Journal on that debate?

16 "ANSWER: I think that the Senate Journal accurately  
17 reflects what happened. Senator Carona had an  
18 objection to it, and I'm not sure how he voted on  
19 every single one of those amendments.

20 "QUESTION: Ultimately, your proposed rule change in  
21 2009 passed, is that correct?

22 "ANSWER: It did.

23 "QUESTION: In 2009 the Senate considered and passed  
24 voter ID legislation titled SB 362, correct?

25 "ANSWER: That's correct.

1 "QUESTION: Senator Williams, you supported S --  
2 Senate Bill 362, is that correct?

3 "ANSWER: I did.

4 "QUESTION: And Senate Bill 362 provided for the  
5 alternative of bringing two nonphoto IDs to the  
6 polls, is that correct?

7 "ANSWER: I think what it did is that it provided  
8 that if you had your voter registration card and one  
9 other form of ID, that that would be sufficient.

10 "QUESTION: Senate Bill 362 was ultimately considered  
11 by the Committee of the Whole, correct?

12 "ANSWER: I believe that's true.

13 "QUESTION: And the Committee of the Whole is just  
14 the entire body of senators making up the Senate, is  
15 that right?

16 "ANSWER: That's correct.

17 "QUESTION: And eventually the Senate, the Committee  
18 of the Whole, voted to pass Senate Bill 362 out of  
19 Committee, correct?

20 "ANSWER: I believe that's correct.

21 "QUESTION: And you voted to pass Senate Bill 362 out  
22 of Committee, correct?

23 "ANSWER: I did.

24 "QUESTION: After Senate Bill 362 was voted out of  
25 the Committee of the Whole, it was set as a special

1 order, is that right?

2 "ANSWER: You know, I believe it was. I don't -- I  
3 don't have a specific recollection of that. I'm sure  
4 it's in the Senate Journal. Whatever is reflected in  
5 the Senate Journal is accurate.

6 "QUESTION: Okay. Well, let's take a look at Page  
7 592 of the Senate Journal, Item 4.

8 "ANSWER: Uh-huh (yes.) I see it.

9 "QUESTION: Does that refresh your recollection that  
10 Senate Bill 362 was set as a special order?

11 "ANSWER: The Journal says that, 'On March 11th, 2009  
12 the Senate voted to set Senate Bill 362 and no other  
13 bill for special order. The vote on the special  
14 order was 19 to 12.'

15 "QUESTION: And that's consistent with your  
16 recollection, yes?

17 "ANSWER: I have no reason to believe that's not  
18 true.

19 "QUESTION: And, again, all eight ethnic minorities  
20 listed here in the Senate Journal voted against  
21 sending Senate Bill 360 -- setting Senate Bill 362 as  
22 a special order, is that right?

23 "ANSWER: That's my recollection, and that's what it  
24 says here in the Senate Journal.

25 "QUESTION: And then, ultimately, Senate Bill 362



1 passed on the third reading. Is that right, it

2 passed out of the Senate?

3 "ANSWER: It was passed out of the Senate and it was

4 sent to the House.

5 "QUESTION: And, again, the vote to pass Senate Bill

6 362 out of the Senate was split along party lines,

7 right?

8 "ANSWER: Yes.

9 "QUESTION: With everybody who is self-identified as

10 an ethnic minority voting against passing it out of

11 the Senate, correct?

12 "ANSWER: To the best of my knowledge, yes.

13 "QUESTION: And then, ultimately, what happened is

14 that Senate Bill 362 didn't pass the House in that

15 particular legislative session, is that right?

16 "ANSWER: That's my recollection.

17 "QUESTION: And so voter ID legislation didn't become

18 the law in the 2009 session, right?

19 "ANSWER: That's correct.

20 "QUESTION: So in 2007 and 2009, that legislation

21 that was proposed allowed voters to go to the polls

22 with two forms of secondary identification to

23 identify themselves, right?

24 "ANSWER: Had it been enacted, that would have been

25 true.

1 "QUESTION: And in 2011 the legislation, SB 14,  
2 didn't allow voters to present those forms of  
3 secondary identification at the polls to identify  
4 themselves, right?

5 "ANSWER: That's correct.

6 "QUESTION: Instead, what SB 14 does is it requires  
7 anybody who can't get one of the forms of primary  
8 photographic identification to take their secondary  
9 forms of ID to the DPS to get an electronic (sic)  
10 identification certificate, is that right?

11 "ANSWER: Election.

12 "QUESTION: I'm sorry, election identification  
13 certificate?

14 "ANSWER: That's correct.

15 "QUESTION: Do you know if a noncitizen can obtain a  
16 driver's license in Texas?

17 "ANSWER: Yes, they can.

18 "QUESTION: Do you know whether or not everyone who  
19 holds -- currently holds a Texas driver's license has  
20 shown proof of US citizenship?

21 "ANSWER: What I know is that they have either shown  
22 it previously, or they will be required to show it  
23 when their license is renewed, and so that's a  
24 process that would take a few years because I think  
25 your license is good for about six years, so --

1 "QUESTION: Do you know how many people currently  
2 hold a Texas driver's license who has not shown proof  
3 of US citizenship?

4 "ANSWER: You could get that from DPS, but I don't  
5 know that number.

6 "QUESTION: Does your driver's license state that you  
7 are a citizen?

8 "ANSWER: No.

9 "QUESTION: And do you know if the driver's license  
10 held by someone who is a legal permanent resident  
11 would state that they are an LPR?

12 "ANSWER: I don't know the answer to that.

13 "QUESTION: Can a noncitizen be issued a military ID?

14 "ANSWER: I believe they can if they are a member of  
15 the military.

16 "QUESTION: Would it surprise you to hear that there  
17 are at least 10 different types of US military IDs?

18 "ANSWER: No.

19 "QUESTION: Do you know if poll workers in Texas are  
20 trained to distinguish between different types of  
21 military IDs?

22 "ANSWER: I don't know.

23 "QUESTION: What is a citizenship certificate?

24 "ANSWER: I don't know.

25 "QUESTION: Is one of the --

1 "ANSWER: Yeah.

2 "QUESTION: -- acceptable types of ID in Senate Bill  
3 14. Have you seen one?

4 "ANSWER: No.

5 "QUESTION: And would you know how much it costs to  
6 obtain one?

7 "ANSWER: No.

8 "QUESTION: Do you know how you would obtain a  
9 replacement?

10 "ANSWER: No.

11 "QUESTION: Oh, you've never seen one?

12 So a person who brings to the polling booth a  
13 citizenship certificate that has a picture of him  
14 when he was five years old, would that verify a  
15 person's identity?

16 "ANSWER: I have no idea.

17 "QUESTION: How much does it cost to obtain a US  
18 passport?

19 "ANSWER: I don't know.

20 "QUESTION: Do you know how many Texan voters hold  
21 valid US passports?

22 "ANSWER: No.

23 "QUESTION: Does SB 14 require employers to provide  
24 paid leave so that employees may obtain an election  
25 identification certificate?

1 "ANSWER: Not to my recollection. I can look at it  
2 and see, but I don't recall that it did that.

3 "QUESTION: My question is do you know whether some  
4 people in Texas live at least 50 miles from the  
5 nearest driver's license office?

6 "ANSWER: I'm sure some do, maybe more than that.

7 "QUESTION: And how much would gas cost, at a  
8 minimum, to drive a 100-mile round trip to get the  
9 election identification certificate?

10 "ANSWER: I have no idea. It would depend on what  
11 kind of car you were driving, or whether you were on  
12 a motorcycle or riding a bicycle, or what it might  
13 be.

14 "QUESTION: Senator Davis proposed Amendment 12,  
15 which provided that the underlying document needed to  
16 obtain an election identification certificate; that  
17 is, the birth certificate, would be free for indigent  
18 voters. Did you oppose this amendment?

19 "ANSWER: You'd have to look at the record. My  
20 recollection is that I opposed the amendment.

21 "QUESTION: Senator Ellis proposed Amendment 19 to  
22 add student IDs to the list of acceptable photo IDs,  
23 and this would be limited to student IDs issued by  
24 Texas public universities. Did you oppose this  
25 amendment?

1 "ANSWER: My recollection is that that I voted  
2 against that amendment.

3 "QUESTION: So, Senator Williams, did you have a role  
4 in the introduction of Senate Bill 14 in 2011?

5 "ANSWER: I was a joint or co-sponsor of the bill.

6 "QUESTION: Was SB 14 given a designation by the  
7 Governor to be emergency legislation?

8 "ANSWER: My recollection is that he did make voter  
9 ID an emergency item.

10 "QUESTION: And what are the consequences of a bill  
11 to have such designation?

12 "ANSWER: It can be considered earlier in the  
13 sessions.

14 "QUESTION: One of the major concerns raised in  
15 connection with Senate Bill 14 was that there wasn't  
16 sufficient evidence of in person voter fraud in Texas  
17 to justify strict photo ID law. Do you recall that?

18 "ANSWER: That was asserted by the opponents of the  
19 bill.

20 "QUESTION: What did you do prior to the passage of  
21 SB 14 to research in person voter fraud in Texas?

22 "ANSWER: I think the primary information that we  
23 received about this was from the testimony that we  
24 received either in the State Affairs Committee or in  
25 the Committee as a Whole.

1 "QUESTION: Other than the testimony about in person  
2 voter fraud that was received in those two  
3 Committees, is there any other evidence of in person  
4 voter fraud that you collected in connection with  
5 your consideration of SB 14?

6 "ANSWER: I don't know what you mean by that,  
7 'evidence that I collected.' How would you know if  
8 someone was committing in person voter fraud if you  
9 didn't require them to have an ID, can you tell me?

10 "QUESTION: Well, in person voter fraud is a  
11 prosecutable offense in Texas, correct?

12 "ANSWER: It is.

13 "QUESTION: It's subject to criminal penalties,  
14 correct?

15 "ANSWER: It is.

16 "QUESTION: It was subject to criminal penalties  
17 prior to the passage of SB 14, correct?

18 "ANSWER: Yes.

19 "QUESTION: And prior to the passage of SB 14 there  
20 were convictions for voter fraud in Texas, are you  
21 aware of that?

22 "ANSWER: Yes.

23 "QUESTION: So were those -- how were those instances  
24 of voter fraud detected when there was no photo ID  
25 law?

1 "ANSWER: I have no specific recollection of how they  
2 were prosecuted. I know that they were fairly rare.

3 "QUESTION: Is it true that there are relatively few  
4 instances of prosecuted in person voter fraud in  
5 Texas that have been reported?

6 "ANSWER: In relation to the number of people voting  
7 it's not very high.

8 "QUESTION: And you also mentioned, during the 2011  
9 session SB 14 was, in fact, made a special order by a  
10 majority vote, is that correct?

11 "ANSWER: Yes.

12 "QUESTION: And it was also sent, prior to that, to a  
13 Committee of the Whole hearing, is that right?

14 "ANSWER: Right.

15 "QUESTION: Prior to the Committee of the Whole  
16 hearing in January 2011, on January 25th, 2011 you  
17 requested that the Secretary of State's office  
18 perform an analysis, correct?

19 "ANSWER: Yes.

20 "QUESTION: And you specifically requested that Ann  
21 McGeehan, the Elections Director at the time, perform  
22 an analysis cross-referencing registered voters and  
23 driver's license data bases, is that right?

24 "ANSWER: Yes.

25 "QUESTION: And you asked Ms. McGeehan on the public



1 record if she needed any additional direction from  
2 the legislature to accomplish this cross-referencing,  
3 right?

4 "ANSWER: I believe that's correct.

5 "QUESTION: And you brought this request up again  
6 during the Committee on the Whole hearing, and you  
7 confirmed this request with a representative of DPS  
8 who was testifying, Rebecca Davio, correct?

9 "ANSWER: I believe that's correct.

10 "QUESTION: And you're not sure if your office ever  
11 got a response to this request, is that right?

12 "ANSWER: I wasn't sure when I was deposed.

13 Subsequent to my deposition I went back and checked  
14 with my office staff and we did not receive that  
15 report.

16 "QUESTION: But you considered this information  
17 important enough to ask about it twice on the public  
18 record, is that right?

19 "ANSWER: Yes.

20 "QUESTION: And your request was made before the  
21 House considered the bill, is that right?

22 "ANSWER: Yes.

23 "QUESTION: And your request was made before SB 14  
24 was signed into law, is that correct?

25 "ANSWER: Yes.

1 "QUESTION: And you were aware there was testimony  
2 during the Committee on the Whole hearing concerning  
3 the disproportional impact of SB 14 on minority  
4 voters, correct?

5 "ANSWER: There was testimony to that effect.

6 "QUESTION: Ultimately SB 14 was passed out of the  
7 Committee of the Whole, correct?

8 "ANSWER: Yes.

9 "QUESTION: And you voted to pass that bill out of  
10 Committee?

11 "ANSWER: I did.

12 "QUESTION: And that bill was, again, along strict  
13 party lines, correct?

14 "ANSWER: I believe that it was.

15 "QUESTION: How many other bills in this 82nd session  
16 were considered by the Committee of the Whole without  
17 being considered by another committee previously?

18 "ANSWER: I don't recall that there were any other  
19 bills that were considered by the Committee of the  
20 Whole that session, but my memory isn't perfect.  
21 That's some time ago.

22 "QUESTION: During the debates on Senate Bill 14, did  
23 you do anything to look into the claim that African  
24 Americans disproportionately lacked access to motor  
25 vehicles in Texas?

1 "ANSWER: It may have been debated, but I don't -- I  
2 don't recall any specific details or anything I might  
3 have done related to that.

4 "QUESTION: And was it concerning to you, when you  
5 were voting on SB 14, that the Latino population in  
6 Texas might live disproportionately further from DPS  
7 offices?

8 "ANSWER: I'm not sure that it was something that I  
9 was worried about when I voted for it. I think my  
10 concerns had been satisfied.

11 "QUESTION: Do you recall testimony from various  
12 interest groups that African Americans and Hispanics  
13 would have disproportionate burdens as a result of SB  
14 14?

15 "ANSWER: That was asserted by some groups.

16 "QUESTION: Did you do anything to look into these  
17 assertions or satisfy yourself about whether these  
18 assertions were accurate?

19 "ANSWER: Yes, that was a part of the debate, and  
20 that would have been when I considered it.

21 "QUESTION: And I understand it was part of the  
22 debate. What I'm asking is slightly different, and  
23 that's whether you did anything to determine whether  
24 the assertions by those groups about the  
25 disproportionate burdens on the minority community

1           were true?

2           "ANSWER: Yes, that would have been part of what I  
3           considered during the debate, both in Committee and  
4           on the Floor.

5           "QUESTION: And I understand -- I understand that as  
6           a result of considering legislation you hear  
7           testimony and you have to think about the testimony.  
8           Did you do any research to determine whether the  
9           claims of the minority community that this  
10          legislation would have as disproportionate impact on  
11          their constituents was accurate?

12          "ANSWER: I -- I don't have a specific recollection.  
13          My staff might have done some work on that but, you  
14          know, I can't -- I can't sit here and tell you that I  
15          did or I didn't.

16          "QUESTION: Did you do any research when you were  
17          debating SB 14 about what percentage of African  
18          Americans owned concealed handgun licenses in Texas?

19          "ANSWER: I don't have a recollection that I did or I  
20          didn't.

21          "QUESTION: Was any statistical information about  
22          that available to you when you voted on Senate Bill  
23          14, to your knowledge?

24          "ANSWER: There may have been, I don't recall.

25          "QUESTION: Would that information have been

1           important to you in determining whether to vote for  
2           the bill?

3           "ANSWER:   Probably not.

4           "QUESTION: Did you look into the ethnic makeup of  
5           people living below the poverty line in connection  
6           with your debate about voter ID legislation in 2011?

7           "ANSWER: I do not recall at this time.

8           "QUESTION: Would knowing that African Americans and  
9           Hispanics disproportionately make up those living  
10          below the poverty line in Texas have made a  
11          difference to you in your consideration of SB 14?

12          "ANSWER: You know, the provisions of Senate Bill 14  
13          were that you could get this election identification  
14          certificate at no cost, and so I don't think that  
15          that was really an issue that I would have given a  
16          lot of consideration to."

17          **(End of reading excerpts)**

18          **MS. RUDD:** That concludes our reading. And, your  
19          Honor, I just want to draw your attention to two other  
20          exhibits, PL-157, which is Senate Resolution 98 from the 67th  
21          legislative session that was mentioned in that reading; and PL-  
22          172, which is Senate Resolution 14 from the 81st legislative  
23          session. Thank you.

24          **THE COURT:** Okay. We can go ahead and take a morning  
25          break. During the lunch hour we are going to be in recess from

1 12:00 to 1:30 today. Okay.

2 **MR. SPEAKER:** Thank you, your Honor.

3 **THE CLERK:** All rise.

4 (A recess was taken from 10:24 a.m. to 10:41 a.m.; parties  
5 present)

6 **THE COURT:** All right.

7 **MR. SCOTT:** Your Honor, there are -- not the next one  
8 but the next two out of the next three are Department of  
9 Justice witnesses and I think the Department of Justice has  
10 approached the State about how to perhaps handle the reading of  
11 that information. Some of it evidently contains some  
12 information that does in fact relate to law enforcement  
13 operations that just from a practical standpoint would be  
14 better not having it on the public record.

15 It's something we can hand to you but it's pretty  
16 clear from our understanding that you've already got more than  
17 your fair share of reading to do --

18 **THE COURT:** Okay.

19 **MR. SCOTT:** -- and you wanted it read and so we're  
20 happy to do that but --

21 **THE COURT:** Well, how much is it? What are we  
22 talking?

23 **MS. WOLF:** Um.

24 **MR. SCOTT:** I think one is a 17 page exert and the  
25 other's a 19 page exert out of depositions.

1           **THE COURT:** So is the proposal from both sides that  
2 you all just give that to me and then what do we do with the  
3 records, seal that portion? I'm not sure exactly what the  
4 request is.

5           **MR. SHAPIRO:** The United States' request would be for  
6 the Court to be given the documents so they could review them  
7 under seal and you know, I don't think it would take that long  
8 for the Court to review --

9           **THE COURT:** Okay.

10          **MR. SHAPIRO:** -- those documents.

11          **THE COURT:** And then for purposes of the record what  
12 are we doing for it to be in the record? We're just going to  
13 admit them sealed, what you give me and it's not going to be  
14 part of the official transcript as -- for Court reporting  
15 purposes?

16          **MS. WOLF:** Sure, your Honor. And -- I mean, the  
17 other thing we can do is we're submitting some exhibits under  
18 seal, we could mark them as exhibits if you want to just have  
19 them that way and we're put them on the drive of the sealed  
20 exhibits.

21          **THE COURT:** That's fine.

22          **MS. WOLF:** Okay.

23          **MR. SHAPIRO:** Thank you, your Honor.

24          **MS. WOLF:** Thank you, your Honor.

25          **THE COURT:** Okay.

1           **MR. SCOTT:** That takes two of the readings off the  
2 list.

3           **THE COURT:** Okay. And that's who?

4           **MS. WOLF:** Sorry.

5           **MR. SHAPIRO:** It's Frary and Flusher.

6           **THE COURT:** Who?

7           **MR. SHAPIRO:** Michelle Flusher.

8           **MS. WOLF:** Flusher and Debra Frary.

9           **THE COURT:** Okay. How do you spell Michelle's name?  
10 Last name?

11           **MS. WOLF:** F-L-U-S-H-E-R.

12           **MR. SHAPIRO:** That's correct, your Honor.

13           **THE COURT:** Okay.

14           **MR. SHAPIRO:** Can we approach with the excerpt --

15           **THE COURT:** Yes.

16           **MR. SHAPIRO:** Thank you.

17           **MR. SCOTT:** We're going to mark those as Exhibits  
18 what for the record?

19           **MS. WOLF:** John, it will be 2747 and 2748.

20           **MR. SCOTT:** 2747 was the summary.

21           **MR. SPEAKER:** (indiscernible)

22           **MR. SCOTT:** Oh, okay.

23           **THE CLERK:** (indiscernible)

24           **MR. SCOTT:** Yes. Your Honor (indiscernible) that's  
25 being placed under seal is the excerpts from Debra Frary,



1 F-R-A-R-Y. We've marked as Defense Exhibit 2747 and we would  
2 like this to be placed into evidence and put under seal.

3 **THE COURT:** All right. The second?

4 **MR. SCOTT:** And the second is the deposition exerts  
5 of Michele Slusher and it's being marked as 2748 and  
6 (indiscernible) move for its admission.

7 **THE COURT:** All right. So those will be admitted  
8 under seal by agreement, correct? Okay.

9 (The Court received Defense Exhibit 2747 and Exhibit 2748  
10 into evidence and placed under seal)

11 **MR. SHAPIRO:** Your Honor, (indiscernible)

12 **THE COURT:** Okay.

13 **MS. WOLF:** And your Honor, our next reading will be  
14 from the deposition of Carolyn Guidry and there's one  
15 housekeeping matter that's also related to that. There's an  
16 exhibit, Defendants' 456, which was one of the exhibits we  
17 identified for you on September 2<sup>nd</sup> which we're still trying to  
18 work out amongst ourselves because it's a 600 page exhibit. I  
19 sent the pages to counsel this morning but they needed some  
20 time to review so prior to the closing we're get your honor  
21 whatever final version of that exhibit is it.

22 **THE COURT:** Okay.

23 **MS. WOLF:** But it's 456 and it's related to this  
24 witness.

25 **THE COURT:** Okay.

Guidry / by excerpts of Deposition - Direct

138

1           **MR. HEBERT:** That's correct.

2           **THE COURT:** So now we're reading who?

3           **MS. WOLF:** (indiscernible)

4           **THE COURT:** All right.

5                   **EXAMINATION OF CAROLYN GUIDRY**

6                   **BY EXCERPTS OF DISPOSITION TESTIMONY**

7           **(QUESTIONS READ BY MR. WHITLEY; ANSWERS READ BY MS. WOLF)**

8           "QUESTION: State your name for the record, please.

9           "ANSWER: Carolyn Guidry.

10          "QUESTION: Do you understand you're not a party to  
11          this lawsuit.

12          "ANSWER: I am.

13          "QUESTION: Okay. And you're the County Clerk for  
14          Jefferson County, is that correct?

15          "ANSWER: That's correct.

16          "QUESTION: Excellent. So, to start us off, can you  
17          give us a bit of background about yourself, where  
18          you're from, where you went to school, past jobs?

19          "ANSWER: I ran for office in 2004 for County Clerk  
20          and I was elected at that time for an unexpired term.  
21          So I've been County Clerk since October of 2004. And  
22          I'm serving in my ninth year as County Clerk. And as  
23          County Clerk I oversee the elections for Jefferson  
24          County.

25          "QUESTION: And did you run with a political party

1           when you ran for County Clerk?

2           "ANSWER: Yes, I did.

3           "QUESTION: And which party was that?

4           "ANSWER: Democrat party.

5           "QUESTION: Excellent. Have you had any other  
6           experience working in a campaign?

7           "ANSWER: I've worked campaigns since I was about 12  
8           years old. I've always done I get out to vote  
9           campaigns. I have -- my uncle was very politically  
10          active so I've been involved in campaigns since he --  
11          at a very, very young age. So I've always been  
12          involved especially being a union official I was  
13          always very, very involved so yes.

14          "QUESTION: Okay. So you've been doing this now for  
15          about nine years and how many staff do you have in  
16          your office?

17          "ANSWER: As a County Clerk I have 35 people in my  
18          office. For the election department I have five  
19          people in the election department.

20          "QUESTION: And who are the five that are in the  
21          election department?

22          "ANSWER: Right now the election manager is Naomi  
23          Doyle. The election programmer is Frederick Crips  
24          (Phonetic). The warehouse manager is Toy Linton. I  
25          have Denise Plumber as a voting technician and then

1 Adrian Taylor is also a voting technician.

2 "QUESTION: And what do each of those employees do?  
3 What are their responsibilities?

4 "ANSWER: Naomi Doyle is the election manager. She  
5 oversees the entire department. So she's responsible  
6 for everything that goes on starting with the  
7 processing of all the mail ballots, all the way down  
8 to everything that they do to make sure that they get  
9 all the information they need to make sure the  
10 program is done, to make sure the equipment is  
11 processed -- the equipment is programmed, everything  
12 that they need is done. Just make sure that they are  
13 made ready for all the elections.

14 "QUESTION: Okay.

15 "ANSWER: Frederick Cribbs, the programmer which he is  
16 in training. He's new. And he does not do all that  
17 programming right now. We're outsourcing that. But  
18 once he gets fully training he will do all the  
19 programming of our ballots and stuff. Right now he  
20 does other website updating, the EA tablets that we  
21 use to process the voters and stuff. So he has a lot  
22 of other responsibilities as well.

23 Toy Taylor, she's the warehouse supervisor. She  
24 maintains all the equipment. She actually works with  
25 programming the equipment once we get the program to

1           program each (indiscernible) once it's sent out to  
2           the field. They also help -- all of them help with  
3           all the testing and everything before it is deployed.  
4           Of course the voting technicians work under the  
5           direction of the warehouse supervisor with all the  
6           testing and making sure everything is operable.

7           "QUESTION: Excellent. To go back for a second, did  
8           you receive a deposition notice today that requested  
9           documents?

10          "ANSWER: Yes, I did.

11          "QUESTION: And did you bring documents with you  
12          today?

13          "ANSWER: Yes, I did.

14          "QUESTION: And could you describe generally what's  
15          included in those documents?

16          "ANSWER: Well, what's in those documents, first I  
17          pulled the Senate Bill which is what we're talking  
18          about. I also pulled things like the press releases  
19          that we did pertinent to the photo ID, signs that we  
20          post at the polling locations, training material that  
21          we use to train our poll workers. Public request we  
22          got pertaining to the photo ID or anything to do with  
23          the photo ID or the Senate Bill.

24          Any complaints we got about the photo ID, budget  
25          allocations that we did to finance for photo ID,

1 money we spent for advertising for photo ID for what  
2 was required when they come to the polling locations.  
3 The mailing that went out for the 2014 Tax Statements  
4 that went out, communications that I had between  
5 Judge Branick as well as the Secretary of State that  
6 was regarding photo ID.  
7 Communications and emails that we had when we were  
8 trying to setup, setup for EIC in order for the  
9 people in Jefferson County that did not have the  
10 proper photo ID to be able to obtain their EIC  
11 Certificates to be able to vote, provisional ballots  
12 that we had during the March primary and the runoff  
13 election that had to do with photo ID, people that  
14 had to vote originally as well as the list of our  
15 election workers. And then we only had one alleged  
16 incident that might have been voter fraud. Those are  
17 the documentation that I pulled.  
18 "QUESTION: Excellent and was there someone in your  
19 office who was put in charge of collecting all the  
20 documents that were responsive or did you do that  
21 yourself?  
22 "ANSWER: I collected those documents.  
23 "QUESTION: You did that, okay. And was there any  
24 search for documents outside of the County Clerk's  
25 Office but that might be in possession of the County?

1 "ANSWER: No.

2 "QUESTION: Closer to the election?

3 "ANSWER: What we normally do is we start training  
4 before the early voting period starts. So normally  
5 we have training like a month before early training  
6 starts. We were in training for at least two weeks  
7 because we have 200 people for training. So you have  
8 training classes four days a week. They're like two  
9 or three hour sessions and people come out to the  
10 County session to train.

11 "QUESTION: So you offer them four days a week. Are  
12 people expected to come all four days or do they pick  
13 one?

14 "ANSWER: No, they only come for two to three -- two  
15 to three hours one day. And we assign them classes.

16 "QUESTION: So this is an in person training?

17 "ANSWER: Right.

18 "QUESTION: Okay. So the training that the poll  
19 workers in Jefferson County received, is it just the  
20 in person or do they also do an online training?

21 "ANSWER: They have some online training as well.

22 "QUESTION: And do you know if it's voluntary or is  
23 it mandatory?

24 "ANSWER: Oh, it's mandatory for us because we have a  
25 tracking system and we can tell whether or not they

1 have taken all the classes.

2 "QUESTION: Okay. And how many classes do they have  
3 to take online?

4 "ANSWER: It's a whole program of classes. I mean,  
5 it's like -- I'd have to look at that program. It's  
6 our clarity program and it's just a different course  
7 that tells you how to set up the polling location.  
8 It's tells you how to qualify a voter. It's just a  
9 regular normal setup of poll worker training and it  
10 is different -- I mean, it just has different classes  
11 of training of how a to b of what you do the entire  
12 day.

13 "QUESTION: Was there any special training on the  
14 photo ID and how to accept a voter's identification?

15 "ANSWER: No, the training on photo ID was the  
16 training that we gave in person.

17 "QUESTION: And did you receive materials from the  
18 Secretary of State like power points or other  
19 training materials to use when you educated your  
20 employees or your coworkers?

21 "ANSWER: We did get some materials from the  
22 Secretary of State that we implemented into our  
23 entire training.

24 "QUESTION: So did you use those or did you just  
25 incorporate those into the County training?



1           "ANSWER: We incorporated some of it into the  
2           County's training.

3           "QUESTION: Okay. So you also mentioned that you did  
4           some stuff to educate voters. What types of things  
5           did you do to educate voters?

6           "ANSWER: We had radio time that we to educate the  
7           voters. We did workshops to educate the voters. We  
8           would reach out to different organizations and go out  
9           and talk to them. Like I said we did the tax inserts  
10          for every tax statement that went out. I have that  
11          material here for you. We did it English and  
12          Spanish. They were little, you know, little slips  
13          that went out in every tax statement to let them know  
14          when you go to vote now you have to have photo ID --  
15          voter ID. Those are the things we did to make sure  
16          that voters knew when they went to vote, effective  
17          immediately, that you now had to have these types of  
18          photo ID.

19          "QUESTION: Did the County do any billboards?

20          "ANSWER: No, we did not do any billboards.

21          "QUESTION: Okay. Who's generally responsible for  
22          voter education activities in the State? Is it  
23          usually the Secretary of State's Office, the Counties  
24          or some combination of both?

25          "ANSWER: For voter education I think it's probably a

1 combination.

2 "QUESTION: And did you feel that it was particularly  
3 important to educate voters about the photo ID law  
4 with radio ads, tax inserts, etc.?

5 "ANSWER: Oh, definitely.

6 "QUESTION: And why did you think that was important?

7 "ANSWER: Well, I think it was a way to reach our  
8 local voters. I think it was the best way for us to  
9 reach our local voters anyway.

10 "QUESTION: And did your office do anything to  
11 analyze the impact of SB 14 on voters?

12 "ANSWER: Not other than we lifted the number of --  
13 we didn't have a horrific amount of provisional  
14 ballots when we looked at it. I think we had a total  
15 of seven over the March primary (indiscernible)  
16 election which I guess is an enormous amount. But I  
17 don't -- isn't an enormous amount but I don't think  
18 that we've had a great amount of people not having ID  
19 in the past. But of course most people come just  
20 with their voter registration cards in the past. So  
21 I don't know.

22 "QUESTION: And were there any provisional ballots in  
23 the May Special Election?

24 "ANSWER: None to do with photo ID.

25 "QUESTION: Okay. Now you mentioned -- so you

1 brought up before that there may be one allegation of  
2 voter fraud. Is there -- if you think that there's  
3 voter fraud going on in the County, what happens? Do  
4 you refer that to someone?

5 "ANSWER: Yes, I refer it to the District Attorney's  
6 Office.

7 "QUESTION: Anyone else?

8 "ANSWER: No I leave it up to them where it goes from  
9 there.

10 "QUESTION: Okay. Now, to turn to the substantially  
11 similar names provision that we've talked about. Who  
12 makes the decision -- when a voters who do they hand  
13 their ID too?

14 "ANSWER: The clerk at the desk, whoever is  
15 processing the voter at that time.

16 "QUESTION: And so the election clerk processes the  
17 voter and what happens, the voter hands their ID  
18 over?

19 "ANSWER: Right.

20 "QUESTION: And then the election clerk -- what does  
21 the election clerk do with the ID?

22 "ANSWER: WE have voter administration tablets and we  
23 have all the voters listed. So there names should  
24 match what their voter registration says and in the  
25 voter registration database they also have their

1 formal names -- former names as well. For instance,  
2 for a female you may have a former name which will  
3 help with your identification.

4 "QUESTION: So the election clerk takes the ID, looks  
5 it up in this tablet, do they swipe the ID in a  
6 machine?

7 "ANSWER: No.

8 "QUESTION: Okay. So they just type in the last name  
9 or their first name?

10 "ANSWER: Right.

11 "QUESTION: And look the person up?

12 "ANSWER: Uh-huh.

13 "QUESTION: And so the election clerk has the ID.  
14 Are they the ones who decide whether the ID is  
15 substantially similar to the voter list?

16 "ANSWER: Right.

17 "QUESTION: And did the County give any guidance  
18 beyond what the Secretary of State's Office gave out  
19 about what substantially similar means?

20 "ANSWER: Yes, that's part of our training.

21 "QUESTION: And what kind of additional guidance did  
22 you give?

23 "ANSWER: Well, it was part of the training that came  
24 from the Secretary of State's Office about similar  
25 names and it's part of the training that we have.

1           You know, just basically you have to look at names  
2           and some things are just kind of like common sense  
3           stuff.

4           "QUESTION: And this -- is this the training that the  
5           Secretary of State's Office gave --

6           "ANSWER: Right.

7           "QUESTION: -- or is this stuff that the County  
8           developed?

9           "ANSWER: The training the Secretary of State gave  
10          that we implemented.

11          "QUESTION: Okay. So did you use the same examples  
12          from the Secretary of State's Office?

13          "ANSWER: Right.

14          "QUESTION: And did you provide any additional  
15          examples that the County developed?

16          "ANSWER: I did which is kind of funny. I provided  
17          like even -- what I provided also was on there. Like  
18          when you're talking about transposing numbers like  
19          for their birthdates sometimes, you know. Even on  
20          their driver's license they will transpose numbers.  
21          They need to get it corrected. Look at the  
22          birthdates, like it may be 01-27-52. They may have  
23          01-17-52 or they may misspell the name and stuff.  
24          Even looking at a picture, sometimes on their  
25          driver's license it may not look exactly like them.

1           They may have changed their hairstyle, something but  
2           you've got to really look and use some common sense  
3           sometimes, is that really that person? And that's  
4           what I expect them to do and then when all else  
5           fails, I tell them that that's why they have the  
6           judges and there are alternate judges there to help  
7           them make those decisions. And if all else fails  
8           before you turn down a voter that's what we're here  
9           for. Many days I jump in a car, drive to a polling  
10          location to make a decision.

11          "QUESTION: So did that happen at all in any of the  
12          elections where you got a call and they said we have  
13          an issue and you had to go to a polling place?

14          "ANSWER: Not for similar names. I've not had to do  
15          that you know.

16          "QUESTION: Okay. And so if the election clerk  
17          thinks that someone's name is substantially similar  
18          but not an exact match, what happens?

19          "ANSWER: If they think it's substantially similar --

20          "QUESTION: Uh-huh.

21          "ANSWER: -- and not a match, then they should let  
22          them vote.

23          "QUESTION: And does the voter have to do anything in  
24          order to vote?

25          "ANSWER: They need to fill out a form.

1 "QUESTION: Okay. And what form is that?

2 "ANSWER: It's just a form saying yes, that's me.

3 And we have forms for that and it's in their kit and  
4 they fill it out.

5 "QUESTION: Okay. Did you give me any guidance  
6 perhaps on nick names, like did you give a list of  
7 nick names that people go back for various names?

8 "ANSWER: Yeah, I think in here they even have  
9 samples of stuff like that.

10 "QUESTION: When you say they have examples, is that  
11 the Secretary of State's training?

12 "ANSWER: Well, the Secretary of State's training.

13 "QUESTION: So the complaint was that one of the  
14 election workers was not implementing the law as it  
15 was intended?

16 "ANSWER: Right.

17 "QUESTION: Are there any other complaints from  
18 voters in Jefferson County about the photo ID law?

19 "ANSWER: Not other than that -- than the one that I  
20 got from her and she had another one on there as  
21 well, basically the same thing.

22 "QUESTION: That somebody was not asking for ID when  
23 they should have been?

24 "ANSWER: Right, right, right.

25 "QUESTION: And so you've not received any other

1 complaints from constituents about this photo ID law?

2 "ANSWER: Have I received any complaints?

3 "QUESTION: Yes.

4 "ANSWER: No. You mean as far as going to the  
5 polling locations?

6 "QUESTION: The voters, yeah.

7 "ANSWER: No.

8 "QUESTION: Okay. Are you aware that SB 14 provides  
9 a disability exemption for voters with certain types  
10 of disabilities?

11 "ANSWER: I'm aware of that.

12 "QUESTION: And there's a regulation from the  
13 Secretary of State's Office that offers some guidance  
14 on substantially similar names, is that correct?

15 "ANSWER: That's correct.

16 "QUESTION: Okay. Let me ask you, are you aware of  
17 any examples in Jefferson County of a register voter  
18 who has had difficulty obtaining an EIC?

19 "ANSWER: I'm not aware.

20 "QUESTION: And when they were actually set up in  
21 February, did they provide you with any assistance in  
22 reaching out to the residents of Jefferson County  
23 regarding the availability of the mobile EIC  
24 stations?

25 "ANSWER: When they set up in February, yes they did.



1           They did some radio announcements.

2           "QUESTION: But the Secretary of State's Office did  
3           the radio announcement?

4           "ANSWER: Yes, they did.

5           "QUESTION: Now, with respect to what you would look  
6           for or what you would train the poll workers to look  
7           for with respect to a proper military ID, have you  
8           been provided examples by the Secretary of State as  
9           to what the various military IDs look like?

10          "ANSWER: Yes.

11          "QUESTION: Okay. During those hearings you heard  
12          any -- have you heard any of the citizens of  
13          Jefferson County making complaints to the  
14          Commissioner's Court that they have been unable to  
15          obtain photo IDs that would allow them to vote?

16          "ANSWER: No, sir.

17          "QUESTION: Okay. Has that issue even come up to  
18          your knowledge at any of the Commission Court  
19          Hearings that you've attended?

20          "ANSWER: No, it has not.

21          "QUESTION: Okay. Have they, putting SB 14 aside for  
22          the moment, has the Commissioner's Court generally  
23          been supportive of your offices' attempt to educate  
24          the voters about any election issues?

25          "ANSWER: Yes, sir.

1 "QUESTION: Okay. In other words, your efforts to  
2 educate voters did not begin when SB 14 went into  
3 effect, correct?

4 "ANSWER: No, sir.

5 "QUESTION: There was lots of issues that you worked  
6 to educate voters on that have nothing to do with  
7 SB 14, correct?

8 "ANSWER: Yes, sir.

9 "QUESTION: Okay. Now how would you characterize  
10 your offices' working relationship with the Texas  
11 Secretary of State's Elections Division?

12 "ANSWER: We have a good working relationship with  
13 them.

14 "QUESTION: Okay. And if you have a question about  
15 SB 14 or anything else, are you able to contact the  
16 Secretary of State's Office and ask those questions?

17 "ANSWER: Yeah.

18 "QUESTION: And are they responsive to you?

19 "ANSWER: Yes.

20 "QUESTION: Okay. So if you have a question on  
21 elections, at least from the State's side or the  
22 Secretary of State's side, they are willing to talk  
23 to you and willing to give you the answers, correct?

24 "ANSWER: Right.

25 "QUESTION: Okay. And also do you receive emails

1 frequently from the Secretary of State's Office?

2 "ANSWER: We get advisories all the time.

3 "QUESTION: Has any groups or private organizations  
4 contacted you and offered to give you assistance in  
5 educating voters of Jefferson County about the  
6 requirements of SB 14?

7 "ANSWER: No, they've no.

8 "QUESTION: Okay. And I believe you testified that  
9 there were not provisional ballots cast during the  
10 2013 Constitutional Amendment Election?

11 "ANSWER: That's correct.

12 "QUESTION: Okay. Do you know of anyone being turned  
13 away from the polls during the Constitutional  
14 Election -- Constitutional Amendment Election of 2013  
15 because they did not have a photo ID?

16 "ANSWER: No, I do not.

17 "QUESTION: Okay. Well, let me ask it this way then.  
18 During the Constitutional Amendment Election of 2013  
19 to your knowledge was there any issues raised to you  
20 with respect to problems caused by the substantially  
21 similar name aspect of SB 14?

22 "ANSWER: Were there any problems raised?

23 "QUESTION: Right.

24 "ANSWER: Not that I'm aware of.

25 "QUESTION: Okay. So provisional ballots are cast

1 for a lot of reasons, other than the requirements of  
2 SB 14, correct?

3 "ANSWER: That's correct.

4 "QUESTION: Okay. So you think with respect to the  
5 March Primary of 2014, you think there were three  
6 provisional ballots cast because of SB 14?

7 "ANSWER: Uh-huh.

8 "QUESTION: And do you know what the basis of each of  
9 those were? Was it because the person didn't have  
10 any ID or didn't have a correct ID or do you know?

11 "ANSWER: No ID.

12 "QUESTION: No ID at all?

13 "ANSWER: Well, I can tell you in a minute. Well,  
14 this one says failed to present acceptable ID on the  
15 first one. The second one says failed to present  
16 acceptable ID. On the third one it says expired ID  
17 and on the fourth one it was expired ID.

18 "QUESTION: All right. Now did you hear any  
19 complaints from anyone that they were not allowed to  
20 vote in the March 2012 Primary because of a similar  
21 name issue?

22 "ANSWER: No, sir.

23 "QUESTION: And I guess it would have been more a  
24 dissimilar name?

25 "ANSWER: Right.

1 "QUESTION: Did anyone complain to you, hey, I was  
2 not allowed to vote because my name did not match my  
3 ID?

4 "ANSWER: No.

5 "QUESTION: Okay. Did anyone complain to you, to  
6 anyone in your office, that they were not allowed to  
7 vote in the March 2014 Primary because the name on  
8 the voter roll did not match exactly the name on  
9 their -- the ID that they presented?

10 "ANSWER: No.

11 "QUESTION: Okay. Did anyone complain to you after  
12 the 2014 March Primary that for any reason SB 14  
13 prevented them from being able to vote?

14 "ANSWER: No, sir.

15 "QUESTION: Okay. Now, was the 2014 March primary  
16 the issue where the gentleman complained that he was  
17 not asked for an ID? Remember, we spoke that  
18 earlier?

19 "ANSWER: Let me see if I have that email.

20 "QUESTION: Do you recall the letter I'm talking  
21 about?

22 "ANSWER: Yes, I do.

23 "QUESTION: Okay. But I believe it was a poll worker  
24 who wrote the letter the complaint that had been made  
25 to her, correct?

1 "ANSWER: Yeah. It was for the March 4th primary,  
2 because she sent it March 5th.

3 "QUESTION: So that particular letter, who sent it  
4 before you put it back in the stack?

5 "ANSWER: It was Sherry Boudreaux.

6 "QUESTION: That's the letter that she wrote to you?

7 "ANSWER: Yes. She's one of the election judges.

8 "QUESTION: Did she relate the individual's name who  
9 made the complaint?

10 "ANSWER: No, she did not.

11 "QUESTION: Okay. So that letter is the only  
12 complaint you're aware of in March for the 2014  
13 primary related to SB 14, correct?

14 "ANSWER: Yes.

15 "QUESTION: And the gentleman who made that complaint  
16 was not complaining that he was not allowed to vote  
17 because of the photographic requirement, correct?

18 "ANSWER: No, he was allowed to vote. He was  
19 complaining why was he not asked for his photo ID.

20 "QUESTION: Okay. So he felt like he should have  
21 been asked for a photo ID, correct?

22 "ANSWER: Right.

23 "QUESTION: And he was not?

24 "ANSWER: Yeah.

25 "QUESTION: Okay. Did he indicate that he would have

1           been more comfortable if he had asked -- if he had  
2           been asked to show his photo ID?

3           "ANSWER: I guess he was trying to figure out why the  
4           same rules didn't apply to him if everybody else was  
5           being asked.

6           "QUESTION: And to him, it was important anyone show  
7           the photo ID, correct?

8           "ANSWER: I can assume that was his reason.

9           "QUESTION: Okay. And that's the only complaint that  
10          you recall from the 2014 primary?

11          "ANSWER: Yes, that's correct.

12          "QUESTION: And there was none with respect to the  
13          2013 election, correct?

14          "ANSWER: No, sir.

15          "QUESTION: All right. Then the next election was  
16          the runoff election?

17          "ANSWER: Yes.

18          "QUESTION: Was that in May?

19          "ANSWER: Well, it actually wasn't the next one.

20          "QUESTION: What was the next election after the  
21          March, 2014 primary?

22          "ANSWER: We had the Senate District, May 5th.

23          "QUESTION: Okay.

24          "ANSWER: That was Senate District 4, we had the May  
25          5th election. And then we had the runoff on May

1 27th.

2 "QUESTION: That was a special election?

3 "ANSWER: Yeah.

4 "QUESTION: Who had been the previous senator, or who  
5 vacated their position?

6 "ANSWER: Tommy Williams.

7 "QUESTION: All right. Were there any provisional  
8 ballots cast during that particular election?

9 "ANSWER: No, there were none.

10 "QUESTION: Okay. Were there any similar names  
11 afterwards filled out during that election?

12 "ANSWER: There were none.

13 "QUESTION: Did you receive any complaints that  
14 anyone complained that they were not allowed to vote  
15 during that election because of any of the -- any of  
16 the photo ID requirements?

17 "ANSWER: Not that I'm aware of.

18 "QUESTION: Okay. Did anyone from your office report  
19 to you they had hear complaints that someone had not  
20 been allowed to vote because of the requirements of  
21 SB 14 or photo ID?

22 "ANSWER: No, sir.

23 "QUESTION: Okay. So with respect to -- well, let's  
24 just go on. The next election then would have been  
25 the runoff, correct?



1 "ANSWER: The runoff, May 27th.

2 "QUESTION: All right. And with respect to the May  
3 27th runoff, were provisional ballots cast?

4 "ANSWER: Yes.

5 "QUESTION: Okay. How many were cast?

6 "ANSWER: I think there were seven, but three  
7 pertaining to photo ID.

8 "QUESTION: How many total provisional ballots, if  
9 you have it?

10 "ANSWER: Total? Eleven total.

11 "QUESTION: Okay. And do you know what -- why --  
12 what the provisional ballots were, other than the  
13 ones that were cast because of SB 14? What types of  
14 issues?

15 "ANSWER: Yeah, they were like -- they would show up  
16 and they already had a ballot by mail, and they would  
17 show up at the polling location to vote when they  
18 already had a mail ballot that we had or --

19 "QUESTION: Okay. Based upon the five elections in  
20 Jefferson -- or four -- based on the five elections  
21 that's occurred in Jefferson County after SB 14 has  
22 become implemented or became implemented, do you,  
23 based upon that experience, have any reason to think  
24 SB 14 has prevented people from voting in Jefferson  
25 County?

1 "ANSWER: I don't think it's prevented people from  
2 voting.

3 "QUESTION: Do you see anything in the numbers of  
4 those elections that would indicate to you that there  
5 has been a decrease in voting in Jefferson County  
6 after the implementation of SB 14?

7 "ANSWER: No, sir.

8 "QUESTION: Okay. Whose idea was it to send out with  
9 the tax -- property tax statement, I assume?

10 "ANSWER: Right.

11 "QUESTION: Whose idea was it to send out, with  
12 property tax statements, the SB 14 information?

13 "ANSWER: It was mine.

14 "QUESTION: Why did you do that?

15 "ANSWER: Because it was the cheapest way I could  
16 think to reach all the people in Jefferson County.

17 "QUESTION: Okay. And do you think that was  
18 effective?

19 "ANSWER: I hope so.

20 "QUESTION: When will your office have to start  
21 preparing to get ready for the election, for the 2014  
22 election?

23 "ANSWER: I've already begun.

24 "QUESTION: You've already begun?

25 "ANSWER: We've already begun. We already contacted

Guidry / by excerpts of Deposition - Cross

163

1 the early voting and polling locations to put them on  
2 notice to make the facilities ready for November. We  
3 have already done that, so we've already begun.

4 "QUESTION: Okay. So elections don't occur just  
5 within a matter of days. There's months and months  
6 of planning, correct?

7 "ANSWER: Months and months of planning.

8 "QUESTION: Okay.

9 "ANSWER: Absolutely."

10 (Pause)

11 MS. SIMSON: Good morning, your Honor. Emma Simson  
12 for the Veasey/LULAC Plaintiffs. I'll be reading and Tania  
13 Faransso will be reading the answers.

14 EXAMINATION OF CAROLYN GUIDRY

15 BY EXCERPTS OF DEPOSITION TESTIMONY

16 (QUESTIONS READ BY MS. SIMSON; ANSWERS READ BY MS. FARANSO)

17 "QUESTION: Could you go ahead and state your name  
18 for the record, please?

19 "ANSWER: Carolyn Guidry.

20 "QUESTION: Okay. And you are the County Clerk for  
21 Jefferson County; is that correct?

22 "ANSWER: That's correct. I ran for office in 2004  
23 for county clerk, and I was elected at that time for  
24 an unexpired term. So I've been county clerk since  
25 October of 2004. And I'm serving in my ninth year as

1 county clerk. And as county clerk, I oversee the  
2 elections for Jefferson County.

3 "QUESTION: Did the Secretary of State's Office  
4 request any information about how many provisional  
5 ballots had been cast in the elections because of an  
6 ID problem?

7 "ANSWER: The Secretary of State's Office did not.

8 "QUESTION: Are you aware that other counties have  
9 received authorization to issue EICs through their  
10 county clerk's office?

11 "ANSWER: No, I'm not.

12 "QUESTION: Is that something that you would think  
13 the county would be interested in doing if it were  
14 possible for the county clerk's office to get  
15 authorization to issue EICs?

16 "ANSWER: Yes.

17 "QUESTION: And you mentioned two mobile units on two  
18 different days, so I took that to mean there was one  
19 mobile unit on each day; is that correct?

20 "ANSWER: That's correct.

21 "QUESTION: And were those two days before the  
22 November election? When were those --

23 "ANSWER: They were before the March primary.

24 "QUESTION: So there were no mobile EIC units before  
25 the November election?

1 "ANSWER: There were not. They did contact me prior  
2 to the November election, but they contacted me like  
3 days before they wanted me to bring the unit, and I  
4 didn't think that was very beneficial because it did  
5 give us adequate time to notify the people in order  
6 to give them adequate time to be anywhere. You know,  
7 if it's going to be a benefit, I think you need to  
8 have time to at least be able to circulate maybe to  
9 the church or to the organizations, 'Hey, they're  
10 going to be here,' so you would have a good  
11 attendance.

12 "QUESTION: So the Secretary of State's office  
13 contacted you about having a mobile EIC unit for the  
14 November election, but you declined because you  
15 didn't feel you had enough time to organize it?

16 "ANSWER: That's correct.

17 "QUESTION: So for the March election you said you  
18 had two mobile units before those. And how far in  
19 advance was that arranged, can you recall? Was it a  
20 few days or a few weeks before the election that you  
21 had those units?

22 "ANSWER: Well, I think they actually came in  
23 February. They actually came in February because  
24 what they had told me in November when I declined,  
25 they said, 'Well, if you don't want us to come now,

1           when do you want us to come?' I said, 'Maybe in  
2           January some time.' They said, 'Okay. Contact us in  
3           January.' So I started contacting them in January.  
4           So then when I tried to set them up in January -- and  
5           I had set up some dates. And then when I contacted  
6           them, they said, 'No, we can't do it then,' after I  
7           had set everything up. And then they turned around  
8           and gave me some more dates. And then we set up  
9           those dates which ended up being in February.  
10          "QUESTION: And did they say why they couldn't do the  
11          dates in January?  
12          "ANSWER: Well, they said that I did not give them  
13          enough time. So we went -- just went back and forth  
14          for a while. So they ended up coming before the  
15          early voting period started in February.  
16          "QUESTION: And how much time approximately did you  
17          have to advertise that those units were going to be  
18          here?  
19          "ANSWER: I have to look at these dates. I think  
20          about a week maybe.  
21          "QUESTION: So only about a week?  
22          "ANSWER: Yeah, I think so.  
23          "QUESTION: Okay. So when you did this campaign in  
24          February to advertise the mobile EIC units, you think  
25          you had about a week. Did you feel that was enough

1 time to advertise the mobile units?

2 "ANSWER: Well, it was better than a couple days. It  
3 was better than a couple days.

4 "QUESTION: And you mentioned two locations were  
5 used. What were those two locations?

6 "ANSWER: One location was the Alice Keith Community  
7 Center on Highland. That's here in Beaumont. And  
8 the other one was the Port Arthur Public Library. Of  
9 course, that's in Port Arthur. And the dates were  
10 February 26th and February 27th.

11 **MS. SIMSON:** Okay. And, your Honor, we're now going  
12 to turn to page 53, line 13.

13 "QUESTION: So were the mobile units ever sent to  
14 special events, like fairs?

15 "ANSWER: No. That was the only time that they were  
16 down here.

17 "QUESTION: Was there ever any discussion about  
18 sending mobile units to senior citizen centers?

19 "ANSWER: No. This was the only time that they were  
20 going to come down here. This is the only time  
21 offered to us, period.

22 "QUESTION: So the only instance of voter fraud that  
23 you can recall is this one potential fraud related to  
24 a request for a mail-in ballot?

25 "ANSWER: Right.

1 "QUESTION: And have you referred this case to the  
2 district attorney's office?

3 "ANSWER: I have.

4 "QUESTION: Are you aware of any instances of voter  
5 fraud in Jefferson County that SB 14 could have  
6 prevented?

7 "ANSWER: No.

8 "QUESTION: So the only instance of voter fraud that  
9 you're aware of is a mail-in ballot?

10 "ANSWER: That's correct.

11 "QUESTION: Did the Secretary of State's office  
12 contact you at all about how the implementation of  
13 SB 14 went in any of the elections?

14 "ANSWER: No, they have not.

15 "QUESTION: Did the Secretary of State's office  
16 collect any information from you about how the  
17 implementation of SB 14 went?

18 "ANSWER: No, they have not.

19 **MS. SIMSON:** And we're going to turn to page 76, line  
20 22. Sorry, line 9.

21 "QUESTION: The county also has the ability to issue  
22 certified copies of birth certificates; is that  
23 correct?

24 "ANSWER: That's correct.

25 "QUESTION: And what is the cost of getting a



1 certified copy of a birth certificate?

2 "ANSWER: I think it's \$23.

3 "QUESTION: If a person comes into the office and  
4 says, 'I need a certified copy of my birth  
5 certificate,' does the clerk know to ask whether they  
6 are looking for one of these to get an EIC?

7 "ANSWER: No, they're not going to ask.

8 "QUESTION: So typically, if I walked into the office  
9 and said, 'I need a certified copy of my birth  
10 certificate,' the person sitting at the desk would  
11 say, 'That's going to be \$22?' Is that correct?

12 "ANSWER: Twenty-three dollars, that's correct.

13 "QUESTION: Twenty-three dollars. If a person shows  
14 up at the polls and the information on their ID  
15 doesn't exactly match the information on the poll  
16 book, can they show other documents to show the  
17 election clerk that they are who they say they are?

18 "ANSWER: They have to have a -- one of their  
19 approved forms of photo ID.

20 "QUESTION: Could they, for instance, show a credit  
21 card to show that their name has changed?

22 "ANSWER: No.

23 "QUESTION: Okay. So it's just based on the  
24 information on their ID?

25 "ANSWER: Right.

1 "QUESTION: What about if a person comes back to cure  
2 their ballot? Could they show additional  
3 documentation then?

4 "ANSWER: There are forms of additional documentation  
5 they can show to the voter registrar.

6 "QUESTION: Based on your experience as county clerk  
7 and administering elections, do you think that the  
8 substantially similar name provision is one that all  
9 poll workers will interpret the same way?

10 "ANSWER: No.

11 "QUESTION: And why is that?

12 "ANSWER: Well, because, I mean, you have 400 people  
13 thinking 400 different ways. There is no way they  
14 are all going to think the same way.

15 "QUESTION: Where are the DPS offices located in  
16 Jefferson County?

17 "ANSWER: Oh, the DPS office, you cannot get there.  
18 They're not accessible, I can tell you that right  
19 now. And that's part of the problem. That has been  
20 part of the problem. And that's been part of the  
21 complaint is because I know the one in Beaumont is  
22 far out of the way, and there are no buses that run  
23 out there. Now, the one --

24 "QUESTION: You say that's been part of the  
25 complaint?

1 "ANSWER: That's been part of the complaint that I've  
2 heard from people about the DPS office being one of  
3 the only places that you can go and pick up your EIC  
4 card, or even going to get any type of  
5 identification, for anyone, whether it's EIC or just  
6 an identification period, because it's not that  
7 accessible. And I don't know where the one in Port  
8 Arthur is at all. I know there's one out there, but  
9 I don't know where it is. But, no, it's not  
10 accessible.

11 "QUESTION: Have you heard any complaints from county  
12 residents regarding the DPS offices?

13 "ANSWER: Well, yeah. They complaint it's not  
14 accessible, it's far, and it's always too crowded.

15 "QUESTION: Do you have an opinion as to whether or  
16 not the underlying documents that are necessary to  
17 present in order to receive an EIC are free?

18 "ANSWER: They are not free.

19 "QUESTION: And when you say they are not free, what  
20 is the basis of your opinion?

21 "ANSWER: Well, you have to have some type of a birth  
22 certificate, or a marriage license, or some type of  
23 form to prove who you are.

24 "QUESTION: And I believe you also testified that if  
25 an individual enters into the office of vital

1 statistics to get a birth certificate, that they  
2 would not be immediately informed of the reduced fee.  
3 "ANSWER: Not unless they would tell the clerk what  
4 the purpose was -- what they are there for, what they  
5 need it for. I mean, it's not information that's  
6 just volunteered. I mean, the clerk is not going to  
7 say, 'Do you need a birth certificate for an EIC  
8 card?'

9 "QUESTION: How has the county advertised regarding  
10 the religious exemptions for photo IDs?

11 "ANSWER: We have not advertised anything about  
12 exemptions, religious exemptions.

13 "QUESTION: Has the county advertised regarding  
14 disability exemptions?

15 "ANSWER: No, we have not.

16 "QUESTION: Are you aware of whether the state has  
17 provided any assistance in advertising that  
18 information -- that type of information regarding  
19 exemptions in Jefferson County?

20 "ANSWER: I'm not aware of any.

21 "QUESTION: And as the election administrator, is it  
22 fair to say that if they had, you would be aware of  
23 that?

24 "ANSWER: That's correct.

25 "QUESTION: Are you aware of any county resident

1           complaining to you or your staff regarding DPS  
2           because of fear of being targeted in any fashion by  
3           the DPS offices?

4           "ANSWER: Well, I don't know about fear. I guess  
5           there was an initial dialogue whether or not if they  
6           apply for EIC, could they be arrested if they had  
7           warrants.

8           "QUESTION: When you say 'initial dialogue,' who was  
9           this dialogue with?

10          "ANSWER: Just citizens of Jefferson County. I mean,  
11          that was the initial dialogue any time they go to  
12          DPS, though.

13          "QUESTION: And what is your understanding of --  
14          could you please explain the substance of that  
15          dialogue?

16          "ANSWER: I mean, most people think that when they go  
17          to DPS, that DPS is going to run their name to see if  
18          they have any warrants. I mean, I've known a lot of  
19          people that if they have warrants, they go straight  
20          from DPS to jail. I guess if you do the crime, you  
21          do the time.

22          "QUESTION: What about underlying traffic tickets?

23          "ANSWER: Those, too.

24          "QUESTION: And what, if any, understanding do you  
25          have about the process at DPS regarding running for

1 warrants for underlying tickets or traffic tickets?

2 "ANSWER: Well, I don't know what their process is.

3 "QUESTION: So as you sit here today, your testimony  
4 is that you heard from county residents regarding  
5 concerns related to being arrested at DPS and having  
6 their names checked for tickets or traffic tickets,  
7 but you don't know what that process is at DPS  
8 currently?

9 "ANSWER: That's true.

10 "QUESTION: Regarding the mobile EIC stations, did  
11 the Secretary of State's office assist in any way to  
12 determine the appropriate locations for the mobile  
13 EIC stations?

14 "ANSWER: No, they did not.

15 "QUESTION: Did they provide you with any data that  
16 may suggest where the highest no matches may be in  
17 Jefferson County?

18 "ANSWER: No, they did not.

19 "QUESTION: Okay. So you think with respect to the  
20 March primary of 2014, you think there were three  
21 provisional ballots cast because of SB 14?

22 "ANSWER: Uh-huh.

23 "QUESTION: And do you know what the basis of each of  
24 those were? Was it because the person didn't have  
25 any ID or didn't have a correct ID, or do you know?

1 "ANSWER: No ID.

2 "QUESTION: No ID at all?

3 "ANSWER: Well, I can tell you in a minute. Well,  
4 this one says, 'Failed to present acceptable ID,' on  
5 the first one. The second one says, 'Failed to  
6 present acceptable ID.' On the third one, it says,  
7 'Expired ID.' And on the fourth one, it was,  
8 'Expired ID.'

9 "QUESTION: Okay. So --

10 "ANSWER: So I had two expired and two failed to  
11 present.

12 "QUESTION: Okay.

13 "ANSWER: So it was four for the March 4th primary.

14 "QUESTION: Okay. And were any of those provisional  
15 ballots cured?

16 "ANSWER: No, sir.

17 "QUESTION: Okay. So with respect to -- well, let's  
18 just go on. The next election then would have been  
19 the runoff, correct?

20 "ANSWER: The runoff, May 27th.

21 "QUESTION: All right. And with respect to the May  
22 27th runoff, were provisional ballots cast?

23 "ANSWER: Yes.

24 "QUESTION: Okay. How many were cast?

25 "ANSWER: I think there were seven, but three

1           pertaining to photo ID. And these two are 'no  
2           presented,' 'failed to present ID,' one, twice. Two  
3           of them are fail to present ID and one of them is  
4           just does not have acceptable ID, whatever that  
5           means.

6           "QUESTION: Okay. Were any of those cured?

7           "ANSWER: No, sir.

8           "QUESTION: With respect to the DPS offices, you say  
9           there's one in Beaumont and one in Port Arthur?

10          "ANSWER: Yes, sir, I think.

11          "QUESTION: That you know of?

12          "ANSWER: Yes, sir.

13          "QUESTION: Do you know the address of the one here  
14          in Beaumont?

15          "ANSWER: No, sir. I know it's way out on Tram Road  
16          somewhere.

17          "QUESTION: Do you know the location? Does 7200  
18          Eastex Freeway sound familiar?

19          "ANSWER: That sounds right.

20          "QUESTION: Okay. Where would that be -- we're at  
21          the courthouse today, correct? The district  
22          attorney's office. In relation to where we are  
23          today, where would the Beaumont DPS office be that's  
24          at 7200 Eastex Freeway, just generally speaking?

25          "ANSWER: Catch the freeway, go 10, go 69, Eastex



1 Freeway, 69 going north, and it's all the way until  
2 you get past 105, all the way out that way, exit 105.

3 "QUESTION: I'm not that familiar.

4 "ANSWER: Okay.

5 "QUESTION: How far do you think it is from here?

6 "ANSWER: It's a good little ways out. It's nothing  
7 I don't want to walk to. It's about -- way out.

8 "QUESTION: How long do you think it would take you  
9 to drive it?

10 "ANSWER: It would take 20, 25 minutes to get out  
11 that way, 25.

12 "QUESTION: With respect to Jefferson County, or at  
13 least the people that particular office would serve -  
14 - I suppose Port Arthur has its own -- geographically  
15 speaking, do you think that that's centrally located  
16 for the people it's trying to serve?

17 "ANSWER: No, not at all.

18 "QUESTION: Okay. And are there people over the age  
19 of 65 that you know prefer to vote in person instead  
20 of by mail?

21 "ANSWER: Absolutely. We have some people that will  
22 not vote early and they insist on going on election  
23 day to cast their ballot.

24 "QUESTION: Before SB 14, what were generally the ID  
25 requirements to vote?

1 "ANSWER: Your voter registration, any form of an ID  
2 issued from DPS, your student ID. You could have  
3 used a utility bill, you know. We had about nine or  
4 ten different forms of ID that you could have used.

5 "QUESTION: And based on your experience as a county  
6 clerk administering elections, did you think those  
7 requirements were sufficient to secure the voting  
8 requirements?

9 "ANSWER: They were sufficient."

10 MS. SIMSON: Thank you, and that's all.

11 (Pause)

12 MR. WHITLEY: Your Honor, this is an excerpt from the  
13 deposition of Bryan Hebert. I have a copy for you. May I  
14 approach?

15 THE COURT: Yes.

16 EXAMINATION OF BRYAN HEBERT

17 BY EXCERPTS OF DEPOSITION TESTIMONY

18 (QUESTIONS READ BY MR. WHITLEY; ANSWERS READ BY MR. KEISTER)

19 "QUESTION: Do you know what happened to Senate Bill  
20 362 in the House?

21 "ANSWER: It looks like on May 23rd, 2009, it would  
22 have -- it was placed on the major State calendar.  
23 And my memory is that there's a fair amount of  
24 opposition from Democrats in the House, some would  
25 say stall tactics. They talked at length on bills

1           that normally would have been passed as a matter of  
2           routine. To delay consideration of the bill on the  
3           major State calendar, it was certainly, again, met  
4           with sort of stiff partisan opposition in the House.  
5           But I can't recall the specific details of its  
6           demise.

7           "QUESTION: Is there a term that is used to refer to  
8           when there's a lot of talking about a bill to stop  
9           consideration of other bills?

10          "ANSWER: 'Chubbing' is the word or term around the  
11          Capitol that's used, yes. Again, it's -- there's no  
12          filibuster allowed in the House. They have strict  
13          rules on the amount of time a person may speak, but  
14          if every single person uses every second of time on  
15          every single bill to delay consideration of other  
16          bills or other actions, 'chubbing' is the term that's  
17          often used.

18          "QUESTION: And how did opponents of Senate Bill 362  
19          -- how did they manage to chub it to death, so to  
20          speak?

21          "ANSWER: Me memory from watching it on TV, because I  
22          was not in the House, is that on other calendars, the  
23          major State calendars, just one calendar bill the  
24          House considers is that there were other calendars  
25          for that, or days before that even, wherein the

1 Democrats -- my memory is that it was all Democrats -  
2 - spoke at length on those procedural or  
3 uncontroversial bills to delay the consideration of  
4 the major State calendar.

5 "QUESTION: Other than what you just testified to,  
6 there are no other facts that warranted such prompt  
7 consideration of Senate Bill 14; is that right?

8 "ANSWER: Again, the same that I mentioned earlier,  
9 the desire to actually have it passed this time.  
10 This was now the third or fourth or fifth session  
11 that it had been attempted to be passed. And on  
12 multiple occasions had run out of time or procedural  
13 obstacles had been placed in its way that stopped it.  
14 So if nothing else, to get it done.

15 "QUESTION: What facts do you know or did you know at  
16 the time when you wrote this set of talking points  
17 that would enable you to predict that SB 14 would  
18 have that effect?

19 "ANSWER: Again, I think the whole intent of the bill  
20 is to make sure eligible, properly identified voters  
21 are casting votes. We had had testimony over the  
22 years that, in fact, the registration rules were not  
23 a hundred percent accurate and, in fact, there may be  
24 registration cards sent to people who are dead or  
25 felons or otherwise ineligible. And so accurate, up-

1 to-date identification required at the polling place  
2 seems on its face to protect against fraud and be  
3 designed to count only eligible voters and votes.

4 "QUESTION: Isn't it true that some of the forms of  
5 ID allowable under SB 14 could be obtained by persons  
6 who are not U. S. citizens?

7 "ANSWER: Sure. No system is perfect, but I think  
8 this was a step in the right direction towards  
9 increasing the security. It didn't say make a  
10 hundred percent secure because there's no such thing.  
11 But yes, any system designed by humans can be abused  
12 by humans.

13 "QUESTION: So what types of ineligible voters would  
14 SB 14 prevent from voting?

15 "ANSWER: It's a broad category, I think. My  
16 understanding of ineligible voters would be someone  
17 who doesn't live in the state, someone who is dead,  
18 someone who fraudulently obtained someone else's  
19 identifying registration card, someone who produces  
20 false documentation. I could go on, I guess, but  
21 it's a broad category.

22 "QUESTION: Well, turning back to that first  
23 category, people that don't reside in the state,  
24 those people are clearly ineligible to vote in the  
25 State of Texas, correct?

1 "ANSWER: Right. Well, I should say if they're a  
2 resident, but currently out of the state, they may  
3 vote. But yes, generally, that's right.

4 "QUESTION: Assuming someone moved to another state  
5 with intent to remain in that other state and they  
6 were not a Texas resident, then suppose that  
7 individual came back into the state with a driver's  
8 license with their former Texas address and a  
9 picture, that would be a qualifying ID under SB 14,  
10 correct?

11 "ANSWER: Uh-huh.

12 "QUESTION: So under that circumstance, it would not  
13 prevent an ineligible voter who should not be on the  
14 voter rolls from participating in an election; isn't  
15 that right?

16 "ANSWER: Right. Like I said, there's no perfect  
17 system. The universe of potential crimes or  
18 ineligible votes that would be case is larger without  
19 this bill, and it is smaller with this bill, but it's  
20 not a perfect system. Of course, fraud still exists,  
21 and that's why lots of other bills have been able to  
22 be introduced regarding voter fraud. There are lots  
23 of types of fraud and efforts to stop that.

24 "QUESTION: And then finally, what facts were you  
25 aware of at the time that you wrote this, that SB 14

1 would protect public confidence in elections?

2 "ANSWER: As you asked a similar question about 362,  
3 I think the polling continued to show that the voters  
4 of Texas supported photo ID, and that includes  
5 minority voters, Blacks and Hispanics, and then  
6 voters as a whole overwhelmingly. I think you could  
7 draw just from that, that public confidence would  
8 increase by adopting measures supported by the  
9 public.

10 "QUESTION: Turning to measures required to offset  
11 burdens, how did SB 14 accomplish and fulfill these  
12 required measures? And we'll start off with the  
13 first one. How does SB 14 provide access to free  
14 photo ID cards?

15 "ANSWER: As I see it, the bill currently as  
16 implemented allows -- required DPS to create election  
17 identification cards, which are similar to driver's  
18 licenses, but for the purpose of having a photo ID  
19 for elections, and those were to be issued at no  
20 cost.

21 "QUESTION: At the time that you wrote these bullet  
22 points, you knew, did you not, that it cost -- there  
23 was a cost associated with obtaining a birth  
24 certificate in the State of Texas, correct?

25 "ANSWER: At the time of this, yes.

1 "QUESTION: And that cost was \$22 at a minimum,  
2 correct?

3 "ANSWER: Yes. But it's not today, as I understand  
4 it.

5 "QUESTION: But at the time the bill was being  
6 considered when you wrote these bullet points, the  
7 cost was \$22, right?

8 "ANSWER: Again, yes, and this bullet point says,  
9 'Access to free photo ID cards.' The cards are free.

10 "QUESTION: But the underlying documentation to get  
11 the free card is not free, correct?

12 "ANSWER: If you already have a birth certificate,  
13 it's free.

14 "QUESTION: If you don't have a birth certificate,  
15 it's not free, though, right?

16 "ANSWER: It would have been \$22, and now I believe  
17 it's either zero -- zero to \$3.

18 "QUESTION: Doesn't that card show the person's  
19 identity? You can see the picture and you can see  
20 the person.

21 "ANSWER: A forged student ID with a photograph would  
22 be less secure, yes. In my opinion, it's more easily  
23 forged than the types of documents or IDs permissible  
24 under SB 14.

25 "QUESTION: But the purpose of the photo ID



1 requirement is so that the voter can prove I am who I  
2 say I am, this is my picture, I am me.

3 "QUESTION: Right. On a secure, widely recognizable  
4 form of ID. And there are I don't know how many  
5 colleges in Texas, or in the instance of eligible  
6 voters from Texas who reside in Texas and intend to  
7 reside in Texas, continue school out of state or  
8 private universities, the pool of potential IDs and  
9 the ability to forge those gets much easier, I think.

10 "QUESTION: Can you identify any attempts to make the  
11 bill less retrogressive or as least burdensome as  
12 possible to voters and still accomplish the goals of  
13 the legislature?

14 "ANSWER: Sure. There were additional forms of ID  
15 added by amendment on the floor. There were broad  
16 exemptions for certain class of people. There were  
17 free election identification cards issued. I think  
18 all of those things were designed to be less  
19 burdensome for voters.

20 "QUESTION: What studies did the Lieutenant Governor  
21 use to satisfy himself that SB 14 would not reduce  
22 turnout among minority voters?

23 "ANSWER: Well, Senator -- I mean, the Lieutenant  
24 Governor Dewhurst would have been a sitting member of  
25 the Committee of the Whole, so he would have reviewed

1 all of those documents presented during that debate.

2 "QUESTION: Can you identify any studies that he  
3 reviewed to satisfy himself that it would not reduce  
4 turnout among minority voters?"

5 **MR. WHITLEY:** And there's an objection.

6 "QUESTION: You may answer.

7 "ANSWER: I don't remember specific names of reports  
8 or studies. I do remember generally that Senator  
9 Wentworth's presentation included voter turnout in  
10 states that implemented voter ID.

11 "QUESTION: Are you aware of any facts or basis for  
12 including concealed handguns as an acceptable form of  
13 ID, but not Medicare cards or student ID cards?

14 "ANSWER: Well, from my own knowledge, I would -- I  
15 know that the concealed handgun licenses are issued  
16 by DPS, which also issues driver's licenses and  
17 election ID cards and personal identification cards.  
18 I know they have personal -- identifying information  
19 and expiration dates on their face. Medicare cards,  
20 I'm honestly not sure what those look like. I'm not  
21 sure if they include expiration dates or other  
22 identifying information. Student Ids, I've talked  
23 about before. I think even if as in the proposed  
24 amendment in the Senate, you say accredited public  
25 university in Texas, that's still a very, very large

1 pool of potential identifications, which may or may  
2 not have security elements or expiration dates or any  
3 other number of identifying characteristics.

4 "QUESTION: Were there any forms of ID used by DPS  
5 that were -- are not acceptable photo IDs under  
6 Senate Bill 14?

7 "ANSWER: I'm not aware of any.

8 "QUESTION: At any time since the passage of Senate  
9 Bill 14 have you come to believe that it was passed  
10 with any discriminatory purpose, in the whole or in  
11 part?

12 "ANSWER: I do not believe that it was passed with  
13 any discriminatory purpose, in whole or in part.

14 "QUESTION: Was Senate Bill 14 enacted in part to  
15 reduce the participation of Hispanic voters?

16 "ANSWER: I do not believe so.

17 "QUESTION: Was SB 14 enacted in part to reduce the  
18 participation of African American voters?

19 "ANSWER: I do not believe so. And, in fact, at  
20 least on the House side, there were minority members,  
21 Black and Hispanics, who voted for the bill.

22 "QUESTION: At any time since the passage of Senate  
23 Bill 14, have you come to believe that Senate Bill 14  
24 will have a disproportionate effect on minority  
25 voters as compared to Anglo voters?

Hebert / by excerpts of Deposition - Cross

188

1 "ANSWER: I have not seen any evidence to convince me  
2 of that."

3 **MS. WESTFALL:** Elizabeth Westfall for the United  
4 States, and Sam Oliker-Friedland will play Bryan Hebert. May I  
5 approach?

6 **THE COURT:** Yes.

7 **MR. SCOTT:** By far the best reader.

8 **THE COURT:** Yeah, I agree. He takes his role  
9 seriously.

10 (Laughter)

11 **MS. WESTFALL:** That's why he was selected. Thank you  
12 for the kind words.

13 **EXAMINATION OF BRYAN HEBERT**

14 **BY EXCERPTS OF DEPOSITION TESTIMONY**

15 **(QUESTIONS READ BY MS. WESTFALL; ANSWERS READ BY**

16 **MR. OLIKER-FRIEDLAND)**

17 "QUESTION: During the five-year period that you  
18 served as Mr. Dewhurst's deputy general counsel, you  
19 were the point person for the Secretary of State's  
20 office; is that right?

21 "ANSWER: Correct.

22 "QUESTION: Fair to say you're quite familiar with  
23 the Texas election code?

24 "ANSWER: Correct.

25 "QUESTION: So was there a time when Mr. Dewhurst

1 rehired you?

2 "ANSWER: Yes.

3 "QUESTION: When was that?

4 "ANSWER: That was in October of 2012.

5 "QUESTION: What position did he hire you for?

6 "ANSWER: General counsel.

7 "QUESTION: Turning your attention back to Exhibit  
8 155 --

9 **MS. WESTFALL:** Which is PL-205.

10 "QUESTION: -- Mr. Hebert, do you recognize this  
11 document?

12 "ANSWER: It looks like an email I sent to Janice  
13 McCoy for use -- or it says, 'For your use as  
14 needed,' and it is a series of attachments relating  
15 to talking points and arguments in support of Senate  
16 Bill 362 as well as some overview of various election  
17 laws and processes used.

18 "QUESTION: And you just described a bunch -- several  
19 attachments to the email to Exhibit 155. Did you  
20 draft all those attachments yourself?

21 "ANSWER: This looks like -- my memory is that, yes,  
22 I drafted or at least substantially drafted these  
23 documents.

24 "QUESTION: Turning your attention to the date of the  
25 email, what is the date of the email?

1 "ANSWER: March 4th, 2009.

2 "QUESTION: Was this email sent to Ms. McCoy shortly  
3 before the Committee of the Whole's consideration of  
4 Senate Bill 362?

5 "ANSWER: I'd have to refer to the history again.  
6 The email is from March 4th and the committee took  
7 testimony on March 10th. It was passed after that.  
8 So yes, I mean, it was before consideration by the  
9 Senate.

10 "QUESTION: And several days before; is that correct?

11 "ANSWER: Correct.

12 "QUESTION: When did you draft the attachments to  
13 Exhibit 155?

14 "ANSWER: I do not recall.

15 "QUESTION: Roughly around the same time as the  
16 email?

17 "ANSWER: I can't recall. It may have been the fall  
18 before session. It may have been right before. I  
19 can't recall.

20 "QUESTION: Do you know why you drafted the  
21 attachments?

22 "ANSWER: For her use as needed. Again, I think to  
23 help with passage of the bill by providing outlines  
24 of relevant law and arguments to extend to opponents  
25 of the bill.

1 "QUESTION: Do you see that in Bullet 1 --

2 **MS. WESTFALL:** On the next page, Bullet 1.

3 "QUESTION: -- that you write, 'There is less chance  
4 of disenfranchising elderly, poor, or minority  
5 voters?'

6 "ANSWER: Yes, it says that.

7 "QUESTION: And how did you determine that Senate  
8 Bill 362 would have that effect?

9 "ANSWER: I don't recall specific reason for that.  
10 Again, other than this document taken as a whole with  
11 the others are intended to be arguments in favor of  
12 the bill. And, you know, any election reform has a  
13 chance of disenfranchising voters, and this is  
14 evidently less chance of disenfranchising voters.

15 "QUESTION: And what particular provisions in Senate  
16 Bill 362 made it less likely to disenfranchise these  
17 classes of voters?

18 "ANSWER: Again, I assume it's related to the forms  
19 of identification that are acceptable.

20 "QUESTION: So is it fair to say that given that it  
21 allowed for the use of non-photo ID, that would make  
22 it less likely to disenfranchise these classes of  
23 voters?

24 "ANSWER: It's possible. Again, looking at *Crawford*,  
25 you know, the court allowed that forms of voter

1 reform will often disenfranchise some voters and, you  
2 know, if it's some minimal number or negligible  
3 number, then that might be okay if there are other  
4 benefits to the law.

5 "QUESTION: Turning your attention back to what you  
6 wrote about Senate Bill 362, is it fair to say that  
7 you were referring to the use of non-photo ID made it  
8 -- the bill less likely that it would disenfranchise  
9 these forms of -- these classes of voters?

10 "ANSWER: I can't be sure. I know there are more  
11 forms of ID certainly as compared to the current law,  
12 but I don't know if I was specifically referring to  
13 photo or non-photo.

14 **MS. WESTFALL:** And again, it says -- "less than a  
15 chance."

16 "ANSWER: Less than a chance.

17 **MS. WESTFALL:** Sorry, go ahead.

18 "ANSWER: It doesn't -- I don't think it acknowledges  
19 that people will be disenfranchised. It acknowledges  
20 the chance of disenfranchisement is some percentage  
21 less under this bill.

22 "QUESTION: Is it -- were you intending to suggest  
23 there was less of a chance of disenfranchising  
24 elderly, poor, or minority voters relative to hard-  
25 photo ID bills than would only permit the use of



1 photo ID?

2 "ANSWER: I don't recall what I was drawing  
3 comparison to. Different forms of bills is all I can  
4 say.

5 "QUESTION: Is it fair to say that without the  
6 provision allowing use of non-photo ID in Senate Bill  
7 362, that it would increase the likelihood of  
8 disenfranchisement for these groups?

9 "ANSWER: It's possible.

10 "QUESTION: Did you write this bullet about  
11 preclearance --

12 **MS. WESTFALL:** And turning down to number 5,  
13 preclearance.

14 "QUESTION: -- because you believed that allowing  
15 more forms of ID would decrease the bill's  
16 discriminatory impact on voters?

17 "ANSWER: I believe that this bill, what it says,  
18 increases the chances of preclearance because it had  
19 -- because of the list of acceptable ID in the bill.

20 "QUESTION: Turning your attention to 00087014, the  
21 last page of Exhibit 155, Process for Obtaining a  
22 Texas Birth Certificate. When Senate Bill 362 was  
23 under consideration, did you consider the cost of  
24 obtaining photo IDs required under that law -- bill?

25 "ANSWER: Looking at this page, it's clear that I

1 considered it. Again, I was not a bill sponsor.

2 "QUESTION: Does looking at Texas 00087014 refresh  
3 your recollection as to whether you undertook or  
4 someone in your office undertook research on the cost  
5 of birth certificates in Texas?

6 "ANSWER: It's clear from this document that birth  
7 certificates were considered. Each of the 1, 2, 3,  
8 and 4 on that page mention birth certificates. I  
9 can't recall if I would have been the one that did  
10 it, likely, and I can't remember if I looked  
11 specifically at cost or if I looked at birth  
12 certificates generally.

13 "QUESTION: Do you know why you focused on the cost  
14 of birth certificates?

15 "ANSWER: I don't know that I focused on the cost of  
16 birth certificates. I think I looked at the  
17 availability of birth certificates, looking at this  
18 document.

19 "QUESTION: Do you know why you looked at the  
20 availability of birth certificates as opposed to  
21 other forms of identification?

22 "ANSWER: I think likely that birth -- I mean, I  
23 think birth certificates are one of the more common  
24 methods of obtaining other types of identification,  
25 and so I looked into how one goes about getting

1 copies of birth certificates.

2 "QUESTION: You've been handed Exhibit 161.

3 **MS. WESTFALL:** Which is PL-845.

4 "QUESTION: Do you recognize this document?

5 "ANSWER: Appears to be a copy of some version of  
6 SB 14.

7 "QUESTION: Do you see the date at the bottom?

8 "ANSWER: Looks like the date January 12, 2011.

9 "QUESTION: And you were involved in developing  
10 Senate Bill 14; is that right?

11 "ANSWER: I had conversations with Janice McCoy  
12 regarding the bill, and I had been working on it  
13 previous sessions. Yes, I think it's fair to say I  
14 was aware, and I was certainly -- and for my office's  
15 purposes in charge of analyzing and tracking the  
16 bill.

17 "QUESTION: Were you involved in drafting Senate Bill  
18 14?

19 "ANSWER: I don't recall. I may have. I have a  
20 background of drafting bills. It's possible that I  
21 may have helped with the language, sure.

22 "QUESTION: Do you recall what sources you consulted,  
23 if any, to draft Senate Bill 14?

24 "ANSWER: To the extent I did draft or help with  
25 drafting language, I assume I would have looked at

1 previous versions of this bill. I would have looked  
2 to other states where voter ID was implemented--  
3 Indiana and Georgia and Louisiana. I would have  
4 looked through testimony provided during the course  
5 over the last previous few sessions on this concept.  
6 I don't know what else. I imagine I would have drawn  
7 from a lot of sources. But most importantly, if I  
8 was asked to draft something, I would have just done  
9 what I was asked to draft as a sort of administrative  
10 matter.

11 "QUESTION: You've been handed what's been marked as  
12 Exhibit 174.

13 **MS. WESTFALL:** Which is PL-847.

14 "QUESTION: Do you recognize this document?

15 "ANSWER: It appears to be the Senate Journal entry  
16 from -- or at least part of Wednesday, January 26th,  
17 2011.

18 "QUESTION: Do you see that it lists a floor  
19 amendment offered by Senator Duncan --

20 "ANSWER: Yes.

21 "QUESTION: -- related to indigent voters?

22 "ANSWER: Yes.

23 "QUESTION: Would this amendment have required the  
24 counting of provisional ballots of individuals,  
25 voters who attest they are indigent and do not have

1 ID?

2 "ANSWER: If the person executed an affidavit stating  
3 that they were indigent or had a religious objection  
4 or were not otherwise challenged, then it looks like,  
5 yes, that the provisional ballot would have been  
6 counted.

7 "QUESTION: This amendment was adopted by the Senate,  
8 was it not?

9 "ANSWER: I don't have the vote on that.

10 "QUESTION: Sir, does it indicate on page 138 the  
11 vote?

12 "ANSWER: I'm sorry, yes.

13 "QUESTION: Was it adopted by the Senate?

14 "ANSWER: Yes.

15 "QUESTION: Was this provision included in the final  
16 version of Senate Bill 14 that's signed into law?

17 "ANSWER: I do not believe it was.

18 "QUESTION: Had this been adopted into the final  
19 version of the bill signed into law, would it have  
20 reduced the burden on poor voters?

21 "ANSWER: I think it would -- would it have reduced  
22 the burden on poor voters if this had been adopted?  
23 It's possible.

24 "QUESTION: Are poor voters disproportionately  
25 minority?

1 "ANSWER: I don't know that to be true, but I suspect  
2 that to be true.

3 "QUESTION: Do you see that there's a floor amendment  
4 Number 12 offered by Senator Davis to prohibit state  
5 agencies from charging fees for the issuance of  
6 acceptable forms of photo ID under Senate Bill 14 or  
7 for underlying documentation --

8 "ANSWER: Yes.

9 "QUESTION: -- to get those forms of ID?

10 "ANSWER: Yes.

11 "QUESTION: Was this amendment adopted by the Senate?

12 "ANSWER: No.

13 "QUESTION: Had this been adopted, would this have  
14 reduced the burden on poor voters?

15 "ANSWER: It's possible, although, again, today, I  
16 think the charge for a birth certificate is \$3 or  
17 zero dollars, depending on the county.

18 "QUESTION: But at the time Senate Bill 14 was  
19 adopted by the legislature, that was not the case,  
20 correct?

21 "ANSWER: Correct.

22 "QUESTION: You've been handed what's been marked as  
23 Exhibit 163.

24 **MS. WESTFALL:** Which is PL-271.

25 "QUESTION: Do you recognize this document?

1 "ANSWER: It looks like an email from myself to some  
2 Senate staff and some people in my office with  
3 attached documents related to compliance with the  
4 Supreme Court, talking points on the bill, and  
5 comparisons of various state election laws.

6 "QUESTION: Turning to measures required to offset  
7 burdens --

8 **MS. WESTFALL:** On the following page.

9 "QUESTION: -- how did Senate Bill 14 accomplish and  
10 fulfill these required measures? And we'll start off  
11 with the first one. How does Senate Bill 14 provide  
12 access to free photo ID cards?

13 "ANSWER: As I see it, the bill currently as  
14 implemented allows -- required DPS to create election  
15 identification cards, which are similar to a driver's  
16 license, but for the purpose of having a photo ID for  
17 elections. And they were to be issued at no cost.

18 "QUESTION: At the time that you wrote these bullet  
19 points, you knew, did you not, that it cost -- there  
20 was a cost associated with obtaining a birth  
21 certificate in the State of Texas, correct?

22 "ANSWER: At the time of this, yes.

23 "QUESTION: And that cost was \$22 at a minimum,  
24 correct?

25 "ANSWER: Yes. But it's not today, as I understand

1 it.

2 "QUESTION: But at the time the bill was being  
3 considered, when you wrote these bullet points, the  
4 cost was \$22, right?

5 "ANSWER: Again, yes, and this bullet point says,  
6 'access to free photo ID cards.' The cards are free.

7 "QUESTION: But the underlying documentation to get  
8 the free card is not free, correct?

9 "ANSWER: If you already have a birth certificate,  
10 it's free.

11 "QUESTION: And if you don't have a birth  
12 certificate, it's not free, though, right?

13 "ANSWER: It would have been \$22, though now I  
14 believe it's zero to \$3.

15 "QUESTION: That occurred -- the change in what it  
16 cost to get a birth certificate occurred after Senate  
17 Bill 14 was enacted, did it not?

18 "ANSWER: I think that's right.

19 "QUESTION: Provisional ballots aren't very  
20 meaningful if you cast them and they're not counted,  
21 right? That's not really voting, right?

22 "ANSWER: It's voting. But I think, again, the idea  
23 that a constituent can go back and confirm that their  
24 ballot is being counted was the point. And then  
25 SB 14 voters are allowed the opportunity to get the



1 ID and make sure that their ballot is counted.

2 "QUESTION: Do you think that having to go back to an  
3 election official a second time is more burdensome or  
4 less burdensome than voting a provisional ballot once  
5 and having election officials determine whether  
6 that's valid from the standpoint of the voters?

7 "ANSWER: It's all part of casting a vote. Two trips  
8 is more than one trip. But again, in terms of  
9 process of voting, there's lots of steps. In Texas,  
10 for example, in primaries and caucuses and things,  
11 there are multiple meetings and steps you have to  
12 have to have your voice counted. So yes, if you're  
13 asking me is two trips more than one, yes, it is. Is  
14 it more burdensome? You know, it's part of casting a  
15 vote, but I don't think it's too burdensome.

16 "QUESTION: In terms of the inconvenience of voting,  
17 are you aware that to obtain an EIC, you must present  
18 a document that indicates your U. S. citizenship?

19 "ANSWER: I'm not aware. I'm aware that -- my  
20 understanding is that the EIC runs parallel to  
21 driver's licenses. And obviously, the distinction  
22 being that one is for voting only and one is for a  
23 broader set of functions. So I can't say that I know  
24 that to be a fact.

25 "QUESTION: Are you aware that Senate Bill 14

1           permitted DPS in creating the EIC to require  
2           fingerprinting of applicants?

3           "ANSWER: I'm not aware of that. And I don't have  
4           the EIC language in front of me, so I can't be a  
5           hundred percent certain what the statute says.

6           "QUESTION: And voters in registering to vote need  
7           not supply fingerprints; is that correct?

8           "ANSWER: To register to vote, right. I don't think  
9           you need to supply fingerprints.

10          "QUESTION: To register to vote, you need not, in the  
11          State of Texas, provide proof that you're a U. S.  
12          citizen, other than signing under penalty of perjury  
13          on your voter registration application; is that  
14          correct?

15          "ANSWER: Right. I think signing that -- effectively  
16          signing an affidavit is a step of assurance for the  
17          State of Texas.

18          "QUESTION: And that's a different way to prove  
19          citizenship in the voter registration context than to  
20          obtain an EIC assuming you have to show --

21          "ANSWER: Fingerprints and signatures are different,  
22          yes.

23          "QUESTION: Assuming that you must show documentary  
24          proof of citizenship to obtain an EIC, that is not  
25          how you prove citizenship when you register to vote;

1 is that correct?

2 "ANSWER: I think that's correct.

3 "QUESTION: You've been handed what's been marked as  
4 Exhibit 164.

5 **MS. WESTFALL:** Which is PL-272.

6 "QUESTION: Do you recognize this document?

7 "ANSWER: Yes.

8 "QUESTION: What is it?

9 "ANSWER: It is an email from myself to various  
10 Senate staff, cc'ing my chief of staff and policy  
11 director, expressing concerns about preclearance.  
12 And then there's an attachment explaining generally  
13 the standard of review by the Department of Justice.

14 **MS. WESTFALL:** And turning down to the text of that -  
15 - the previous page -- the text of the email. Thank  
16 you.

17 "QUESTION: Do you see that at the top -- and this is  
18 -- for the record, this is TX00262650 through  
19 TX00262652. After you sent this email, were there  
20 any changes made to the bill to address any of these  
21 concerns?

22 "ANSWER: Again, I don't know for sure without seeing  
23 subsequent copies of the bill. I know the bill  
24 changed from January 22nd through final passage.

25 "QUESTION: The Texas legislature wanted Senate Bill

1 14 to be precleared; did it not?

2 "ANSWER: Yes.

3 "QUESTION: It wanted to enforce Senate Bill 14; did  
4 it not?

5 "ANSWER: Yes.

6 "QUESTION: Do you see that in this email you made  
7 the suggestion that the legislature might consider  
8 adding a longer list of acceptable photo IDs?

9 "ANSWER: Yes. It says to increase the chances, you  
10 might consider adding a list of additional IDs.

11 "QUESTION: And you proposed using language in  
12 Georgia's law which includes ID issued by the federal  
13 government, state government, or local government  
14 within the state?

15 "ANSWER: That's correct.

16 "QUESTION: And you also suggested at a minimum, you  
17 might include language from Senate Bill 362  
18 concerning valid ID issued by an agency or  
19 institution of the federal government or agency or  
20 institution of political subdivision of the state.  
21 Do you see that suggestion?

22 "ANSWER: Yes.

23 "QUESTION: Why did you suggest adding these forms of  
24 ID to the bill?

25 "ANSWER: Again, I think we know that Georgia's law

1 was precleared, and so closer to other precleared  
2 laws, the better in terms of again increasing  
3 chances. It doesn't mean it was the only way to do  
4 it, but I think that it's easy to argue it would  
5 increase the chances of that happening.

6 "QUESTION: Do you see that you flagged the issue of  
7 whether the law includes mitigating effects?

8 "ANSWER: Yes.

9 **MS. WESTFALL:** And turning to the next page, please.

10 "QUESTION: And one of the things you list is  
11 education efforts targeted at minority communities.

12 "ANSWER: Yes.

13 "QUESTION: Do you recall whether Senate Bill 14  
14 included any targeted education efforts at minority  
15 communities as signed into law?

16 "ANSWER: Without having the final version in front  
17 of me, my memory is that Secretary of State was given  
18 authority to implement an education program. And the  
19 need to do that and minority training I think was  
20 discussed during debate over the bill.

21 "QUESTION: You've been handed what's been marked as  
22 165.

23 **MS. WESTFALL:** Which is PL-899.

24 "QUESTION: Do you recognize this?

25 "ANSWER: One sixty-five is a copy of SB 14.

1 "QUESTION: This is the version that was signed into  
2 law; is that correct?

3 "ANSWER: Appears so.

4 "QUESTION: So turning your attention now to Exhibit  
5 165, are there education efforts targeted at minority  
6 communities in this bill?

7 "ANSWER: Well, it requires the voter registrar of  
8 every county that maintains a website to include  
9 information, so that would presumably include  
10 minority majority counties.

11 "QUESTION: But to your knowledge, it's not a  
12 targeted voter education program; is that correct?

13 "ANSWER: Statute -- statutory language does not have  
14 specific reference to minorities.

15 "QUESTION: In SB 14, does it include a provision  
16 about providing photo IDs in isolated and  
17 impoverished areas?

18 "ANSWER: I don't see specific references, again,  
19 other than the fact that those individuals would fall  
20 under general directives to county officials and the  
21 Secretary of State.

22 "QUESTION: But to your knowledge, SB 14 did not have  
23 targeted -- a targeted program for isolated and  
24 impoverished areas; is that right?

25 "ANSWER: The statutory language did not, and I'm not

1           certain if the Secretary of State or DPS or other  
2           state agencies have separate authority.

3           "QUESTION: You've been handed what's been marked as  
4           170 --

5           **MS. WESTFALL:** Which is PL-277 and --

6           "QUESTION: -- Texas 00081575. Do you recognize this  
7           document?

8           "ANSWER: Looks like an email from myself to various  
9           Senate staffers and my chief of staff and policy  
10          director laying out, 'the plan for Tuesday,' which I  
11          assume would have been floor debate and the Committee  
12          of the Whole. And it lays out invited witnesses,  
13          certain roles different senators would play, some  
14          other items.

15          "QUESTION: Who was Katie Ogden?

16          "ANSWER: Katie Ogden worked for Senator Wentworth, I  
17          believe, as chief of staff.

18          "QUESTION: Is it fair to say this email is sort of  
19          saying that plan for testimony and how consideration  
20          of the bill would occur?

21          "ANSWER: To the extent you can have a plan and  
22          execute it on the floor of the Senate, I suppose it  
23          is an attempt to -- at best, it's an outline of how  
24          things might go.

25          "QUESTION: Were you responsible for that outline?

1 "ANSWER: I honestly can't remember if these are my  
2 ideas or if this is me passing a message, but this is  
3 an email from me.

4 "QUESTION: Who else would have developed this plan  
5 besides you?

6 "ANSWER: Senator Fraser and his staff, any of the  
7 senators listed here, perhaps Blaine Brunson or Julia  
8 Rathgeber.

9 "QUESTION: But is it more likely that it came from  
10 your office give that you were the point person for  
11 the elections and voting issues?

12 "ANSWER: It's unlikely I would have done all this  
13 without significant input from the bill sponsor and  
14 the senators listed. It's not my place to tell  
15 senators what they're going to be doing during debate  
16 of a bill.

17 "QUESTION: But was it your role to kind of organize,  
18 coordinate, and communicate the plan to others?

19 "ANSWER: At least to these people, that seems fair.

20 "QUESTION: Do you see that under 'floor tasks' in  
21 the parentheses, you urge senators to emphasize the  
22 detection and deterrence of fraud and protect public  
23 confidence in elections?

24 "ANSWER: Yes.

25 "QUESTION: Why did you stress the need for senators



1 to emphasize those points?

2 "ANSWER: Because that was the goal of the bill as I  
3 understood it.

4 "QUESTION: Were you concerned that they may -- they  
5 might say other things on the floor of the senate  
6 about other reasons for SB 14 being considered?

7 "ANSWER: I -- even if I had concerns about a senator  
8 -- what a senator might say, there's no stopping a  
9 senator who wants to say something. But, yeah, to  
10 the extent that senators were looking for direction,  
11 I think this was an attempt to remind people what the  
12 point of the bill was.

13 "QUESTION: You've been handed what's been marked as  
14 Exhibit 175.

15 **MS. WESTFALL:** Which is PL-279.

16 "QUESTION: Do you recognize this document?

17 "ANSWER: It looks like a press release by the  
18 Lieutenant Governor.

19 "QUESTION: Do you see that in the second paragraph,  
20 it states, 'A voter ID will ensure that only U. S.  
21 citizens who are legally eligible to vote in Texas  
22 elections?'

23 "ANSWER: Yes.

24 "QUESTION: Do you recognize this document?

25 "ANSWER: Yes.

1 "QUESTION: What is it?

2 "ANSWER: It looks like an email from myself to  
3 Senate staffers, some Senate staffers, with an  
4 attachment summary of SB 14 as it passed the Senate.

5 "QUESTION: For the record, Exhibit 176 is Texas  
6 00034469 through Texas 00034471.

7 **MS. WESTFALL:** PL-234.

8 "QUESTION: Did you draft the attachment to Exhibit  
9 176?

10 "ANSWER: I think I probably did.

11 "QUESTION: And the attachment is titled Voter ID  
12 Bill Summary, correct?

13 "ANSWER: Correct.

14 **MS. WESTFALL:** And if you could blow up the first  
15 paragraph.

16 "QUESTION: Who was the intended audience of this  
17 bill summary?

18 "ANSWER: I assume the people on the email that I  
19 sent it to.

20 "QUESTION: Was it solely for purposes of advising  
21 these staff people, or was it intended for a broader  
22 audience?

23 "ANSWER: It's possible it was intended for people on  
24 the Lieutenant Governor's staff, as well as the  
25 recipients of this email.

Hebert / by excerpts of Deposition - Cross

211

1 "QUESTION: Did you circulate this summary to anybody  
2 else besides the recipients of the first page of  
3 Exhibit 176?

4 "ANSWER: I don't recall whether I did or not.

5 "QUESTION: Was this summary reviewed and approved by  
6 anyone in your office before it went out?

7 "ANSWER: Probably not, but I can't be sure.

8 "QUESTION: Did Lieutenant Governor Dewhurst see this  
9 summary?

10 "ANSWER: I can't recall. It's possible.

11 "QUESTION: Do you see it was dated January 27th,  
12 2011?

13 "ANSWER: The email is dated January 27th, 2011, yes.

14 "QUESTION: Was this email drafted after the Senate  
15 had adopted Senate Bill 14?

16 "ANSWER: It appears that yes, it was.

17 "QUESTION: Do you see --

18 **MS. WESTFALL:** Turning back to the bill summary.

19 "QUESTION: -- the first sentence characterizes SB 14  
20 as the strictest photo ID bill in the country?

21 "ANSWER: Yes, arguably the strictest photo ID bill.

22 "QUESTION: What was your assessment based on?

23 "ANSWER: The comparison of Texas requirements to  
24 states that had other photo ID requirements.

25 "QUESTION: What made it -- what particular facets of

1 the bill made it the strictest in the country?

2 "ANSWER: I assume it was related to the forms of  
3 acceptable ID. It could also have been related to  
4 criminal penalties associated with fraudulent  
5 election activity.

6 "QUESTION: And at the time that Senate Bill 14 was  
7 being considered, were you aware of any facts or  
8 evidence that suggested student ID had been used for  
9 voting fraud in the State of Texas?

10 "ANSWER: Not that I recall.

11 "QUESTION: Were you aware of any evidence that  
12 student IDs had been used for voting fraud anywhere  
13 in the country?

14 "ANSWER: Not that I recall.

15 "QUESTION: Was there any discussion about the effect  
16 of excluding student IDs on voting at historically  
17 Black colleges or universities in the state?

18 "ANSWER: Was there any analysis?

19 "QUESTION: Correct.

20 "ANSWER: I'm not aware.

21 "QUESTION: So there was no assessment on the impact  
22 of excluding student IDs on minority voters?

23 "ANSWER: I'm not aware of any analysis.

24 "QUESTION: Are you familiar with the opinion of  
25 *Texas versus Holder* denying judicial preclearance of

1 Senate Bill 14?

2 "ANSWER: I am generally familiar.

3 "QUESTION: Did the Lieutenant Governor take any  
4 actions to respond to that decision?

5 "ANSWER: I can't remember any specific action.

6 "QUESTION: Did he propose any changes to Senate Bill  
7 14?

8 "ANSWER: I can't recall.

9 "QUESTION: Did he or any senator urge that  
10 amendments to Senate Bill 14 be made to address any  
11 of the concerns raised by the court?

12 "ANSWER: I can't recall specific suggestions.

13 "QUESTION: Are you aware of any in-person voter  
14 impersonation that occurred in the State of Texas  
15 during the 2012 presidential election?

16 "ANSWER: I am not personally aware of any.

17 "QUESTION: Are you aware of any in-person voter  
18 impersonation that occurred in Texas during any  
19 election between August, 2012, and June, 2013?

20 "ANSWER: I cannot recall being aware of any.

21 "QUESTION: Were you involved in drafting the EIC  
22 provision that was adopted during the conference?

23 "ANSWER: I believe I was.

24 "QUESTION: How did you develop that language?

25 "ANSWER: I believe my intent was to have it parallel

1 existing statutory or rule language for drivers'  
2 licenses, after removing parts that were not  
3 relevant. The goal was to have the cards and the  
4 information be similar to drivers' licenses and  
5 issued by the same agency.

6 "QUESTION: Did you consider the hours of operation  
7 for driver license offices when you were developing  
8 the EIC provision?

9 "ANSWER: I mean, generally the hours of operation of  
10 DPS offices were debated on the floor of the Senate,  
11 so I think, yes, I would have considered it.

12 "QUESTION: Was there any response legislatively to  
13 those concerns raised?

14 "ANSWER: Not within the face of this statute. But  
15 I'm not sure what other legislative actions were  
16 taken. And, again, I know as a fact that actions  
17 were taken by the Department of Public Safety.

18 "QUESTION: Was it left to DPS, when the bill was  
19 being crafted, as to what the hours of the driver  
20 license offices would be?

21 "ANSWER: Yes. DPS sets the hours of their office  
22 based on the whole range of factors, including  
23 population and usage and so forth.

24 "QUESTION: And Senate Bill 14 did not direct or  
25 influence in any way where driver licenses offices

1           were located; is that correct?

2           "ANSWER: I'd have to check to be sure. I don't see  
3           any language doing that.

4           "QUESTION: Did the legislature, in crafting SB 14,  
5           give any consideration to the availability of driver  
6           license offices via public transit?

7           "ANSWER: Again, my recollection is that it was --  
8           that it may have been part of the debate on the  
9           floor, but I can't remember any specific language in  
10          the face of the statute addressing that.

11          "QUESTION: During consideration of SB 14, was there  
12          any analysis of cost or steps a voter would need to  
13          take to obtain an EIC?

14          "ANSWER: I can't recall.

15          "QUESTION: Do you think it would be problematic if  
16          SB 14 made it more difficult for Hispanic and African  
17          American voters to participate in elections, even if  
18          it didn't wholly disenfranchise them?

19          "ANSWER: Would it be problematic if SB 14 adversely  
20          impacted minority populations?

21          "QUESTION: Made it more burdensome?

22          "ANSWER: Made it more burdensome. It would depend  
23          on the extent of that burden. It would be dependent  
24          on whether it was only minorities that were burdened.  
25          It would depend on whether there was an intent to

Hebert / by excerpts of Deposition - Cross

216

1           burden only those minorities. So possibly, just to  
2           answer, I guess.

3           "QUESTION: Are minority voters in Texas more likely  
4           to be poor than Anglos, to your knowledge?

5           "ANSWER: I would assume, I mean, I think  
6           historically poor populations have generally had  
7           higher representation among minorities, that's fair.  
8           And that's probably true in Texas and every other  
9           state."

10          **MS. WESTFALL:** Thank you. No other questions.

11          **THE COURT:** All right. Let's recess for lunch, and  
12          if you'll return at 1:30.

13          **MR. SPEAKER:** You said 1:30?

14          **THE COURT:** One-thirty.

15          **MR. SPEAKER:** Thank you.

16          **(A recess was taken from 11:59 a.m. to 1:30 p.m.; parties**  
17          **present)**

18          **THE COURT:** You can have a seat.

19          **MR. SCOTT:** Your Honor, a couple of housekeeping  
20          things Ms. Wolf for the State will address from our  
21          perspective.

22          **MS. WOLF:** Your Honor, in terms of the exhibits, I  
23          think when we started we only moved in -- or sought to move in  
24          the first batch, and since both parties have been filing  
25          supplemental lists.



1           **THE COURT:** Okay.

2           **MS. WOLF:** So the plan is to keep, I guess, the final  
3 submission of the list open until Thursday, because we need to  
4 coordinate with the other side and make sure that everybody has  
5 got the right list and all the agreements that have been worked  
6 out are reflected in what goes for the final version of the  
7 Court.

8           But at this time, subject to that, Defendants would  
9 seek to move in, I believe it's exhibits -- whatever is  
10 remaining until 2750, which is the last one we're going to  
11 mark, subject to the agreement that we've made with the  
12 Plaintiffs in terms of reserving objections.

13           **MR. ROSENBERG:** And that's right, your Honor. And  
14 we, on the Plaintiffs' side, will also be moving in at this  
15 time all of our supplemental exhibit lists. I don't have the  
16 numbers with us --

17           **THE COURT:** Okay.

18           **MR. ROSENBERG:** -- again, subject to the same  
19 agreement.

20           **THE COURT:** But those are by agreement, right?

21           **MR. ROSENBERG:** Right.

22           **MS. WOLF:** Yes.

23           **THE COURT:** So those are admitted. But there was a  
24 couple when we started on the 2nd, before we began the trial,  
25 we discussed some exhibits, right, that needed --

1 MS. WOLF: Yes.

2 THE COURT: -- to be addressed by the Court still,  
3 and you mentioned one earlier.

4 MS. WOLF: Yes.

5 THE COURT: But I thought there were a couple other  
6 ones --

7 MS. WOLF: There --

8 THE COURT: -- too.

9 MS. WOLF: There are two others -- and I don't know  
10 if Mr. Hebert wants to address them -- there were two others  
11 that they were -- oh, Emma, if you want to address them.

12 MS. SIMSON: I think we can withdraw them.

13 MS. WOLF: Withdraw them?

14 THE COURT: Well, and we don't --

15 MS. WOLF: Okay.

16 THE COURT: -- have to do that right now. I just  
17 know there's still something left --

18 MS. WOLF: No, and I --

19 THE COURT: -- hanging --

20 MS. WOLF: -- appreciate it. You guys --

21 MS. SIMSON: Yeah.

22 MS. WOLF: So they're going to withdraw those two  
23 exhibits.

24 THE COURT: Okay.

25 MS. WOLF: So we'll reflect that in what we submit --

1           **THE COURT:** So the only thing --

2           **MS. WOLF:** -- on Thursday.

3           **THE COURT:** -- that's left is the one with Guidry?

4           **MS. WOLF:** Yes, ma'am.

5           **THE COURT:** That's all that's still --

6           **MS. WOLF:** For exhibits, yes.

7           **THE COURT:** For that. Okay.

8           **MS. WOLF:** And, and --

9           **MR. SCOTT:** Your Honor, I think just from  
10 clarification, I know that there's some exhibits I think in the  
11 common interest group that have some personal identification  
12 information on it. I think there's a social security card and  
13 some other things, and my understanding is they're going to  
14 replace those with redacted versions. I just want to make sure  
15 the record is clear.

16           That's one of the --

17           **THE COURT:** Okay.

18           **MR. SCOTT:** -- housekeeping things that will take  
19 place.

20           **THE COURT:** And then the other matter, also,  
21 Mr. Dunn, I believe, you and Mr. Scott were going to discuss  
22 the -- what's going to be presented -- the offer of proof, I  
23 guess --

24           **MR. DUNN:** Yes, Judge, and --

25           **THE COURT:** -- right?

1           **MR. DUNN:** -- they've provided that to me, and I've  
2 looked at it. Obviously, we preserve our objections, but it's  
3 a legitimate offer of proof.

4           **THE COURT:** Okay. So --

5           **MR. SCOTT:** It is made to the Court -- or accepted to  
6 be placed in under seal as part of the agreement with  
7 Ms. London on behalf of the Democratic senators.

8           **THE COURT:** And you're giving that to Brandy, or she  
9 already has it?

10          **MR. SCOTT:** I believe she --

11          **THE COURT:** Okay.

12          **MR. SCOTT:** -- already has that.

13          **THE COURT:** Okay. Okay.

14          **MR. SCOTT:** Yes, your Honor.

15          **THE COURT:** So we're good on that.

16          **MS. WOLF:** And then one additional matter, your  
17 Honor, the deposition designations that haven't been read into  
18 the record.

19               My understanding is we're going to -- there's been  
20 some discussion on things that need to be eliminated from those  
21 with the Plaintiffs, so that's also going to come in. We'll  
22 get the final package on Thursday of everything that's -- which  
23 eliminates what we've agreed to take out.

24               And I believe there are two depositions that were  
25 very recently that we may be doing some counter-designations

1 for.

2 **THE COURT:** Okay. Wait.

3 **MS. WOLF:** Sure.

4 **THE COURT:** What are you talking about?

5 **MS. WOLF:** Okay.

6 **THE COURT:** Because what I've been considering is  
7 what you all have been reading. You all gave me two under  
8 seal. So what else? You all are going to give me some more to  
9 just read?

10 **MS. WOLF:** Well, I think --

11 **MR. ROSENBERG:** Well, I can talk on the Plaintiffs'  
12 side.

13 **THE COURT:** Okay.

14 **MR. ROSENBERG:** To the extent that deposition  
15 designations were not read and we still --

16 **THE COURT:** Okay.

17 **MR. ROSENBERG:** -- feel it's important, either they  
18 will be specifically referenced in the findings of fact or  
19 perhaps addressed in closing.

20 We -- on Saturday by agreement, we have -- we have  
21 until Saturday to put in our counters and objections to the  
22 Section 5 designations that were made last week -- that sort of  
23 thing.

24 But, again, we --

25 **THE COURT:** Okay.

1           **MR. ROSENBERG:** -- will not just say, "Your Honor,  
2 read this." It will --

3           **THE COURT:** No, you will not --

4           **MR. ROSENBERG:** You will be specifically --

5           **THE COURT:** -- say that. You will not say that.

6           **MR. ROSENBERG:** And our goal, quite frankly, your  
7 Honor, is by Thursday to make sure there's no loose ends  
8 between --

9           **THE COURT:** Uh-huh.

10          **MR. ROSENBERG:** -- the Defendants and the Plaintiffs  
11 for your Honor. That --

12          **THE COURT:** Okay.

13          **MR. ROSENBERG:** We have -- the exhibits are the  
14 exhibits. The designations are the designations.

15          **THE COURT:** Okay.

16          **MR. SHAPIRO:** And, your Honor, I'm --

17          **MS. WOLF:** Great.

18          **MR. SHAPIRO:** -- just looking for loose ends -- just  
19 as promised before lunch, these are the excerpts from the  
20 depositions of Debra Frary and Michelle Flusher --

21          **THE COURT:** Okay.

22          **MR. SHAPIRO:** -- which we now have marked as  
23 Plaintiffs' Exhibit 1160. We would like to --

24          **THE COURT:** Okay. You can give it to Brandy.

25          **MR. SHAPIRO:** -- move --

1           **MR. ROSENBERG:** And just one more thing before --

2           **MS. WOLF:** Go ahead.

3           **MR. ROSENBERG:** In that regard, I think both the --  
4 both Plaintiffs and the Defendants will be -- I guess now are  
5 -- we will be moving into evidence the -- all the deposition  
6 designations, again, subject to the prior agreement.

7           **THE COURT:** Okay.

8           **MS. WOLF:** And Defendants as well, your Honor, will  
9 be seeking to move the deposition designations in, subject to  
10 the prior agreement.

11           **MS. SIMSON:** Your Honor, one quick note. Yesterday,  
12 we talked about some of the expert reports getting amended in  
13 light of information about the 183,000 people --

14           **THE COURT:** Uh-huh.

15           **MS. SIMSON:** -- that had been added. We just wanted  
16 to note from the Veasey-LULAC point of -- perspective,  
17 Dr. Herron's report will not be updated, because he presented  
18 data both with those numbers and without.

19           **THE COURT:** Okay.

20           **MS. SIMSON:** So the sections of his report that are  
21 no longer going to be relied upon are Pages 50 to Pages 55,  
22 Line 3. That's where he had included the additional 183,000,  
23 but the remainder of his report relies on the data that is now  
24 going to be correct.

25           And then the other thing is in the main summary of

1 findings, he has summary of findings related to the 183,000,  
2 and those are Page 8, Line 16 --

3 **THE COURT:** But when you all amend your findings, are  
4 you just going to supplement what you've already given the  
5 Court, or are you providing something entirely -- a new  
6 document that stands by itself?

7 **MR. ROSENBERG:** We're going to be doing a new  
8 document that stands by itself.

9 **MR. SCOTT:** But we will --

10 **THE COURT:** With designations --

11 **MR. SCOTT:** -- also be providing a redline version,  
12 as we understood the Court's rule. So to the extent we had  
13 proposed findings of facts and conclusions of law --

14 **THE COURT:** Uh-huh.

15 **MR. SCOTT:** -- the changes from that document -- it's  
16 our understanding the Court wants us to provide a redline  
17 document on those, or you don't?

18 **THE COURT:** I --

19 **MR. ROSENBERG:** He has different --

20 **THE COURT:** -- don't need that, because are you all  
21 -- I'm assuming you all are going to be citing -- we talked  
22 about this yesterday -- to the trial testimony at this point  
23 and the --

24 **MR. ROSENBERG:** Yes.

25 **THE COURT:** -- evidence in the trial, right? So --



1           **MR. SCOTT:** So don't?

2           **THE COURT:** I don't think so.

3           **MR. SCOTT:** Okay.

4           **MR. ROSENBERG:** Okay.

5           **THE COURT:** Let me think about that one; but, no, I  
6 don't think so.

7           **MR. SPEAKER:** Did you tell her what exhibit number  
8 that was?

9           **MS. SIMSON:** And that's exhibit --

10          **THE COURT:** And --

11          **MS. SIMSON:** Plaintiffs' Exhibit --

12          **THE COURT:** And did Brandy tell you all maybe we  
13 should start at 8:30 on the 22nd?

14          **MR. ROSENBERG:** 8:30. Okay. Thank you, your Honor.

15          **MS. WOLF:** Your Honor, one additional housekeeping  
16 matter. Mr. Scott tells me we can unseal Dr. Milyo and  
17 Dr. Hood's reports.

18          **MR. SCOTT:** With the permission of the Court.

19          **MS. WOLF:** With the permission of the Court.

20          **THE COURT:** Okay. So we'll unseal those.

21               Now -- and I guess we'll address this when the  
22 Defendants finish. A lot of experts were offered, and I  
23 believe the agreement had been, you know, qualification-wise,  
24 we were kind of waiting, and then I've never actually ruled on  
25 those.

1           So we just need to address that at some point, but we  
2 can proceed -- get the evidence in and finish probably.

3           **MR. SCOTT:** And we've actually reduced it down to --  
4 I believe down to two left, your Honor.

5           **THE COURT:** Okay.

6           **MR. SCOTT:** So if you want to go ahead. Okay.

7           **MR. TATUM:** All right. Your Honor, Stephen Tatum for  
8 the Defendants. We will be reading in the deposition of  
9 Kenneth Smith.

10                           **EXAMINATION OF KENNETH SMITH**

11                           **BY EXCERPTS OF DEPOSITION TESTIMONY**

12                   **(QUESTIONS READ BY MR. TATUM; ANSWERS READ BY COUNSEL)**

13           "QUESTION: Mr. Smith, would you state and spell your  
14 full name for the record, please?

15           "ANSWER: Kenneth Smith, K-E-N-N-E-T-H, Smith,  
16 S-M-I-T-H.

17           "QUESTION: Mr. Smith, are you currently employed?

18           "ANSWER: Yes.

19           "QUESTION: And who is your employer?

20           "ANSWER: U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs.

21           "QUESTION: And how long have you been an employee  
22 with the U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs?

23           "ANSWER: Seventeen years. It'll be 17 years in  
24 September.

25           "QUESTION: Can you tell me what your official duties

1 and responsibilities are as the Assistant Director  
2 for Data and Information -- for the Data and  
3 Information Service at DVA?

4 "ANSWER: Sure. I'm responsible for operating and  
5 maintaining DVA's enterprise data warehouse, which is  
6 the source for all benefits information and reporting  
7 for the Administration.

8 "QUESTION: Okay. So in your role as Assistant  
9 Director for the Data and Information Service at the  
10 VBA, does your work regularly require you to work  
11 with information databases?

12 "ANSWER: Yes.

13 "QUESTION: Mr. Smith, were you asked to conduct a  
14 match between databases of the Department of Veterans  
15 Affairs and a database from the State of Texas in  
16 this case?

17 "ANSWER: Yes.

18 "QUESTION: And can you briefly summarize the match  
19 that you conducted?

20 "ANSWER: Certainly. We received an input file from  
21 the Department of Justice that was represented as a  
22 complete set of data from the TEAM database that you  
23 described earlier. We loaded that data into a secure  
24 schema in the data warehouse. We matched it against  
25 data compiled from our database consisting of

1 veterans, living veterans who are 50 percent or  
2 greater disabled, and then we conducted a number of  
3 matching algorithms once we -- through this process.  
4 There were a series of matches that we ran.

5 "QUESTION: It says here in Paragraph 3, 'VBA was  
6 able to load what looks to be less than the original  
7 -- was able to load a number that is less than the  
8 original number of records that it received; is that  
9 correct?

10 "ANSWER: Yes.

11 "QUESTION: It then states that, 'The 8,763 voter  
12 records that the VBA was not able to load and analyze  
13 as part of the database comparison process contained  
14 embedded commas in an address or in details." Is  
15 that correct?

16 "ANSWER: Yes.

17 "QUESTION: So from that statement, can you describe  
18 for me why the VBA was not able to load and analyze  
19 those 8,763 voter records?

20 "ANSWER: Certainly. So the database input file  
21 contained a number of fields. We told our database  
22 that say there -- I can't remember the exact number.  
23 Say there were 50. We had to tell the database that  
24 there would be 50 fields, and that these fields would  
25 be separated by commas, meaning the database would

1 look -- would be looking for 59 commas separating  
2 those 60 fields. Every time the database reads a  
3 comma it moves to a different field. If there are  
4 commas in the middle of the field, the database does  
5 not know not to use them. In other words, it loaded  
6 the first 59 segments of data separated by commas,  
7 and there was still data left over at the end. The  
8 database does not know what to do with it, so it  
9 rejected them.

10 "QUESTION: And that's the 8,763 voter records  
11 referred to there?

12 "ANSWER: Correct.

13 "QUESTION: Those were rejected by the database?

14 "ANSWER: Correct.

15 "QUESTION: Were those records, the rejected records,  
16 were they ever properly inputted or analyzed?

17 "ANSWER: We did nothing further with those records.  
18 I think we provided them back to DOJ.

19 "QUESTION: And did DOJ ever resubmit the file  
20 formatted correctly or differently so that those  
21 8,763 voter records would not be rejected by your  
22 database?

23 "ANSWER: No.

24 "QUESTION: Okay. So those 8,763 voter records that  
25 were on file originally -- that were on the file

1 originally given to you were ultimately never  
2 analyzed in this matching process; is that correct?

3 "ANSWER: Correct.

4 "QUESTION: Do you have any idea what was contained  
5 in those 8,763 voter records?

6 "ANSWER: No.

7 "QUESTION: I'm sorry. You may have already  
8 mentioned this. I believe you said you brought it to  
9 DOJ's attention that those records were rejected,  
10 correct?

11 "ANSWER: Yes.

12 "QUESTION: And how did you let them know that?

13 "ANSWER: I think we sent them an e-mail.

14 "QUESTION: Do you remember who you sent it to?

15 "ANSWER: Not offhand, not based on my recollection.

16 "QUESTION: Did you send that e-mail?

17 "ANSWER: I can't remember if it was me or one of my  
18 technical staff.

19 "QUESTION: And did the DOJ ever respond to that  
20 e-mail?

21 "ANSWER: I can't recall, actually."

22 **MR. TATUM:** (Indiscernible).

23 **THE COURT:** Okay. Anything from the Plaintiff on  
24 this witness? Plaintiffs?

25 **MS. BALDWIN:** We have a binder just of our federal

1 agency designations, but we're not going to be reading from  
2 them.

3 **THE COURT:** Okay.

4 **MR. TATUM:** And I'll be reading from the deposition  
5 of Michelle Rudolph.

6 **EXAMINATION OF MICHELLE RUDOLPH**

7 **BY EXCERPTS OF DEPOSITION TESTIMONY**

8 **(QUESTIONS READ BY MR. TATUM; ANSWERS READ BY COUNSEL)**

9 "QUESTION: Michelle, would you please state and  
10 spell your full name, please?

11 "ANSWER: Michelle Saunders Rudolph, M-I-C-H-E-L-L-E,  
12 S-A-U-N-D-E-R-S, R-U-D-O-L-P-H.

13 "QUESTION: Michelle, are you currently employed?

14 "ANSWER: Yes.

15 "QUESTION: Where are you employed?

16 "ANSWER: In Seaside, California.

17 "QUESTION: And who is your employer?

18 "ANSWER: The Department of Defense.

19 "QUESTION: What is your official title at the  
20 Department of Defense?

21 "ANSWER: I'm the acting Director for Data Analysis  
22 and Programs Division at the DMDC.

23 "QUESTION: Could you tell me what your -- as  
24 director of the Data Analysis Programs Division, can  
25 you tell me what your official duties and

1 responsibilities are?

2 "ANSWER: I have approximately 147 employees that I  
3 ensure are trained to accurately receive, process,  
4 and deliver data and information to agencies within  
5 the federal government and Department of Defense.

6 "QUESTION: Thank you. Michelle, do you know what  
7 this case is about?

8 "ANSWER: What I know is -- I don't know what this  
9 case is about. I know what I needed to do.

10 "QUESTION: And when you say 'what you needed to do,'  
11 what do you mean by that?

12 "ANSWER: The work that I needed to perform as  
13 requested by the Department of Justice.

14 "QUESTION: And what was that work that they  
15 requested you to perform?

16 "ANSWER: As described in my deposition, it was a  
17 match of a TEAM database to a database at DMDC that  
18 had valid ID cards with photographs.

19 "QUESTION: Michelle, what was your involvement in  
20 the matching process conducted in this case?

21 "ANSWER: I read the directions from DOJ for  
22 matching, as described in my declaration, and worked  
23 with an analyst and computer programmer to ensure we  
24 all understood the rules that were requested for  
25 running it, and then oversaw the job happening.



1 "QUESTION: Okay. But you didn't -- you didn't  
2 actually conduct the match yourself?

3 "ANSWER: No.

4 "QUESTION: That was done by Mr. Zach Simpson,  
5 correct?

6 "ANSWER: Yes.

7 "QUESTION: So you all went -- so you all went over  
8 the matching protocol provided by the Department of  
9 Justice, which was included in your declaration,  
10 correct?

11 "ANSWER: Yes.

12 "QUESTION: And then Mr. Zach Simpson ran the match.  
13 Did you supervise or oversee his execution of the  
14 match in this case?

15 "ANSWER: Yes."

16 **MR. TATUM:** Okay. And we can end it right there.  
17 Thank you very much, Your Honor.

18 **THE COURT:** All right. Anything from the Plaintiffs  
19 on that?

20 **MS. BALDWIN:** No, your Honor.

21 **THE COURT:** Okay.

22 **MR. TATUM:** And, your Honor, one final submission.  
23 This is depo cuts for some of the Veasey-LULAC Plaintiffs.  
24 Counsel for those Plaintiffs submitted to you a packet of  
25 certain cuts related to standing --

1           **THE COURT:** Uh-huh.

2           **MR. TATUM:** -- and we'd just like to respond in kind  
3 with other depo cuts.

4           **THE COURT:** Okay.

5           **MR. TATUM:** We're prepared to read them if you like,  
6 but I have them here --

7           **THE COURT:** No.

8           **MR. TATUM:** -- also that --

9           **THE COURT:** I'm going to miss you all when you all  
10 are gone. All right.

11           **MR. SCOTT:** I have some bad news. Subject to the  
12 agreements we've already gone into, your Honor, the Defense  
13 rests.

14           **THE COURT:** All right. Anything further from the  
15 Plaintiffs?

16           **MR. ROSENBERG:** Nothing further. I think there's one  
17 issue, your Honor --

18           **MR. CLAY:** The -- over lunch, we filed a motion to  
19 take judicial notice of the Solis and Saldivar indictments that  
20 were testified about in the case. And I think Mr. Rosenberg  
21 had some objections he wanted to put on the record.

22           **THE COURT:** Okay. And I haven't -- it was just  
23 handed to me when I took the bench, so I --

24           **MR. ROSENBERG:** Yeah, and I --

25           **MR. CLAY:** That's --

1           **MR. ROSENBERG:** I haven't opened it, but I'm assuming  
2 it's the politiqueras --

3           **MR. CLAY:** Yes --

4           **MR. ROSENBERG:** -- indictments. And our position is,  
5 your Honor, your Honor can take notice of the fact that those  
6 indictments were issued.

7           However, we object on the basis of included hearsay  
8 -- that that -- so long as it's not there for the truth of the  
9 matter asserted.

10           We also have an objection on relevance, because it's  
11 post-enactment activity, not pre-enactment of SB 14.

12           But, again, we'll -- we think those should be treated  
13 the same way the rest of the evidence that objections have been  
14 made, but your Honor can look at it for whatever weight it's  
15 worth.

16           **THE COURT:** Okay. I'll review it.

17           **MR. CLAY:** Thank you.

18           **MR. ROSENBERG:** Thank you.

19           **THE COURT:** Thank you. Do we need to address the  
20 experts', then, qualifications? And I can --

21           **MR. SCOTT:** I think it was the understanding, though,  
22 that they were going to be done at the conclusion of the  
23 direct --

24           **MR. SPEAKER:** Of the testimony.

25           **MR. SCOTT:** -- of the testimony of the experts. So I

1 think to the extent anyone had an opportunity to assert it, if  
2 they weren't asserted, they're in.

3 **MR. ROSENBERG:** I think that's true.

4 **THE COURT:** So no expert I need to address, then,  
5 correct?

6 **MR. SPEAKER:** That's correct.

7 **MR. SPEAKER:** No, your Honor.

8 **MS. SPEAKER:** No, your Honor.

9 **THE COURT:** So the Court --

10 **MR. SPEAKER:** Correct.

11 **MR. SPEAKER:** Correct.

12 **MR. SPEAKER:** Correct.

13 **MR. SPEAKER:** Yes, your Honor.

14 **THE COURT:** I'm sorry?

15 **MR. SPEAKER:** Correct.

16 **THE COURT:** Correct. So then the Court accepts the  
17 experts -- finds they're qualified to testify about the matters  
18 they testified to.

19 You all are going to continue working on the  
20 exhibits.

21 We will reconvene, then, on September 22nd at 8:30  
22 for closing arguments.

23 And you all have already given me the schedule on the  
24 supplementation regarding the experts, except for Herron -- I  
25 guess will no longer be a part of that.

1 Findings of fact, conclusions of law to be done by  
2 Thursday at midnight --

3 **MR. ROSENBERG:** Yep.

4 **THE COURT:** -- a week from today?

5 **MR. ROSENBERG:** Yes, your Honor.

6 **THE COURT:** And was there anything else we need to  
7 address?

8 **MR. ROSENBERG:** I think that's it. And we actually  
9 have an hour left of our time, which we won't use.

10 **THE COURT:** Wow. You all cut it close. I would like  
11 to keep your tech guys. They were all really amazing, both of  
12 them. So I could just sit here, "Can you pull up, you know,  
13 whatever exhibit for me while I sit here and work through the  
14 case?"

15 But I thank you all very much. You all behaved very  
16 well and I appreciate --

17 **MS. SPEAKER:** Thank you.

18 **THE COURT:** -- the way you've handled the case, the  
19 way you've tried the case, your courtesy and respect to each  
20 other and to the Court. And I know you all have done -- you  
21 all have worked really hard on this case, both sides.

22 **MR. DERFNER:** And I know I speak for everybody, your  
23 Honor. We say it's been a pleasure to try this case before  
24 you --

25 **THE COURT:** Thank you.

1           **MR. DERFNER:** -- and your staff here.

2           **THE COURT:** Thank you.

3           **MR. DERFNER:** And I think I speak for everybody  
4 there.

5           **MR. SPEAKER:** Thank you.

6           **MS. SPEAKER:** Thank you.

7           **MR. SPEAKER:** Thank you, your Honor.

8           **THE COURT:** All right.

9           **MS. SPEAKER:** Thank you.

10          **MR. SPEAKER:** Thank you very much.

11          **THE COURT:** So you're excused.

12          **MS. SPEAKER:** Thank you, your Honor.

13          **MR. SPEAKER:** Thank you, Judge.

14          **(This proceeding was adjourned at 1:47 p.m.)**

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CERTIFICATION

I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the electronic sound recording of the proceedings in the above-entitled matter.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Toni Hudson", is written over a horizontal line.

Signed

September 12, 2014

Dated

*TONI HUDSON, TRANSCRIBER*